

# **Flexible Multi-Tier Dispute Resolution in International Tax Disputes**

Editors

Pasquale Pistone  
Jan J.P. de Goede

IBFD

## Table of Contents

<b>Preface</b>	v
<b>Introduction</b>	xxv
<i>Diana van Hout</i>	
1. Background and reason of the research	xxv
1.1. Growing number of cross-border tax disputes	xxv
1.2. Flexible multi-tier solutions for international tax disputes	xxviii
1.3. Mediation in international tax disputes	xxxiii
2. Purpose and scope of the research	xxxvii
3. Methodology, approach and the researchpartners	xxxix
Appendix 1: Research Blocks	xlii
Stage 0-2 (excluding mediation): Prevention and facilitation of the settlement of tax disputes	xlii
Stage 2: Mediation	xliv
Stage 3	xlix

### Part I

#### Prevention of Tax Disputes and Facilitation of Their Settlement in Domestic and Cross-Border Situations

##### *Prevention of Tax Disputes*

<b>Chapter 1: Flexible Multi-Tier Dispute Resolution: The Croatian Experience</b>	3
<i>Natasa Zunic Kovacevic, Stjepan Gadzo and Irena Klemencic</i>	
1.1. Introduction	3
1.2. Prevention of international tax disputes	4
1.2.1. Domestic: Between taxpayers and tax authorities	4
1.2.2. International: Between disputing states	5

## Table of Contents

1.3.	Partners are capable of dealing with international tax disputes	9
1.3.1.	Domestic: Between taxpayers and tax authorities	9
1.3.1.1.	General framework	9
1.3.1.2.	Mechanisms to guarantee the correct interpretation of tax legislation	12
1.3.1.2.1.	Rulings	12
1.3.1.2.2.	APAs (advance pricing agreements)	14
1.3.1.2.3.	Final interview	16
1.3.1.2.4.	Tax settlement	17
1.3.2.	International: Between states	18
1.4.	Concluding remarks	21
<b>Chapter 2:</b>	<b>Flexible Multi-Tier Dispute Resolution: The German Experience</b>	<b>23</b>
	<i>Roman Seer and Anna Lena Wilms</i>	
2.1.	Introduction	23
2.2.	Unilateral procedures dealing with international tax disputes between taxpayers and tax authorities within a state	24
2.2.1.	Rulings regarding future transactions	24
2.2.1.1.	Advance rulings	24
2.2.1.2.	Unilateral APA	28
2.2.1.3.	Enhanced relationships	29
2.2.2.	Contracts under public law regarding past transactions	32
2.3.	Bi- or multilateral procedures dealing with international tax disputes between states	34
2.3.1.	Bi- or multilateral APA	34
2.3.2.	Cross-border rulings, cooperation and information exchange	36
2.4.	Summary	39

*The Use of Independent Non-Judicial Bodies in the Settlement of Tax Disputes: Selected National Experiences*

<b>Chapter 3:</b>	<b>The Use of a Third Person other than a Court in Order to Deal with Tax Disputes: The Greek Experience</b>	43
	<i>Katerina Perrou</i>	
3.1.	Introduction	43
3.2.	The use of third parties for the resolution of domestic tax disputes between the state and the taxpayer	43
3.2.1.	The Directorate for Dispute Resolution of the Independent Authority for Public Revenue	44
3.2.2.	The Greek Ombudsman	47
3.3.	The use of third parties for the resolution of international tax disputes between states	49
3.4.	Concluding remarks	51
<b>Chapter 4:</b>	<b>The Settlement Commission in the Indian Experience</b>	53
	<i>D.P. Sengupta</i>	
4.1.	Background	53
4.2.	The evolution of the essential legal provisions in brief	55
4.3.	Procedure to be followed upon receipt of application for settlement	57
4.4.	Time limit for disposal of cases by the Settlement Commission	58
4.5.	Immunity from prosecution and penalty	59
4.6.	Abatement of proceedings before the Commission	60
4.7.	Reading down of the provision to save constitutionality thereof	61

## Table of Contents

4.8.	Order of the Commission to be conclusive	62
4.9.	Bar on subsequent application for the settlement in certain cases	63
4.10.	Full and true disclosure	64
4.11.	Pendency and disposal	65
4.12.	Conclusion	66

