Inside the EU Code of Conduct Group: 20 Years of Tackling Harmful Tax Competition

Martijn F. Nouwen

Thesis submitted to the University of Amsterdam in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Laws

Degree awarded on 11 June 2020



Volume 59 IBFD Doctoral Series

Table of Contents

Abbreviations		χV
Chapter 1:	Introduction	1
1.1.	Motivation and relevance	1
1.2.	Research question	6
1.3.	Method, delimitations and documents used	7
1.4.	Outline Control Contro	11
Chapter 2:	The Preparatory Works and the Drafting of the Code	15
2.1.	Introduction to the desired special section (1)	15
2.2.	The Ruding Report on the need to tackle harmful tax competition	16
- 2.3.	The First Monti Memorandum and the need for a global approach to tax issues	18
2.4.	The Second Monti Memorandum and the need to develop a code to tackle harmful tax competition	-20
2.5.	The drafting of the first compromise proposal	22
2.6.	"Agreement in principle" on the Council's first compromise proposal for the Code	28
2.7.	The Third Monti Memorandum and the drafting of the second and third compromise proposals for the Code	2 8
2.8.	Discussion on the third compromise proposal for the Code by the Council	33

2.9.	The Fourth Monti Memorandum and the drafting of the fourth compromise proposal for the Code	, 34
2.10.	Paving the way for political agreement on the Code	36
2,11.	Political agreement on the Code by the Council	37
2.12.	Summary and conclusions	39
: Chapter 3:	The Legal Status of the Code	43
3.1.	Introduction	43
3.2.	Soft law: An informal para and pre-law steering	: 44
3.3.	Soft law: Soft governance to tackle harmful tax competition	; 49
3.4.	The Open Method of Coordination (1990)	. 52
3.5 . •	The Code of Conduct for Business Taxation as an OMC in disguise?	61
3.5.1.	OMC features in the area of pseudo-case law	62
3.5.2.	OMC features in the area of pseudo-legislation	64
3.6.	Summary and conclusions	65
Chapter 4:	The Governance and Working Methods of the Code of Conduct Group	69
4.1.	Introduction: The parties involved in the Code	Ė
	of Conduct Group's decision-making process	69
4.2.	The Code of Conduct Group (Business Taxation) itsel	f 71
4,2,1.	A preparatory Council working group	7 t
4.2.2.		. 73
4.2.3.	The governance of the Code of Conduct Group	74
4.2.3.1.	Introduction Regulation	74
4.2.3.2.	Governance and Member State representation	75
4.2.3.3.		78
4234	Confidentiality	80

r

4.2.4.	The working methods of the Code of Conduc	t Group 83
4.2.4.1.	Introduction	83
- 4.2.4.2.	Pseudo-case law practice The case of the case	84
4,2,4,2,1.	Phase 1: Standstill notification phase	84
4.2.4.2.2.	Phase 2: Agreed description phase	87
4.2.4.2.3.	Phase 3: Formal assessment phase	88
4.2.4.2.4.	Phase 4: Rollback notification and monitoring	g phase . 89
4.2.4.3.	Pseudo-legislation practice	90
4.2.4.3.1.	Phase 1: Drafting phase	90
4,2.4.3.2.	Phase 2: Adoption and publication phase	90
4,2.4.3.3.	Phase 3: Implementation phase	. 91
4.2.4.3.4.	Phase 4: Monitoring phase to the decrease	91
4.3.	The role of Code of Conduct SubGroups	∂ 93
r.	1900年,1967年新出版。	1.11
4.4.	The role of other high-level working groups	94
4.5.	The role of the European Commission	111.7 21
. 4.3.	The role of the European Commission	1.1. 1. 1. 1. 90 1.1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
4.6.	The role of the European Parliament	96
4.0.	The fole of the European Farnament	90
4.7.	The role of the Council Secretariat	. 1 - 98
- 2012 (4.7. Note:	The role of the Council Secretarial	90
4.8.	The role of Coreper	9 9
	The fole of Coreper	
4 .9 .	The role of the Ecofin Council	100
4.5.	2 to the first of A of the first of the party	
4.10.	Summary and conclusions	101
4.10.	point with an arrangement of the control of	
Chapter 5:	The Geographical Scope of the Code	105
Chapter 3.	Significant Scope of the Code:	103
5.1.	Introduction	105
3.1.	Hidodiction	5,545
5.2.	Member States	106
	Market May her gotteres	
5.3.	Outermost Regions	107
	Outermost Regions 27 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	12 12 107
5.4.	European territories for whose external relation	
	Member State is responsible	109
	the most state is responsible.	S. W. 1
5.5.	Overseas Countries and Territories	110
	Overseas Commies and Territories	
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	× + ×

