

# **Austrian Yearbook**

on


## **International Arbitration 2018**

The Editors

**Christian Klausegger, Peter Klein, Florian Kremslehner,  
Alexander Petsche, Nikolaus Pitkowitz, Irene Welsler,  
Gerold Zeiler**

The Authors

**Dirk Buschle, Lucia Dulovičová, Philip Exenberger, Alice Fremuth-Wolf,  
Simon Gabriel, Johannes Gasser, Catrice Gayer, Beata Gessel, Shiva Ghahremani,  
Monika Hartung, Gefion Hauer, Duarte G. Henriques, Thomas Herbst, Laurent Hirsch,  
Katharina Kitzberger, Gregor A. Klammer, Nefeli Lamprou, Johannes Landbrecht,  
Niamh Leinwather, Brian Lin, Martin Magál, Natalie Morris-Sharma,  
Michael Nueber, Sonja Otenhajmer, Irina Paliashvili, Nada Ina Pauer, Ulrike Paukner,  
Victoria Pernt, Nikolaus Pitkowitz, Karl Pörnbacher, Michele Potestà,  
Dietmar W. Prager, Iain Quirk, Lucia Raimanová, Tobias Schaffner, Markus Schifferl,  
Philipp Schwarz, Barbara Sessler, Anke Sessler, Alfred Siwy, Sherlin Tung,  
Bianca Vogt, Lukas Wedl, Kay-Jannes Wegner, Irene Welsler, Venus Valentina Wong**



Wien 2018

**MANZ'sche Verlags- und Universitätsbuchhandlung  
Verlag C.H. Beck, München  
Stämpfli Verlag, Bern**

# Table of Contents

Introduction .....	III
Overview .....	V
The Editors and Authors .....	XVII

## Chapter I

### The Arbitration Agreement

*Irene Welser/Gregor Klammer*

<b>Unilateral Arbitration Clauses</b> .....	3
I. George Washington's Last Will .....	3
II. Definition And Legal Framework .....	4
III. Legal Nature And Basis .....	5
A. The Phrase " <i>In A Legally Valid Manner</i> " .....	6
B. "Direct-Basis-Approach" .....	6
C. Alternative Approaches And Theories .....	8
D. Conclusion .....	11
IV. Reach Of Arbitration Clauses .....	11
A. Testamentary Arbitration Clauses .....	12
B. Arbitration Clauses In Foundation Deeds .....	13
C. Arbitration Clauses In Prize Offers .....	15
V. Subjective Arbitrability .....	16
VI. Formal Requirements .....	17
A. General Formal Requirements .....	17
B. Consumer Protection Provisions .....	18
VII. Objective Arbitrability .....	19
A. Claims Under Inheritance Law .....	19
B. Claims In Connection With A Foundation .....	21
VIII. International Inheritance Law .....	22
IX. Conclusion .....	22

*Johannes Gasser/Michael Nueber*

<b>Arbitration Of Foundation And Trust Disputes In Liechtenstein</b> .....	25
I. Introduction .....	25
II. Liechtenstein Arbitration Act 2010 .....	26
A. Introduction .....	26
B. Arbitrability .....	26
C. Arbitration Agreement .....	27
D. Relationship Between Courts And Arbitral Tribunals .....	27

E. Interim Measures .....	28
F. Annulment Claims .....	28
G. Miscellaneous .....	29
H. Liechtenstein Arbitration Act 2017 .....	29
III. Liechtenstein Rules .....	30
A. Confidentiality .....	31
B. Procedure .....	31
IV. Foundation Disputes .....	32
A. Introduction .....	32
B. Admissibility Of Arbitration Clauses In Foundation Deeds .....	32
C. Arbitrability Of Foundation Disputes .....	33
D. Scope Of The Arbitration Clause .....	35
E. Consumer Protection .....	36
V. Trust Disputes .....	36
VI. Outlook .....	37

## Chapter II

### The Arbitrator and the Arbitration Procedure

*Monika Hartung*

<b>Transparency In Arbitration Institutions' Scrutiny Of Arbitrators</b> .....	41
I. Introduction .....	41
II. Control Mechanisms .....	42
III. List Of Arbitrators .....	44
IV. Default Appointment .....	45
V. Default Appointment – Statistics .....	46
VI. Exclusion Of Arbitrator .....	48
VII. Exclusion Of Arbitrator – Statistics .....	49
VIII. Scrutiny Of The Arbitral Award .....	50
IX. Summing-Up .....	51