## Part II Tax Mediation

### *Selected National Experiences*

<b>Chapter 5:</b>	<b>Tax Mediation in Belgium and the Netherlands</b> <i>Wendy Hensen and Diana van Hout</i>	71
5.1.	Introduction	71
5.2.	Tax mediation in Belgium	71
5.2.1.	Mediation in Belgian tax disputes: Legal framework and definition	71
5.2.2.	The Belgian Tax Mediation Service: Qualities and techniques of the tax mediator	73
5.2.3.	Case requirements for tax mediation	77
5.2.4.	The stage in which tax mediation is offered	78
5.2.5.	The principle of voluntariness in tax mediation	79
5.2.6.	Confidentiality in tax mediation	80
5.2.7.	The enforceability of the outcome of the mediation	81
5.2.8.	Tax mediation and the influence on legal timelines	82
5.3.	Tax mediation in the Netherlands	83
5.3.1.	Mediation in Dutch tax disputes: Legal framework and definition	84
5.3.2.	The mediator	86
5.3.3.	Mediation techniques	88
5.3.4.	Case requirements for mediation	90
5.3.5.	Mediation agreement and confidentiality	92
5.3.6.	The voluntariness of the procedure	93

5.3.7.	The enforceability of the outcome and the settlement agreement	94
5.3.8.	Influence on legal timelines	95
5.4.	Conclusion	97
<b>Chapter 6:</b>	<b>Tax Mediation in New Zealand, Australia and the United Kingdom</b>	99
	<i>Melinda Jones</i>	
6.1.	Introduction	99
6.2.	Tax mediation in New Zealand	100
6.2.1.	Definitions used to define tax mediation	100
6.2.2.	Is tax mediation offered?	101
6.2.3.	Stage of the tax dispute resolution procedures at which tax mediation offered	102
6.2.4.	Legal framework for tax mediation	105
6.2.5.	Enforceability of tax mediation	105
6.2.6.	Case requirements for tax mediation	106
6.2.7.	Techniques used by the mediator	107
6.2.8.	Confidentiality of tax mediation	107
6.2.9.	Who may act as the mediator?	108
6.2.10.	Enforceability of the settlement agreement from tax mediation	109
6.2.11.	Influence of the tax mediation procedure on legal timelines	110
6.2.12.	General comments on tax mediation in New Zealand in practice	111
6.3.	Tax mediation in Australia	112
6.3.1.	Definitions used to define tax mediation	112
6.3.2.	Is tax mediation offered?	113
6.3.3.	Stage of the tax dispute resolution procedures at which tax mediation offered	114
6.3.4.	Legal framework for tax mediation	115
6.3.5.	Enforceability of tax mediation	117
6.3.6.	Case requirements for tax mediation	118
6.3.7.	Techniques used by the mediator	119
6.3.8.	Confidentiality of tax mediation	120
6.3.9.	Who may act as the mediator?	121

## Table of Contents

6.3.10.	Enforceability of the settlement agreement from tax mediation	122
6.3.11.	Influence of the tax mediation procedure on legal timelines	122
6.3.12.	General comments on tax mediation in Australia in practice	123
6.4.	Tax mediation in the United Kingdom	125
6.4.1.	Definitions used to define tax mediation	125
6.4.2.	Is tax mediation offered?	126
6.4.3.	Stage of the tax dispute resolution procedures at which tax mediation offered	127
6.4.4.	Legal framework for tax mediation	128
6.4.5.	Enforceability of tax mediation	129
6.4.6.	Case requirements for tax mediation	130
6.4.7.	Techniques used by the mediator	131
6.4.8.	Confidentiality of tax mediation	132
6.4.9.	Who may act as the mediator?	133
6.4.10.	Enforceability of the settlement agreement from tax mediation	134
6.4.11.	Influence of the tax mediation procedure on legal timelines	134
6.4.12.	General comments on tax mediation in the United Kingdom in practice	135
6.5.	Conclusion	136
<b>Chapter 7:</b>	<b>Tax Mediation in Mexico</b>	139
	<i>Edson Uribe</i>	
7.1.	Definitions used to define tax mediation	139
7.2.	Mediation in national tax disputes	140
7.3.	Timing of the mediation	141
7.3.1.	Legal framework for mediation in domestic tax cases	142
7.4.	Enforceability of mediation	142
7.5.	Case requirements for mediation and scope of application	143

