

# **European Agencies and Risk Governance in EU Financial Market Law**

**Paul Weismann**

**R** **Routledge**  
Taylor & Francis Group

LONDON AND NEW YORK

# Contents

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| <i>Preface</i>  | x         |
| <i>Abbreviations</i>  | xi        |
| <i>Tables</i>   | xv        |
| <i>List of cases</i>  | xvi       |
| <b>Introduction</b>   | <b>1</b>  |
| <b>1 Preliminaries</b>  | <b>5</b>  |
| I. The term 'agency' in general and in the context of EU law in particular        | 5         |
| 1. Introduction   | 5         |
| 2. Different meanings of the term 'agency'  | 6         |
| 2.1. In a general context   | 6         |
| 2.2. In the context of EU administration  | 7         |
| II. <i>Risk governance</i> as a generic term of a certain set of policies         | 9         |
| 1. Terminology  | 9         |
| 2. Excursus: <i>objective science</i> and <i>subjective politics</i> – a fallacy? | 11        |
| 2.1. The theory of the interplay between science and politics                     | 11        |
| 2.2. Five assumptions on the practice of science and politics                     | 13        |
| III. Administrative output and its legal quality                                  | 16        |
| 1. Introduction   | 16        |
| 2. The output of European agencies in the light of EU primary law                 | 18        |
| <b>2 Core questions of the 'agencification' of risk governance</b>                | <b>21</b> |
| I. Delegation of powers and the <i>Meroni</i> judgement                           | 21        |
| 1. The background of the case   | 21        |
| 2. The parties' submissions and the Opinion of AG <i>Roemer</i>                   | 22        |
| 2.1. The parties' submissions   | 22        |
| 2.2. The Opinion of the Advocate General  | 22        |

|               |   |           |
|---------------|---|-----------|
| 3.            | The decision of the Court   | 23        |
| 4.            | Some remarks on the judgement   | 25        |
| 5.            | <i>Vast-Meroni</i> case law on delegation   | 28        |
| 6.            | The <i>Meroni</i> doctrine and the rise of European agencies                      | 30        |
| 7.            | The <i>Meroni</i> doctrine revisited: the <i>ESMA</i> case                        | 32        |
| II.           | Comitology – a foreshadowing of agencification?                                   | 35        |
| 1.            | The development of comitology over time   | 35        |
| 2.            | The procedures  | 40        |
| 2.1.          | Advisory procedure  | 40        |
| 2.2.          | Examination procedure   | 41        |
| 3.            | Delegated and implementing acts – the new regime                                  | 42        |
| 4.            | Comitology today  | 46        |
| III.          | The EU's 'agencification' – institutionalisation, classification and continuation | 47        |
| 1.            | 'Four waves' of the EU's agencification?  | 47        |
| 2.            | Common characteristics of European agencies                                       | 53        |
| 3.            | Distinguishing European agencies – different approaches                           | 54        |
| 4.            | Has the European agency exceeded its zenith?                                      |           |
| An assessment |   | 58        |
| IV.           | Comitology and agencies – a brief comparison                                      | 62        |
| 1.            | Legal foundation  | 62        |
| 2.            | Rationale   | 65        |
| 3.            | Independence  | 68        |
| 4.            | Excursus: the legal status of expert opinions                                     | 71        |
| V.            | The crisis: alternative ways of risk governance                                   | 75        |
| 1.            | The EFSM/EFSF/ESM and the Troika  | 75        |
| 2.            | The Single Supervisory Mechanism: empowering the ECB                              | 77        |
| 3.            | The Fiscal Compact  | 78        |
| <b>3</b>      | <b>The European System of Financial Supervisors</b>                               | <b>81</b> |
| I.            | The development of a financial market supervisory system                          | 81        |
| 1.            | The Committee of Wise Men and its reports   | 81        |
| 1.1.          | Genesis and main ideas  | 81        |
| 1.2.          | From theory to practice – the implementation of the <i>Lamfalussy</i> Report      | 83        |
| 2.            | The committees within the <i>Lamfalussy</i> framework                             | 84        |
| 2.1.          | The level 2 committees: ESC, EBC, EIOPC   | 84        |
| 2.2.          | The level 3 committees: CESR, CEBS and CEIOPS                                     | 86        |
| 2.3.          | The <i>Lamfalussy</i> process: its rationale and the role of committees           | 91        |
| 3.            | The 2009 reform   | 93        |
| 3.1.          | Room for improvement  | 93        |
| 3.2.          | Extension of competences  | 94        |
| 4.            | The <i>de Larosiere</i> Report  | 97        |
| 4.1.          | Overview  | 97        |

