

Austrian Yearbook

on

International Arbitration 2019

The Editors

**Christian Klausegger, Peter Klein, Florian Kremslehner,
Alexander Petsche, Nikolaus Pitkowitz, Irene Welser,
Gerold Zeiler**

The Authors

Davor Babic, Miklos Boronkay, Markus Burgstaller, Bernhard Campara-Kopeinig,
Marion Carrega, Graham Coop, Felix Dasser, Andrea de la Brena Melendez,
Cedric de Pouzilhac, Sanja Djajic, Jalal (Jil) El Ahdab, Florian Etmayer,
Philip Exenberger, Cristina Ioana Florescu, Anna Forstel, Alice Fremuth-Wolf,
Stefan Gerlach, Anna Grishchenkova, Lukas Hoder, Pascal Hollander, Eline Huisman,
Emmanuel O. Igbokwe, Nadja Jaisli Kull, Neil Kaplan, Alexander Karl,
Michael Kern, Katherine Khan, Ioana Knoll-Tudor, Jovana Lakic, Jeffrey C.F. Li,
Nigel N.T Li, Carol Ludington, Sebastian Lukic, Miranda Mako, Irmgard Marboe,
Alice Meissner, Winslow Minnagh, Florian Neumayr, Haley Newhall,
Michael Nueber, Veit Ohlberger, Giulia Pavesi, Florian Pechhacker, Nina Pichler,
Nikolaus Pitkowitz, Katharina Plavec, Anna Katharina Radschek, August Reinisch,
Katharina Riedl, Peter Riznik, Alison Ross, Andrea Roth, Mauro Rubino-Sammartano,
Maxi Scherer, Markus Schifferl, Christoph Schreuer, Gunjan Sharma, Alfred Siwy,
Maja Stanivukovic, Sofiya Svinkovskaya, Constanza Trofaier, Elisabeth Vanas-Metzler,
Gerhard Wegen, Irene Welser, Stephan Wilske, Johanna Wirth, Anna Wolf-Posch,
Venus Valentina Wong, Bernhard Wychera, Gerold Zeiler, Nicolas Zenz

C.H.BECK

Wien 2019

**MANZ'sche Verlags- und Universitätsbuchhandlung
Verlag C.H. Beck, München
Stampfli Verlag, Bern**

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Introduction | III |
| Overview | V |
| The Editors and Authors | XVII |
| Chapter I | |
| The Arbitration Agreement and Arbitrability | 1 |
| <i>Gerold Zeiler/Nicolas Zenz</i> | |
| Consumer Arbitration in Europe | 3 |
| <i>Irene Welser/Anna Wolf-Posch</i> | |
| Private Enforcement of Cartel Infringements before Arbitral Tribunals | 39 |
| I. Private Enforcement on the Rise | 39 |
| A. Definition of Private Enforcement | 39 |
| B. The EU's Push towards Private Antitrust Enforcement | 39 |
| C. Austria's Commitment to Private Antitrust Enforcement | 40 |
| II. The EU Cartel Damages Directive and its Implementation into Austrian law | 41 |
| A. Disclosure of Evidence Rules | 41 |
| B. Binding Effect of Infringement Decisions And Presumption that Cartel Infringements Cause Harm | 43 |
| C. Extension of the Limitation Periods | 43 |
| D. Joint and Several Liability | 44 |
| E. Passing on of Overcharges | 44 |
| III. The Directive's Invitation to Agree on Arbitration Introduction | 45 |
| A. A General Encouragement to Use ADR | 45 |
| B. The Accompanying Provisions in the Directive | 47 |
| IV. Is an Arbitral Tribunal in Practice an Adequate Forum for Private Enforcement of Cartel Claims? | 48 |
| V. How to Start Arbitration: Is a Standard Arbitration Clause Sufficient? | 50 |
| A. What to Bear in Mind When Drafting a New Arbitration Clause | 50 |
| B. Living with an Existing Arbitration Clause/Competency of Arbitral Tribunals to Rule on Tort Claims? | 52 |
| C. Rules and Regulations to Be Applied by the Tribunal | 53 |
| D. Substantive Law – a Reminder | 53 |
| | IX |

Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| E. Procedural Rules, Burden of Proof Issues and Legal Presumptions | 54 |
| F. Binding Force of Decisions by Competition Authorities | 56 |
| G. Provisions that Cannot Be Applied and How to Cope with Them | 57 |
| H. Risks If the Tribunal Does Not Apply the Contents of the Directive in Full | 58 |
| VI. Giving Notice to Third Parties | 59 |
| A. The Desire to Bind Third Parties | 59 |
| B. The Possibility of Joinder | 60 |
| C. Binding Force of an Award in Case of Refusal to Join? | 62 |
| VII. Does the Achmea Decision Raise Doubts Against Arbitrating Private Enforcement Claims? | 63 |
| VIII. Conclusion: Arbitration Is Fit for Private Enforcement | 64 |

Michael Kern/Eline Huisman

| | |
|---|----|
| Dispute Resolution in International and European Tax Law | 67 |
| I. Introduction | 67 |
| II. Dispute Resolution under Tax Treaties | 67 |
| A. International Tax Law and the OECD Model Convention | 67 |
| B. Mutual Agreement Procedures and the OECD Model Convention | 69 |
| C. Arbitration and the OECD Model Convention | 70 |
| D. Recent Developments | 71 |
| 1. Arbitration under the OECD Multilateral Instrument | 71 |
| 2. The OECD Model Convention Update 2017 | 74 |
| III. Dispute resolution under European Tax Law | 75 |
| A. The EU Arbitration Convention | 75 |
| B. Recent developments | 76 |
| IV. Conclusion | 77 |

Alice Meissner/Nina Pichler

| | |
|---|----|
| The Impact of the One Belt, One Road Initiative on International Arbitration | 79 |
| I. Introduction | 79 |
| II. Need for Arbitration as Dispute Mechanism for OBOR-related Disputes | 81 |
| A. Cross-Border Commercial Disputes | 82 |
| B. State-to-State (Trade) Disputes | 84 |
| C. Investor-State Disputes | 86 |
| III. Response of International Arbitration Institutions to OBOR | 88 |
| A. Cross-Border Commercial Disputes | 88 |
| 1. International Chamber of Commerce | 88 |

Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| 2. Hong Kong International Arbitration Centre | 88 |
| 3. Chinese Regional International Arbitration Centres | 89 |
| 4. New Regional Arbitration Centre | 90 |
| B. State-to-State (Trade) Disputes | 91 |
| C. Investor-State Disputes | 91 |
| IV. Discussions on Unified Dispute Resolution Rules for OBOR-related Disputes | 93 |
| V. Conclusion | 95 |

Chapter II

The Arbitrator and the Arbitration Procedure 97

Neil Kaplan

Innovating In Arbitration: Options for Greater Efficiency 99

| | |
|-------------------------------------|-----|
| I. The Parties | 100 |
| A. One or Three Arbitrators | 101 |
| B. Language | 101 |
| C. Law | 101 |
| D. Institution | 101 |
| E. Place | 101 |
| II. The Commercial Advisers | 102 |
| III. The Dispute Resolution Lawyers | 103 |
| IV. Counsel Conducting the Case | 103 |
| V. The Arbitrators | 104 |
| VI. The Arbitral Secretary | 106 |
| VII. The Institutions | 106 |
| VIII. Technical Assistance | 107 |
| IX. The Experts | 107 |
| X. The Court | 108 |
| XI. Conclusion | 109 |

Felix Dasser

Soft Law in International Commercial Arbitration – A Critical Approach III

| | |
|---|-----|
| I. Introduction | III |
| II. "Softlaw" | III |
| A. The Phenomenon of Soft Law | III |
| B. The Origins of the Concept of Soft Law | 113 |
| C. Soft Law as a Topic in International Commercial Arbitration | 115 |
| III. Criteria for Instruments Aspiring to Qualify as Soft Law (Assuming There is Such a Thing) | 116 |
| IV. A Closer Look at Purported Soft Law Instruments | 118 |
| V. Is there a Need for Further Guidelines and Rules? | 120 |

Contents

| | |
|--|-----|
| A. The Test is Quality, not Quantity | 120 |
| B. Is there a Need to Fill Gaps? | 121 |
| C. Is there a Need to Level the Playing Field? | 121 |
| D. Is there a Need to Harmonize, to Create Certainty, and to Educate? | 122 |
| E. Or is there a Need to Self-regulate? | 123 |
| VI. The Case for a Toolkit | 124 |
| A. The Idea is Not New | 124 |
| B. The Term is Not Pejorative | 125 |
| VII. Where Does This Lead Us? | 126 |