7.6.	Techniques used by the mediator	144
7.7.	Confidentiality of tax mediation	147
7.8.	Qualifications to act as mediator	148
7.9.	Enforceability of the settlement agreement	148
<b>Chapter 8:</b>	<b>Tax Mediation in the United States</b>	151
	<i>Yariv Brauner</i>	
8.1.	Introduction	151
8.2.	Definitions used to define tax mediation	151
8.3.	Is tax mediation offered?	151
8.4.	Are there any legal boundaries to prohibit the implementation of mediation?	152
8.5.	Is mediation enforceable (mandatory or voluntary)?	153
8.6.	What are the case requirements for mediation and what kind of disputes does mediation resolve?	153
8.7.	What kind of techniques are used by the mediator?	154
8.8.	Is mediation confidential and what is the relationship with the confidentiality provisions in tax law?	155
8.9.	Who may act as a mediator?	155
8.10.	Is the settlement agreement (reached in mediation) enforceable?	156
8.11.	Legal framework for mediation in domestic tax cases	157
8.11.1.	Pre-filing dispute resolution	158
8.11.2.	Dispute resolution during examination	159
8.11.3.	Appeals	161
8.11.4.	Post-Appeals mediation and arbitration	161
8.11.5.	ADR in the US Tax Court	162



## Table of Contents

8.12.	Has a mediation procedure any influence on legal timelines?	163
8.13.	Conclusion	164
<i>Mediation in Cross-Border Settings: Policy Perspectives and Ramifications on Existing Practices</i>		
<b>Chapter 9:</b>	<b>Can Mediation Improve (the Efficiency of) the MAP?</b>	169
	<i>Juliane Groper</i>	
9.1.	Introduction	169
9.2.	Setting the context - What is mediation?	169
9.3.	Advantage or disadvantage for using mediation to improve the MAP?	170
9.4.	Possible ways to improve the efficiency of the MAP between states by the use of mediation	174
9.5.	Potential impacts of mediation as part of the MAP	177
9.6.	The role of the taxpayer in MAP and mediation	179
9.7.	Conclusion	181
<b>Chapter 10:</b>	<b>Mediation: The Swiss Army Knife in the Competent Authority's Toolbox</b>	183
	<i>Patricia A. Brown</i>	
10.1.	Another tool in the toolbox	183
10.2.	Why mediation?	183
10.3.	Mediation styles	187
10.4.	The practicalities of mediation	189
10.4.1.	Finding an audience for mediation of tax disputes	189

10.4.2.	Matching mediation to underlying problems	190
10.4.2.1.	The competent authorities just don't understand each other	191
10.4.2.2.	The competent authorities just don't trust each other	191
10.4.2.3.	The case is too big	192
10.4.2.4.	The taxpayer may have unrealistic expectations	193
10.4.2.5.	The competent authority is overwhelmed	193
10.5.	Conclusion	194
<b>Chapter 11:</b>	<b>Using Mediation for the Resolution of Cross-Border Tax Disputes</b>	197
	<i>Katerina Perrou</i>	
11.1.	Introduction	197
11.2.	Domestic tax disputes versus international tax disputes: Are they different?	201
11.3.	Types of international tax disputes for which mediation would be appropriate	203
11.4.	Mediation in cases with multiple parties and multiple issues	204
11.5.	Mediation and the protection of confidentiality	206
11.6.	Taxpayer's rights in a mediation	207
11.7.	The mediation procedure: Flexibility vs strict timelines	208
11.8.	The interaction between mediation and arbitration	209
11.9.	Concluding remarks	209