*Simon Gabriel/Johannes Landbrecht*

### Of Confidentiality Orders And Confidentiality Offers

#### A Swiss Perspective On The Effect And Effectiveness Of Measures To Protect Confidentiality .....

I. Confidentiality Expectations In International Arbitration .....	53
II. Confidentiality "Orders": Implementing Confidentiality Expectations (Overview) .....	55
III. Pronouncements Regarding Existing Confidentiality Obligations .....	56
A. The Effect And Effectiveness Of Arbitral Orders .....	57
1. During The Arbitral Proceedings .....	57
2. After The End Of The Arbitral Proceedings .....	59
B. The Effect And Effectiveness Of Arbitral Awards .....	61
C. Recourse To The Swiss <i>juge d'appui</i> (Art 183 PILA) .....	62
IV. <i>Ad hoc</i> Creation Of A Protective Regime .....	62

A. By The Tribunal? .....	63
1. General Principles .....	63
2. Express Authorization To "Create" Confidentiality Obligations .....	65
B. By The Parties .....	66
1. Statutory Limits Regarding Protective Regimes? .....	66
2. On Confidentiality "Offers" .....	67
3. Protecting A Party That Is Requested To Produce Information .....	67
a) The Parties' Responsibilities .....	67
b) The Tribunal's Role .....	68
4. Protecting A Party That Needs To Rely On Information In Its Possession .....	71
a) Factual Scenario .....	71
b) The Parties' Responsibilities .....	72
c) The Tribunal's Role .....	72
d) Differentiating Substantive Confidentiality Obligations And Mechanisms To (Merely) Implement Confidentiality Concerns? .....	73
V. Summary .....	75

*Sherlin Hsieh-lin Tung/Brian Lin*

<b>More Transparency In International Commercial Arbitration: To Have Or Not To Have?</b> .....	77
I. Introduction .....	77
II. The General Advantages And Disadvantages Of Confidentiality In International Commercial Arbitration .....	78
A. Advantages Of Confidentiality In International Commercial Arbitration .....	78
1. A Key Characteristic And Selling Point Of Arbitration .....	78
2. A Driver Of Efficient Arbitral Proceedings .....	79
B. Criticisms Of Confidentiality In International Commercial Arbitration .....	81
1. Accountability Of Arbitrators .....	82
2. Consistency In Arbitral Awards .....	83
3. Development In An Autonomous Legal Order .....	84
III. Arbitral Institutions And Confidentiality .....	84
A. Confidentiality Of The Arbitral Proceeding To Third Parties ...	85
B. Confidentiality Of Arbitral Institution Actions And Decisions ..	89
IV. Confidentiality In <i>Ad Hoc</i> Arbitrations .....	89
V. Scope Of Transparency In International Commercial Arbitrations ..	91
A. Narrow Scope Of Transparency .....	92
B. Wider Scope Of Transparency .....	93
VI. Conclusion .....	94

*Michael Nueber/Nada Ina Pauer*

<b>Arbitration As A Means Of Private Enforcement Of Competition Law – Where Do We Stand?</b> .....	95
I. Introduction .....	95
II. Relationship Between EU Competition Law And Commercial Arbitration .....	96
III. Private Enforcement Of Competition Law .....	103
IV. Arbitrating Competition Law Disputes .....	107
V. Scope Of The Arbitration Agreement .....	112
VI. The Damages Directive And The Arbitration Of Competition Law ..	115
VII. Conclusion .....	119

**Chapter III  
The Courts**

*Natalie Y. Morris-Sharma*

<b>The Changing Landscape Of Arbitration: UNCITRAL's Work On The Enforcement Of Conciliated Settlement Agreements</b> .....	123
I. Introduction .....	123
II. UNCITRAL's Earlier Work On The 2002 Model Law On International Commercial Conciliation .....	125
A. Views For And Against A Harmonized Approach To Enforcement .....	126
B. Options Considered For A Harmonized Approach .....	127
III. UNCITRAL's Current Work On The Enforcement Of Conciliated Settlement Agreements .....	129
A. The Scope And Application Of The New UNCITRAL Instruments .....	130
B. The Necessary Involvement Of A Conciliator And A Conciliation Process .....	132
C. Grounds For Refusing To Grant Relief .....	133
IV. Whether Consent Awards Would Be Rendered Obsolete .....	134
A. Consent Awards As A Vehicle For The Enforcement Of Conciliated Settlement Agreements .....	135
B. Focussing On A Suite Of Options .....	137
V. Conclusion .....	138

