

Carl Baudenbacher

Editor

The Fundamental Principles of EEA Law

EEA-ities

Contents

Legislative Homogeneity	1
Dag Wern0 Holter	
1 Introduction	2
2 The Notion of Homogeneity in the EEA Agreement	2
3 Homogeneity: A Prerequisite for the Functioning of the Internal Market	4
4 Decision Making in the EEA	5
5 Decision Shaping in the EEA	8
6 A Case in Point: The Financial Supervisory Authorities	10
7 Reality and Limits of Legislative Homogeneity	13
8 Homogeneity and Sovereignty	15
References	17
Judicial Homogeneity as a Fundamental Principle of the EEA	19
Philipp Speitler	
1 The Wider Picture	20
1.1 Uniform Interpretation of the Lugano Convention: The Original Story	20
1.2 Uniform Interpretation of the 2007 Lugano Convention: The New Story	21
2 The Set-Up of the EEA's Judiciary	22
3 Homogeneity and Dispute Settlement Mechanism Under the Agreement	23
4 The Luxembourg Courts Operating Under EEA Homogeneity Rules	24
4.1 From One-Way Street Homogeneity to Judicial Dialogue	26
4.2 The Branches of Homogeneity	27
4.3 First Mover Scenarios	29

5	From Snapshot in Time Homogeneity to a Process-Oriented Concept	29
6	How Has It Worked So Far?	32
	References	32
	Reciprocity	35
	Carl Baudenbacher	
1	Introduction	35
2	Early Literature	37
	2.1 Starting Point	37
	2.2 Direct Effect and Primacy	37
	2.3 State Liability	39
	2.4 Obligation of the Courts of Last Resort to Refer?	40
	2.5 Legal Nature of the Court's Preliminary Rulings	40
3	Early Case-Law	41
	3.1 ECJ Opinion 1/91	41
	3.2 Jurisprudence of the EEA Courts	41
	3.3 Jurisprudence of National Courts of Last Resort	44
4	A New Mantra: 'Room for Manoeuvre'	48
	4.1 General	48
	4.2 No Direct Effect and No Primacy, Full Stop	49
	4.3 Freedom of the Courts of Last Resort to Refer	49
	4.4 The Court's Preliminary Rulings are Only Non-binding Advice	51
	4.5 Criticism of the Sovereigntist Approach	52
	4.6 No 'Room for Manoeuvre' Claims in Iceland and Liechtenstein	54
5	The 2012-2014 Conflict with the Norwegian Supreme Court	56
	5.1 Systematic Refusal to Refer Between 2002 and 2015	56
	5.2 <i>Irish Bank</i> and <i>Jonsson</i> : A Quasi-Obligation to Refer	57
	5.3 Business as Usual After <i>Irish Bank</i> and <i>Jonsson</i> ?	59
	5.4 The <i>STX</i> Case	59
	5.5 From Confrontation to Conciliation	61
6	Assessment of the Icelandic Appeal System	64
7	Judicial Independence	65
8	Conclusions	66
	8.1 General	66
	8.2 Limited Obligation of Courts of Last Resort to Refer	66
	8.3 Legal Nature of the Court's Preliminary Rulings	68
	References	69
	The Principle of Sincere Cooperation in EEA Law	73
	John Temple Lang	
1	Introduction	73
2	Treaty Provisions	73
3	The European Economic Area	74

Contents	t	xi
4	The Principle of Sincere Cooperation	75
5	Differences	76
6	Article 6 of the European Convention of Human Rights	77
7	Some Case Law of the EFTA Court on Article 3	78
8	Nullity Under the EEA Agreement	83
9	Incomplete Compliance with the Principle of Sincere Cooperation....	84
10	The Principle of Sincere Cooperation and Homogeneity	85
11	Sincere Cooperation and Judicial Dialogue	87
12	Legal Certainty	88
13	Implications	89
	References	89
	Sovereignty	91
	Mads Andenas	
1	Introduction	91
2	Sovereignty and Interpretation	92
3	More About Sovereignty in International Law and in Domestic Courts	97
4	EU Law and the EFTA Court	102
5	The EEA and Four Sovereignties	105
6	Increasing Pressure on the EEA	105
	References	107
	Prosperity in the EEA	109
	Sven Erik Svedman	
1	Introduction	109
2	The Concept of Prosperity in the EEA	110
3	The Benefits of Free Trade	111
4	Improvement of Working and Living Conditions	113
5	Ensuring Open and Fair Markets	114
6	Protection of the Environment	116
7	Changes Brought About by the Internet and the Digital Economy	116
8	A Need to Make Citizens More Aware of Their Rights	118
9	Conclusion	119
	References	121
	Priority	123
	Carsten Zatschler	
1	Introduction	123
2	Ways of Shaping the EEA	124
	2.1 Legislative Priorities	124
	2.2 Priorities in Developing the EEA Agreement	126
	2.3 Enforcement Priorities	127
3	Setting Priorities	129
	3.1 Priorities to What Ends?	129