## Table of Contents

<b>Chapter 12: A Negotiator, Not a Judge: Choosing the Right Mediator to Aid in Resolving Cross Border Tax Disputes</b>	211
<i>Patricia A. Brown</i>	
12.1. Introduction	211
12.2. Form and flexibility	212
12.2.1. Form - The minimum requirements	212
12.2.2. Flexibility - The intangibles	216
12.2.2.1. Specialist vs non-specialist	216
12.2.2.2. Experience	218
12.2.2.3. Managing the process - The boxman	219
12.3. Conclusion	223
12.4. Annex	224
<b>Chapter 13: The Inception of a Mediation System in Brazil for Resolution of Disputes in International Tax Law</b>	227
<i>Victor Polizelli</i>	
13.1. Preliminary remarks on the Brazilian legal context	228
13.2. Basic requirements to implement mediation in cross-border disputes	232
13.3. Scope of mediation and types of disputes covered	234
13.4. Important features of the design of international ADR mechanisms	236
13.5. Enforceability of mediation	237
13.6. Confidentiality and transparency	239
13.7. Taxpayer's rights in a mediation	243
13.8. Minimum rights of the contracting states in a mediation and administrative issues	245

13.9.	Timelines required and affected by mediation	246
13.10.	Final remarks	248
PartHI		
Settlement of the Dispute by a Third Party		
<b>Chapter 14:</b>	<b>MAP Arbitration in Tax Treaty Disputes</b>	253
	<i>Hans Mooij</i>	
14.1.	Relation between MAP mediation and MAP arbitration	253
14.1.1.	Mutual exclusivity	253
14.1.2.	Authorities' accountability	255
14.1.3.	"Big happy family"	256
14.2.	The case for MAP arbitration	256
14.2.1.	Historical neglect	256
14.2.2.	Reluctant change	258
14.2.3.	Voluntary arbitration	260
14.2.4.	Amicable settlement	260
14.2.5.	"Threat"	263
14.2.6.	Necessity	264
14.2.7.	APAs	265
14.2.8.	Sovereignty	267
14.2.9.	Developing countries	268
14.2.10.	"Lawmaking"	269
14.2.11.	"Ad hoc"	269
14.3.	New momentum: The BEPSMLI and EU Directive	270
14.3.1.	Default rule	270
14.3.2.	More treaty clauses	272
14.3.3.	More disputes	274
14.3.4.	More pending MAP cases	275
14.4.	How to make MAP arbitration work	277
14.4.1.	Procedural agreements	277
14.4.2.	Type of arbitration	278
14.4.3.	Taxpayer participation	280
14.4.4.	Appointment of arbitrators	281
14.4.5.	Publication	283

## Table of Contents

14.4.6.	Institutionalization	285
14.4.7.	Case sensitivity	286
14.4.8.	Dispute management	286
<b>Chapter 15:</b>	<b>Judicial Systems and International Tax Disputes: The View from India</b>	<b>289</b>
	<i>D.P. Sengupta</i>	
15.1.	Introduction	289
15.2.	Domestic	290
15.2.1.	Availability of court procedures to deal with international tax disputes	290
15.2.2.	The judicial process involving tax matters	290
15.2.3.	The normal assessment process	290
15.2.4.	The appellate process	291
15.2.4.1.	The right to appeal	291
15.2.4.2.	CITA	291
15.2.4.3.	Dispute resolution panel - Alternative assessment process	292
15.2.4.4.	TheITAT	292
15.2.4.5.	The High Court	293
15.2.4.6.	The Supreme Court	293
15.2.4.7.	Binding nature of the Supreme Court's order	293
15.2.5.	The special leave petitions	294
15.2.6.	The authority of advance ruling (AAR)	294
15.2.7.	Writ jurisdiction of High Courts and of the Supreme Court in tax matters	295
15.2.8.	Whether court procedure is sufficient to deal with international tax dispute	297
15.3.	Strategies adopted by the government to reduce litigation	300
15.3.1.	Collegium approach to check mechanical filing of appeals to High Court	301
15.3.2.	Legal measures taken for preventing repetitive appeal by the revenue	302
15.3.3.	Transfer pricing disputes - Introduction of APAs and safe harbours	302
15.3.4.	Safe harbours	304