|          |  |     |
|----------|--|-----|
| 4.2.     | The reform of microprudential supervision  | 100 |
| 4.3.     | The reform of macroprudential supervision  | 104 |
| 4.4.     | The resonance of the <i>de Larosiere</i> Report<br>in scholarship and in politics                                    | 105 |
| II.      | The European System of Financial Supervisors   | 106 |
| 1.       | Introduction   | 106 |
| 2.       | The European Systemic Risk Board (ESRB)  | 107 |
| 2.1.     | Tasks  | 107 |
| 2.1.1.   | Collection and exchange of information<br>(Article 15)   | 108 |
| 2.1.2.   | Warnings and recommendations and<br>their follow-up (Articles 16 to 18)  | 109 |
| 2.2.     | Organisation   | 110 |
| 3.       | The European Financial Market Supervisory Authorities  | 112 |
| 3.1.     | Legal basis  | 112 |
| 3.2.     | Seat, legal status, scope and objectives   | 114 |
| 3.3.     | The ESAs' tasks – an overview  | 119 |
| 3.3.1.   | Unification of regulatory and supervisory<br>practices (including the application of<br>Union law; Article 8 para 1) | 119 |
| 3.3.2.   | Provision of a sound information flow<br>(Article 8 para 1)  | 120 |
| 3.3.3.   | Long-term monitoring of the market with<br>a view to systemic risks (Article 8 para 1)                               | 120 |
| 3.3.4.   | Protection of stakeholders (Articles 8 and 9<br>para 1)  | 120 |
| 3.3.5.   | Tasks related to financial activities (Article 9)  | 121 |
| 3.4.     | The ESAs' powers   | 121 |
| 3.4.1.   | Regulatory technical standards (RTS)   | 121 |
| 3.4.1.1. | General remarks  | 121 |
| 3.4.1.2. | Genesis: cooperation between<br>the Commission and the ESAs  | 122 |
| 3.4.1.3. | Delegation of power and its<br>revocation  | 124 |
| 3.4.1.4. | Objections by the EP and/or<br>the Council   | 125 |
| 3.4.1.5. | The ESAs' role in the making<br>of RTS – an appraisal  | 126 |
| 3.4.2.   | Implementing technical standards (ITS)   | 128 |
| 3.4.3.   | Binding decisions  | 132 |
| 3.4.3.1. | Breach of Union law (Article 17)   | 133 |
| 3.4.3.2. | Action in emergency situations<br>(Article 18)   | 138 |
| 3.4.3.3. | Settlement of disagreements<br>between competent authorities in<br>cross-border situations (Article 19)              | 142 |

|          |  |            |
|----------|--|------------|
| 3.4.3.4. | The relationship between the regimes laid down in Articles 17, 18 and 19                                     | 144        |
| 3.4.4.   | Guidelines and recommendations   | 146        |
| 3.4.5.   | Legal protection against ESA-output  | 149        |
| 3.5.     | Organisation: tasks and composition of the ESAs' organs  | 152        |
| 3.5.1.   | The Chairperson  | 153        |
| 3.5.1.1. | Tasks  | 153        |
| 3.5.1.2. | Appointment and dismissal  | 153        |
| 3.5.2.   | The Executive Director   | 154        |
| 3.5.2.1. | Tasks  | 154        |
| 3.5.2.2. | Appointment and dismissal  | 155        |
| 3.5.3.   | The Board of Supervisors   | 155        |
| 3.5.3.1. | Tasks  | 155        |
| 3.5.3.2. | Composition and decision-making  | 156        |
| 3.5.3.3. | Internal committees and panels   | 159        |
| 3.5.4.   | The Management Board   | 160        |
| 3.5.4.1. | Tasks  | 160        |
| 3.5.4.2. | Composition and decision-making  | 160        |
| 3.5.5.   | The Joint Committee of the ESAs  | 162        |
| 3.5.6.   | The Board of Appeal  | 163        |
| 3.6.     | Information, networks and budget   | 166        |
| 3.6.1.   | Preliminary remarks  | 166        |
| 3.6.2.   | Risk assessment and risk management  | 166        |
| 3.6.3.   | Relations with competent authorities   | 168        |
| 3.6.3.1. | General remarks  | 168        |
| 3.6.3.2. | Delegation   | 169        |
| 3.6.3.3. | Peer reviews   | 170        |
| 3.6.3.4. | Colleges of supervisors  | 171        |
| 3.6.4.   | Reaction to ESRB warnings and recommendations  | 172        |
| 3.6.5.   | The ESAs' budget   | 173        |
| 4.       | Resume   | 176        |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Institutional change through the Banking Union</b>  | <b>180</b> |
| I.       | Single Supervisory Mechanism (SSM) and Single Resolution Mechanism (SRM): development, legal basis and scope | 180        |
| II.      | The ECB under the SSM  | 184        |
| 1.       | Tasks and powers   | 184        |
| 2.       | Organisation   | 186        |
| III.     | The SRB under the SRM  | 189        |
| 1.       | Tasks and powers   | 189        |
| 2.       | Organisation   | 193        |
| 3.       | The Single Resolution Fund (SRF)   | 194        |

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| IV. Consequences for the ESFS and Appraisal | 194        |
| <b>Conclusion</b>                           | <b>199</b> |
| <i>List of references</i>                   | 204        |
| <i>Index</i>                                | 236        |