3.2	Priorities for Impact	130
3.3	Priorities for Homogeneity	134
3.4	Priorities for Communication	135
4	Conclusion	137
	References	137
	The Authority of the EFTA Court	139
	Skuli Magnusson	
1	Introduction	139
2	Historic and Legal Context of Advisory Opinions	142
2.1	The Absence of a Common Preliminary Reference Procedure . . .	143
2.2	Procedural Autonomy of the EEA/EFTA States v Homogeneity of EEA Law	144
2.3	Advisory Opinions' Role in a Coherent Judicial System	146
3	No Obligation to Follow an Advisory Opinion?	147
3.1	Sovereignty and Advisory Opinions	149
4	No Obligation to Refer?	150
5	The Authority of EFTA Court's Case-Law	153
5.1	The Paradox of Judicial Competence and Stare Decisis	154
5.2	Treating EFTA Court Case-Law as Binding	155
5.3	Judicial Homogeneity and EFTA Court Case-Law	156
6	EFTA Court Case-Law vis-a-vis the ECJ	158
6.1	Judicial Homogeneity and Its Limits	159
6.2	The EFTA Court and the Nature of Adjudication	160
6.3	Adjudicating on EEA Law	160
6.4	The Problem of Conflicting Case-Law	161
7	Towards <i>de facto</i> Authority	163
8	Final Remarks	167
	References	168
	Proportionality as a Fundamental Principle of EEA Law	169
	Carl Baudenbacher and Theresa Haas	
1	A European Principle	169
1.1	Origins in Germany	169
1.2	Emergence Across Europe	170
1.3	Excursus: Emergence Beyond Europe	172
2	Different Concepts of Proportionality	175
2.1	General	175
2.2	ECJ	176
2.3	ECtHR	177
2.4	EFTA Court	179
2.5	Analysis	190
3	National Courts in the EFTA Pillar Applying Domestic Law	194
3.1	Iceland	194
3.2	Liechtenstein	196

3.3	Norway	197
4	National Courts in the EFTA Pillar Applying EEA Law	199
4.1	Iceland	199
4.2	Liechtenstein	200
4.3	Norway	203
5	Conclusion	209
	References	210
	Equality	215
	Magnus Schmauch	
1	Equality in the EEA Agreement	215
1.1	Defining Equality	215
1.2	Equality in the EEA Agreement	216
1.3	The Presumption of Equality Between the EU and the EFTA States	217
2	The Non-Equal System: Regulating the Prohibition Against Market Abuse	218
2.1	The Fragmented Pillar System: Equality in a Multi-Level EEA	218
2.2	Equality in the Institutional Set-up: ESMA	222
3	The Presumption of Equality: The Case Law on Winding Up Financial Undertakings in the EEA	224
4	Equality: More than a Tool in the Box	229
	References	229
	State Liability in the EEA	231
	Michael Waibel and Fiona Petersen	
1	Introduction	231
2	The Theoretical Justification for State Liability in the EEA	232
2.1	A Traditional Treaty or a New Legal Order?	232
2.2	Effectiveness and Institutional Balance	233
2.3	Homogeneity	235
2.4	The Fidelity Clause	236
3	The Criteria for State Liability in the EEA	236
3.1	A Sufficiently Serious Breach	237
3.2	The Provision Must Intend to Confer Rights on Individuals	238
3.3	A Causal Link Between Breach and Damage	238
4	The <i>Icesave I</i> Case	240
5	Conclusion	245
	References	246
	Index	249