15.3.5.	Availability of non-judicial procedure - Investment protection agreements: <i>Vodafone, Cairn, Vedanta</i>	305
15.3.6.	<i>Vodafone</i> case and BIPA arbitration	307
15.4.	Binding arbitration - The Indian position	311
15.5.	Administrative dispute resolution, is it an option?	312
15.6.	Conclusion	313
15.7.	Appendix - Binding arbitration: Reactions of officials	314
<b>Chapter 16:</b>	<b>Baseball Arbitration: The Trendiest Alternative Dispute Resolution Mechanism in International Taxation</b>	<b>317</b>
	<i>Luis Flavio Neto</i>	
16.1.	Introduction	317
16.2.	Baseball arbitration pattern in international tax matters: The last-best-offer mechanism	318
16.3.	Tax treaty baseball arbitration: Main features, procedural rules and controversies	321
16.3.1.	The accessory character of baseball arbitration clauses in international tax matters: A mechanism supplementary to the MAP	321
16.3.2.	Mandatory and voluntary baseball arbitration: Triggering the third stage of the MAP	324
16.3.3.	The enforceability of tax treaty baseball arbitration opinions	326
16.3.4.	The scope of tax treaty baseball arbitration	328
16.3.5.	The selection of arbitrators for tax treaty baseball arbitration	330
16.3.6.	Presenting the offers and supporting arguments: The last best offer in motion	332
16.3.6.1.	The offer: A fundamental item for tax treaty baseball arbitration	332
16.3.6.2.	The supporting position paper: A highly advisable accessory submission for last-best-offer arbitration	334
16.3.7.	The decision-making process in tax treaty baseball arbitration	336

## Table of Contents

16.3.8.	The participation of taxpayers in the baseball arbitration process	337
16.3.9.	Secrecy and transparency matters in tax treaty baseball arbitration	340
16.3.10.	Costs related to tax treaty baseball arbitration	342
16.4.	Final remarks	345
<b>Chapter 17:</b>	<b>The EU Directive on Tax Dispute Resolution Mechanisms in the European Union: A Flexible but still Perfectible Tool for Resolving International Tax Disputes</b>	<b>349</b>
	<i>Stefano M. Ronco</i>	
17.1.	Introduction	349
17.2.	Introductory provisions and notion of complaint	352
17.2.1.	Background	352
17.2.2.	Scope of application and notion of complaint under the Directive	354
17.2.3.	Rules of interpretation in the context of the Directive	360
17.3.	The interaction of the Directive with other instruments: An intertwined relationship	361
17.3.1.	The Directive as secondary law of the European Union	361
17.3.2.	The interaction of the Directive with other instruments	365
17.4.	The starting point of the procedure: Complaint of the taxpayer, preliminary aspects of the procedure and role of each competent authority in connection thereto	367
17.5.	The decision on the acceptance or rejection of the complaint by competent authorities and its procedural consequences: General considerations	373
17.6.	The listed grounds for rejecting the complaint under article 5(1) of the Directive	376

17.7.	Consequences of the decision of acceptance/ rejection made by all competent authorities involved and the interaction with domestic adjudicatory proceedings challenging the unanimous decision of rejection of the complaint	377
17.8.	The MAP as the result of the acceptance by all competent authorities of the complaint	381
17.9.	The setting up of the quasi-arbitration phase on the basis of article 6	385
17.10.	The two types of decision of the Advisory Commission	388
17.11.	The role of the dispute resolution body as an arbitration-like tool	392
17.12.	The different forms of the arbitration-like settings: The Advisory Commission and the Alternative Dispute Resolution Commission	393
17.13.	The main aspect of the arbitration-like procedure in the Advisory Commission's setting	396
17.13.1.	The composition of the Advisory Commission and the list of "independent persons of standing" under article 9	396
17.13.2.	The rules of functioning with particular reference to the Advisory Commission's setting	398
17.13.3.	The appointments of the Advisory Commission by competent courts or national appointing body	403
17.13.4.	Affected persons' right to be heard in connection to the arbitration-like phase and the powers of the dispute resolution body	405
17.14.	The opinion of the arbitration-like body and the final decision of the competent authorities	406
17.15.	Interaction with domestic proceedings	413