Table of Contents

5.6.	Special cases; Small islands	112
□ 5.7 .	Third countries and an area of	23 .11 14
1	Section 18 Committee 18	4 (L6) 🕡
5.8.	Summary and conclusions	1.5.1123
NR	The second of th	$\mathcal{O}_{1} X$
Chapter 6:	The Substantive Scope of the Code.	125
179		1.3
6.1.	Introduction	1125
: *		
6.2.	A four-step assessment of the harmfulness of	
10	proferential tax regimes all minute of general and	
<i>○</i> 6.3.	Step 1: Is the tax regime within scope?	. 129
6.3.1.	Introduction: Taxes covered	129
6.3.2.	"Business taxation"	130
6.3.2.1.	Introduction	130
6.3.2.2.	Indirect taxation	131
6.3.2.3.	Individual income taxation	131
6.3.2.4.	Excluded business sectors: Shipping and	$\{t_{i}^{(k)}, j_{i}^{(k)}\}$
	financial services	133
6.3.3.	"Measures"	77.4135
6.3.4.	"Affect or may affect in a significant way"	135
6.3.5.	"Business activity"	137
6.3.6.	"In the Community"	138
137	Fig. 1 Section 1 Section 1995	\mathcal{O}_{k} :
6.4.	Step 2: Is the tax regime potentially harmful?	138
6.4.1.	Introduction: The gateway criterion	138
6.4.2.	"A significantly lower level of taxation",	
1 . C	"the effective level of taxation", "zero taxation"	1 20 p. 3 2
+	and "the normal level of taxation"	140
6.4.3.	Application Experience	142
6.4.4.	Revision	145
1	proceedings of the control of	
6.5.	Step 3: Is the tax regime actually harmful?	146
.0/ 6.5.1 ,	Introduction: The assessment criteria	. °146
6.5.2.	Ring-fencing I	150
	Definition - 1997 :	.i:1 <i>5</i> 0
6.5.2.2.	Application (1) to the	154
6.5.2.2.1.		154
. 4.6.5.2.2.2.	De facto assessment	156
653	Ring-fencing II	158

6.5.3.1.	Definition	158
6.5.3.2.	Application	160
6.5.4.	Substance criterion	. 161
6.5.4.1.	Definition	161
6.5.4.2.	Application	165
6.5.5.	Profit determination criterion	171
6.5.5.1.	Definition	171
6.5.5.2.	Application	176
6.5.6.	Transparency criterion	. 179
6.5.6.1.	Definition	179
6.5.6.2.	Application	181
*:	11	5
6.6.	Step 4: Is the harmful tax regime nevertheless	:
	justified?	184
6.6.1.	Introduction: Justifications	184
6.6.2.	Absence of harmful effects on other Member States	•
	economies	. 185
6.6.3.	Supporting the economic development of	
, s [*]	underdeveloped regions	1186
∴6.6.4.	Ensuring the competitiveness of SMEs and of	
	certain sectors	187
6.6.5.	Precedent	. 188
	• •	
6.7.	Summary and conclusions	188
Chapter 7:	Discussion and Assessment of the Pseudo-Case	
	Law of the Code of Conduct Group	193
1	•	
7.1.	Introduction	.193
7.2.	Generic corporate tax regimes	196
7.2.1.	Overview and the second of the second	196
7.2.2.	The Gibraltar Tax exemption regime for	
1.	passive income	198
7.2.3.	The Gibraltar Tax treatment of asset-holding	
	companies	207
7.2.4.	The Isle of Man Retail tax regime	216
7.3.	Shareholder tax regimes:	.221
7.3.1.	Overview	221
7.3.2.	The Aruban Imputation payment company regime	223

7.3.3.	The Maltese Advance company income tax and	
	refunds regime	-229
7.3.4.	The Isle of Man Distributable profits charge regime	234
7.3.5.	The Jersey Deemed distribution and attribution .	1
	regime	238
-	sale of the	. •
7.4.	Interest regimes	243
7.4.1.	Overview	. 243
7.4.2.	Interest deduction regimes	246
7.4.2.1.	Actual deduction regimes	246
7.4.2.2.	Deemed deduction regimes	247
7.4.3.	Tax rate regimes	249
7.4.3.1.	Reduced tax rate regimes	249
7.4.4.	Tax base regimes	249
7.4.4.1.	Tax exemption regimes	249
7.4.4.2.	Tax-free reserve regimes	251
7.4.4.3.	Reduced tax base regimes	253
7.4.4.3.1.	Introduction	-253
7.4.4.3.2.	The Hungarian Interest from affiliated companies	
	regime (255
7.4.4.3.3.	The Dutch Group interest box regime	261
7.4.4.3.4.	The Hungarian Tax base for interest payments	
	received from abroad regime	264
	received from dorodd regime	201
7.5.	Notional interest deduction regimes	268
1.5.	Notional interest deduction regimes	200
7.6.	Intellectual property regimes	282
7.6.1.	Overview	282
7.6.1. 7.6.2.	Front-end (cost-based) regimes	288
7.6.3.	Back-end (income-based) regimes	290
1.0.5.	Back-end (Income-based) regimes	290
	T	202
7. 7 .	Insurance company regimes	.302
7.0		. 1
7.8.	Generic holding company regimes (participation	200
	exemptions)	309
7.0	Control of the Contro	20.4
·7.9.	Group coordination regimes	-324
5.10	0 11 17	
· 7.10.	Special holding company regimes	331