*Markus Schifferl/Venus Valentina Wong*

<b>Decisions Of The Austrian Supreme Court On Arbitration In 2016 And 2017</b> .....	139
I. Pending Arbitration .....	139
A. Facts Of The Case .....	139
B. Decision Of The Supreme Court .....	140

C. Additional Remarks .....	141
II. Procedural Public Order .....	142
A. Facts Of The Case .....	142
B. Decision Of The Supreme Court .....	142
C. Additional Remarks .....	143
III. Arbitration Before CIETAC Not Infeasible .....	144
A. Facts Of The Case .....	144
B. Decision Of The Supreme Court .....	145
C. Additional Remarks .....	146
IV. Arbitration Agreement, Choice-Of-Law And European Union Law .....	147
A. Facts Of The Case .....	147
B. Decision of the Supreme Court .....	147
C. Additional Remarks .....	148
V. Sports Arbitration .....	149
A. Facts Of The Case .....	149
B. Decision Of The Supreme Court .....	150
C. Additional Remarks .....	151
VI. Award Set Aside By Wrong Court .....	151
A. Facts Of The Case .....	151
B. Decision Of The Supreme Court .....	152
C. Additional Remarks .....	153

## Chapter IV

### Investment Arbitration

*Michele Potestà*

#### Challenges And Prospects For The Establishment Of

<b>A Multilateral Investment Court: Quo Vadis Enforcement?</b> .....	157
I. Introductory Remarks .....	157
II. Enforcement Of Decisions Of A Future Multilateral Investment Court .....	160
A. Characterization Of The ITI: Court Or Arbitral Tribunal? .....	161
B. Enforcement In Contracting States V. In Non-Contracting States .....	168
C. Would ITI Awards Fall Within The Scope Of The New York Convention? .....	170
1. Would An ITI Award Be An "Award" Under The New York Convention? .....	171
a) "Permanent Arbitral Body" .....	171
b) A-National Award .....	174
2. Are The Requirements For An "Arbitration Agreement" Under The New York Convention Met? .....	175
3. Would The New York Convention Apply To The ITI With A Built-In Appeal? .....	176
III. Conclusive Remarks .....	178

**Chapter V****Recent Publications***Alfred Siwy*

<b>Recent Publications</b> .....	181
----------------------------------	-----

**Excursus: Brexit and Arbitration***Lucia Raimanová/Lucia Dulovičová*

<b>The Implications Of Brexit For International Arbitration</b> .....	189
I. Introduction .....	189
II. UK's Current Vision Of Brexit .....	190
A. UK-EU Relationship .....	191
B. UK's Relationship With Non-EU States .....	193
III. Potential Implications For International Commercial Arbitration ...	194
A. Recognition And Enforcement Of Arbitral Awards .....	194
B. Choice Of The Seat Of Arbitration .....	196
1. Non-EU Parties (Including UK Parties) .....	196
2. EU Parties .....	198
a) EU/EU Party .....	198
b) EU/UK Or Other Non-EU Party .....	199
3. Revival Of Anti-Suit Injunctions .....	199
IV. Potential Implications On Investment Arbitration .....	201
A. Intra-EU IIAs .....	202
1. Current Situation .....	202
2. Possible Post-Brexit Developments .....	204
B. External IIAs .....	204
1. EU's IIAs .....	205
2. UK's IIAs .....	205
V. Conclusion .....	205

**Chapter VI****The Vienna Repositioning Propositions***Nikolaus Pitkowitz/Alice Fremuth-Wolf***The Vienna Repositioning Propositions**

<b>Repositioning Actors And Actions In International Arbitration</b> .....	209
I. Introduction .....	209
II. Repositioning Actors And Actions In Arbitration .....	211
III. World Café Reports .....	215

*Laurent Hirsch/Katharina Kitzberger*

A. Repositioning Arbitrators .....	215
1. Introduction .....	215
2. Control Instruments And Their Effectiveness .....	216
3. Conclusion – More Control Over Arbitrators? .....	218
4. Proposition .....	218