## Table of Contents

### Part IV Concluding Remarks

<b>Chapter 18: Summary of Findings</b>	423
<i>Diana van Hout</i>	
18.1. General remarks	423
18.2. Preventing and avoiding disputes	425
18.2.1. Collaboration programmes	425
18.2.1.1. National	425
18.2.1.2. International	427
18.2.1.2.1. Collaboration between tax authorities with foreign counterparts	427
18.2.1.2.2. Memberships in different organizations involved with international taxation	428
18.2.1.2.3. Joint audits	428
18.2.2. Other procedures in dealing with different perspectives	430
18.2.2.1. National	430
18.2.2.2. International	432
18.3. Non-binding procedures	433
18.3.1. National	434
18.3.2. International	435
18.4. Binding dispute resolution	437
18.4.1. National	437
18.4.2. International	438
18.4.2.1. Arbitration	438
18.4.2.2. Legal implications of arbitration	439
18.5. Mediation in domestic tax law	441
18.5.1. What is mediation?	441
18.5.2. Functionality of mediation	444
18.5.2.1. Stages of levying tax	444
18.5.2.2. What kind of disputes?	445
18.5.2.3. The task of the mediator: Expert review or facilitative?	446
18.5.2.4. The experience with mediation	448
18.5.3. What are the legal implications of mediation in domestic tax law?	450

18.5.3.1. Legal basis of mediation	450
18.5.3.2. The mediator	450
18.5.3.3. Confidentiality	452
18.5.3.4. The settlement agreement	453
18.6. Mediation in international tax disputes	454
18.6.1. Functionality of mediation	454
18.6.1.1. What can mediation add compared to other procedures?	454
18.6.1.2. At what stage?	458
18.6.1.3. What kind of disputes?	458
18.6.1.4. Implementing mediation	459
18.6.1.5. The parties involved	462
18.6.1.6. The mediator	463
18.6.1.6.1. Impartial and independent	463
18.6.1.6.2. Task of the mediator: Mediation techniques	464
18.6.1.6.3. Mediator skills	465
18.6.1.6.4. Mediator's fee	466
18.6.2. Legal implication of mediation	468
18.6.2.1. Confidentiality during the mediation	468
18.6.2.2. Settlement agreement	470
18.6.2.2.1. Discretionary authority to negotiate about a tax liability	470
18.6.2.2.2. Confidentiality and transparency of the outcome	471
18.6.2.2.3. Substance and enforcement	473
Appendix A	474
Appendix B	476
Appendix C	478
<b>Chapter 19 The Flexible Multi-Tier Dispute Resolution Framework and Our Final Conclusions and Recommendations</b>	483
<i>Pasquale Pistone and Jan J.P de Goede</i>	
19.1. Introduction	483
19.2. The Flexible Multi-Tier Dispute Resolution (FMDR) Framework in a nutshell	483

## Table of Contents

19.3.	Dispute avoidance and settlement between the parties concerned or by binding decision of a third party	488
19.4.	Non-binding dispute resolution: Mediation	491
19.4.1.	General issues	491
19.4.2.	Mediation in domestic tax disputes	492
19.4.3.	Mediation in international tax disputes	497
19.4.3.1.	Possible advantages and drawbacks	497
19.4.3.2.	The right time for mediating cross-border tax disputes	499
19.4.3.3.	The global guidelines for mediating cross-border tax disputes	501
19.4.3.3.1.	General issues	501
19.4.3.3.2.	The involvement of the affected persons	502
19.4.3.3.3.	The mediator	505
19.4.3.3.4.	Confidentiality	507
19.4.3.3.5.	The settlement agreement and its enforcement	508
19.5.	Recommendations	510
19.5.1.	Prevention of cross-border tax disputes	511
19.5.2.	Early settlement of cross-border tax disputes	512
19.5.3.	The involvement of the affected person(s) in cross-border tax disputes	512
19.5.4.	Mediation in the framework of MAPs	513
19.5.5.	Legal basis for introducing mediation in the framework of MAPs	515
19.5.6.	Setting the boundaries for the use of mediation in cross-border tax disputes	516
19.5.7.	The enforcement and collection of the mutual agreement and settlement agreement	518
19.5.8.	International tax arbitration as quasi-judicial instrument of last resort	520
19.5.9.	Denied access to arbitration in the European Union	521
<b>List of Contributing Authors</b>		<b>523</b>