

The Culturalization of Human Rights Law

FEDERICO LENZERINI

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Contents

<i>Table of Cases</i>	xiii
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xxiii
1. Hie Debate On 'Universalism' and 'Cultural Relativism' in International Human Rights Law	1
1.1 'Universalism' vs 'Relativism': The Origins and Characterization of the Debate	1
1.2 The Philosophical Foundations and Development of International Human Rights Law	12
A. Natural law as foundation of human rights	12
B. Is the 'inherent dignity' of the human being literally 'inherent'?	16
C. Epistemology of human rights	23
1.3 Methodological Revisitation of the Problem	31
A. Foundational universalism	31
B. Conceptual universalism	32
C. Structural universalism	32
2. Human Rights: Historical Development and Contemporary Regional Models	33
2.1 Are Human Rights a Creation of the West? A Look at Pre-Colonial Societies	33
2.2 The Evolution of the Western Concept of Human Rights	47
2.3 Contemporary Human Rights Standards in Non-Western Societies	50
A. Africa	50
B. Asia	58
C. Latin America	70
D. Pacific area	75
E. Middle East	77
E A case study: discrimination against women within the family	89
G. A methodological caveat	101
2.4 The Progressive Affirmation of Collective Rights, the Weight of Duties, and the <i>Diaspora</i> from Western Legacies: A Holistic Reconceptualization of Human Rights	103
3. Reconceptualizing International Human Rights Law Through a Culturally Based Approach: International and Regional Practice	116
3.1 Culture and Human Rights: A Tricky, Indissoluble Relationship	116
3.2 Culturally based Approach to Human Rights in International Legal Instruments	131

3.3 Culturally based Approach to International Human Rights Law in International Practice	144
A. Prologue	144
B. The practice of the Human Rights Committee	146
C. The practice of the other UN committees	155
D. An endorsement by the International Court of Justice	166
E. Additional relevant international practice	167
3.4 Culturally based Approach to International Human Rights Law in Regional Practice	174
A. The Inter-American human rights system	174
B. The African human rights system	189
C. The European human rights system	193
3.5 Closing Synopsis	209
4. Rethinking the Debate on Universalism and Cultural Relativism in the Light of the Culturalization of Human Rights Law	213
4.1 Revisitation of the 'Universalism vs Relativism' Debate	213
4.2 Benefits Determined by a Differentiated Culturally Based Approach to Human Rights	217
A. Raising human rights awareness and shifting rights from theory to practice	217
B. Improving effectiveness of human rights through cultural acceptance and legitimization	218
C. Improving governments' compliance with human rights standards	219
D. Better balancing conflicts of rights	221
E. Fostering tolerance, mutual understanding among peoples, security, and peace	224
4.3 Why Does a Given Degree of Universalism Remain Necessary?	226
4.4 Identification and Delimitation of Universal Human Rights Standards	236
A. Proposal for a methodology to identify universal human rights standards	236
B. Delimitation of universalism: i) foundational universalism	239
C. Continued: ii) conceptual universalism	239
D. Continued: iii) structural universalism	241
E. Concluding remarks	243
5. General Conclusions	245
<i>Bibliography</i>	249
<i>Index</i>	271