*Martin Magal/Barbara Sesser*

B. Repositioning (The Liability Regime Of) Arbitral Institutions	218
1. Introduction	218
2. Liability Regime Of Institutions	219
3. The Relationship Between The Actors In Arbitration And Its Influence On The Applicable Law	220
a) Relationship Between Arbitrators And Parties	220
b) Relationship Between Institution And Parties	220
c) Relationship Between Institution And Arbitrator(s)	221
4. Defining The Prerequisites Of An Institution's Liability	221
5. Defining An Institution's Duty Of Care	222
6. Conclusion	223

*Dietmar W. Prager/Philip Exenberger*

C. Repositioning Counsel	223
1. Counsel Often Fail To Conduct Arbitrations Efficiently	224
2. Tribunals Can Contribute To "Repositioning" Counsel	224
3. The Parties Can Contribute To "Repositioning" Counsel	226

*Bianca Vogt/Lukas Wedl*

D. Repositioning Tribunals' Secretaries	227
1. Introduction	227
2. Proposition 1: No "Secret Secretary"	228
3. Proposition 2: The Tribunal's Discretion To Appoint A Secretary	228
4. Proposition 3: The Secretary's Role Might Go Beyond The Solely Administrative	228

*Irina Paliashvili/Shiva Ghahremani*

E. Repositioning Experts	229
--------------------------	-----

*Karl Pörnbacher/Philipp Schwarz*

F. Quality In Arbitration	233
1. Introduction	233
2. What Are The Objectives Of Arbitration?	234
3. What Are Objective Quality Criteria For Arbitration?	234
a) The Proceedings	234
b) The Award	234
4. How Can Quality In Arbitration Be Measured And Improved?	236
5. Conclusion	236

*Anke Sessler/Thomas Herbst*

G. Made to Measure Vs. Pret-à-Porter	237
1. Our Repositioning Proposition	237
2. Discussion Report	237
a) Pros And Cons Of Soft Laws	237



b) Implementation Of Soft Laws .....	238
c) Conclusion .....	239
<i>Beata Gessel/Niamh Leinwather</i>	
H. Repositioning Cost Budgeting .....	239
1. Introducing The “Jackson Reform” .....	239
2. Could The “Jackson Reform” Be Applicable To Arbitration? .....	241
a) Appropriate Time In The Proceedings To Discuss Cost Budgeting .....	241
b) The Powers Of The Arbitrator To Implement Cost Budgeting .....	242
3. Winners And Losers Of Cost Budgeting In Arbitration .....	243
4. A Double-Edged Sword – Danger Of Incurring Even More Costs In Arbitration .....	244
5. Outlook .....	244
<i>Duarte G. Henriques/Nefeli Lamprou</i>	
I. Third Party Funding .....	245
1. Introduction .....	245
2. Defining Third Party Funding .....	245
3. Ethical Considerations And The Legitimacy Of TPF – Interference Of Human Rights? .....	246
4. Transparency: To Disclose Or Not To Disclose? .....	248
5. Awarding Costs: Who Pays The Bill At The End Of The Day? .....	248
6. Security For Costs .....	249
7. Control Over The Case .....	250
8. Future Regulation – Conclusive Remarks .....	250
<i>Gefion Hauer/Ulrike Paukner/Catrice Gayer</i>	
J. Small Claims And Accelerated Proceedings .....	251
1. Opt-In Or Opt-Out? .....	252
a) Advantages .....	252
b) Risks And Concerns .....	252
2. “Small” Claims Versus Complexity – Is A Threshold An Advisable Solution? .....	253
3. The Pro-Active Arbitrator: Early Evaluation Of Merits Of A Claim – Explicit Power In Institutional Rules Is Needed .....	254
4. Reduction Of Arbitrators’ Fees In Expedited Proceedings? .....	254
5. Key Messages .....	255
6. Conclusion .....	256
<i>Sonja Otenhajmer/Kay-Jannes Wegner</i>	
K. Emergency Relief In Arbitration Proceedings .....	256

<i>Iain Quirk/Victoria Pernt</i>	
L. The Role Of Transparency In Repositioning Arbitration .....	260
1. Introduction .....	260
2. Tackling Transparency Questions: Divide And Conquer .....	261
3. More Organisational Transparency .....	261
4. More Legal Transparency .....	261
5. Lesser Need For 'Transparency Of Proceedings' .....	262
6. Conclusion .....	263
<i>Dirk Buschle/Tobias Schaffner</i>	
M. Investor State Disputes And ADR .....	263
Index .....	267