7.11.	Intermediate group finance and licence company regimes	337
7.12.	Foreign finance branch regimes	346
7.13.	Informal capital regimes	351
·. 7.14.	Hybrid financing regimes	361
7.15.	Free zone regimes	364
7.16.		383
7.16.1.	Content of the pseudo-case law of the Group	383
7.16.2.	Effectiveness of the pseudo-case law of the Group	388
7.16.3.	The Code is backed by the State aid prohibition	
,	and vice versa	. 397
30	The Property of the Control of the C	. 27,
Chapter 8:	Discussion and Assessment of the Pseudo-	399
8.1.	Introduction:	. 399
8.2.		400
8.3.	Common tax ruling policy	412
10	the state of the s	
8.4.	EU-inbound profit transfers (the "gatekeeper problem")	. 426
* -		
8.5.	EU-outbound payments (the "reverse gatekeeper : problem")	. 435
	The state of the same of the same of the	1.11
8.6.	Hybrid mismatchés	445
8.6.1.	Introduction	445
	Hybrid financing mismatches	445
8.6.3.	Hybrid entities and hybrid PE mismatches	456
8.7.	Transfer pricing: 1909, 4	467
: *		
8.8.	Summary and conclusions	467

4-14-51

Chapter 9:	The Market Distortion Provisions and Harmful Tax Competition	. 479
9.1.	Introduction: The market distortion rules as an	V.
	alternative or complement to the Code	479
11	The Manager State of the	
9.2.	The notion of a market distortion in articles 116	
$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$	and 117 of the TFEU.	482
9.2.1.	Introduction	482
9.2.2.	"A difference" (disparity)	483
9.2.3.	"Distorting the conditions of competition"	484
9.2.4.	"Resultant distortion needs to be climinated"	485
9.2.5.	Market-distorting fiscal disparities	.494
	And the street April 1990 and the Control	À
9.3.	Market distortion procedure and enforcement	502
9.3.1.	Introduction	502
9.3.2.	Monitoring of existing distortions (rollback)	502
9.3.3.	Notification of new distortions (standstill)	. 505
9.3.4.	No direct effect	507
9.4.	Practical effect of the market distortion rules in	
	tax and non-tax cases	508
9.4.1.	Introduction	508
9.4.2.	The European Commission	508
9.4.3.	The European Parliament	518
9.4.4.	The judiciary	524
	Congression of the section of the se	
9.5.	Reasons for non-application of the market	
	distortion rules in direct tax matters	525
9.5.1.	Introduction	525
9.5.2.	Legal issues	525
	Introduction: Preference for and primacy of	
111	other market-integrating legal mechanisms to	21.24
_		525
9.5.2.2.	Harmful tax competition: The Code of Conduct for	
		525
9.5.2.3.	Harmonization of national laws necessary for the	
$\tilde{\chi}_{i,1}$.	functioning of the internal market: (a) (1994)	527
9.5.2.4.	Free movement rights	529
9.5.2.5.	State aid prohibition	532
9.5.2.6.	Conclusions	533
9.5.3.	Procedural and organizational issues	534

9.5.4.	Conceptual issues	534
9.5.5.	Political unfeasibility	535
9.6.	Summary and conclusions	535
Chapter 10:	Summary, Conclusions and Outlook: Inside the EU Code of Conduct Group – 20 Years of Tackling Harmful Tax Competition	541
10.1.	Introduction	541
10.2.	The historical background and purpose of the Code (chapter 2)	542
10.3.	The legal status of the Code (chapter 3)	543
10.4.	The governance and working methods of the Code of Conduct Group (chapter 4)	544
10.5.	The geographical scope of the Code (chapter 5)	547
10.6.	The substantive scope of the Code (chapter 6)	547
10.7.	Evaluating the work and effectiveness of the Group (chapters 7 and 8)	550
10.7.1.	Pseudo-case law (chapter 7)	551
10.7.2.	Pseudo-legislation (chapter 8)	559
10.8.	Interaction between the Code (soft law) and hard law (chapters 7 and 9)	562
10.9.	Outlook	564
Appendix:	Code of Conduct for Business Taxation	567
Bibliography		57 3
Table of Case	e Law	579
Table of Pseu	ido-Case Law of the Code of Conduct Group	581