Miklos Boronkay

Arbitration Reform in Hungary **129**

| | |
|--|-----|
| I. Background: Why was Reform Needed? | 129 |
| A. Arbitration in Hungary between 1994-2017 | 129 |
| B. The Aims of the New Arbitration Act | 131 |
| II. Institutional Reform | 131 |
| A. De-fragmentation of the Institutional Landscape | 131 |
| B. Reorganization of the Commercial Arbitration Court (Budapest) | 132 |
| 1. New Board | 132 |
| 2. New Roll of Arbitrators | 133 |
| 3. New Budapest Rules | 134 |
| 4. Steps towards Transparency | 134 |
| III. Procedural Reform | 134 |
| A. General Remarks | 134 |
| B. Arbitrable Disputes | 135 |
| C. Interim Measures and Preliminary Orders | 136 |
| D. Intervention and Participation of Third Parties in the Arbitration | 137 |
| E. Multi-party Arbitrations and Consolidation of Proceedings | 138 |
| F. Independence and Impartiality of Arbitrators | 138 |
| G. Procedural Rules Aimed at Enhancing the Speed and Effectiveness of the Arbitration Procedure | 139 |
| H. Evidence taking | 140 |
| I. Truncated Arbitral Tribunals | 141 |
| J. Revision of Arbitral Awards | 141 |
| IV. Summary and Future Perspectives | 143 |

Carol Ludington/Haley Newhall

Experts: Early, Tailored and Effective **145**

| | |
|-------------------------------|-----|
| I. Introduction | 145 |
| II. Fit the Forum to the Fuss | 145 |

Contents

| | |
|---|-----|
| A. Initial Expert Assessment | 146 |
| B. Initial Contentions | 148 |
| C. Key Issue Witness Statements | 149 |
| D. Early Expert Hearings | 150 |
| E. Expert Agreements | 150 |
| F. Hot-Tubbing | 151 |
| III. Experts as Neutrals | 153 |
| A. Special Master and Expert Determiner | 153 |
| B. Experts as Arbitrators | 154 |
| IV. Conclusion | 156 |

Lukas Hoder

Prague Rules vs. IBA Rules:

| | |
|---|-----|
| Taking Evidence in International Arbitration | 157 |
| I. Introduction | 157 |
| II. Prague Rules vs. IBA Rules | 158 |
| A. The Application of the Rules | 159 |
| B. Proactive Role of the Arbitral Tribunal | 160 |
| C. Fact Finding and Documentary Evidence | 162 |
| D. Confidentiality of Documents | 166 |
| E. Witnesses | 167 |
| F. Experts | 170 |
| G. Hearing | 173 |
| H. Further Issues | 174 |
| III. Conclusion | 176 |

Mauro Rubino-Sammartano

| | |
|---|-----|
| Nationality v. Internationally of Arbitral Proceedings | 179 |
| I. Is a Distinction to be made between Domestic and Foreign Arbitrations? | 179 |
| II. The Criterion to be used to Establish Nationality | 180 |
| A. The Geographical Criterion | 180 |
| B. The Financial Criterion | 180 |
| C. The Procedural Criterion | 181 |
| III. International Arbitration | 182 |
| IV. Monism or Dualism | 183 |
| V. The Subjective Criterion | 183 |
| VI. When the Object of the Proceedings Involves International Trade ... | 184 |
| VII. Combination v. an Alternative of such Criteria | 185 |
| VIII. The Procedural Criterion | 186 |
| IX. Various Procedural Laws being Applicable | 187 |
| X. Application of a Procedural Law Different from the one of the Venue and at the same time the Mandatory Provisions of the Procedural Law of the Venue | 187 |

Contents

| | |
|---|-----|
| XI. Supranational Arbitration Rules | 187 |
| XII. Arbitrations Governed by an International Convention | 188 |
| XIII. International Nature of the Arbitration Agreement | 188 |
| XIV. Transnational and International Arbitrations | 189 |
| XV. A-national Awards | 189 |
| XVI. Conclusions | 190 |

Stephan Wilske

International Arbitration and the Infamous 'Ethical No Man's Land'

A Status Report Inspired by Edward St Aubyn's

'Patrick Melrose Saga'

| | |
|--|------------|
| | 191 |
| I. Introduction | 191 |
| II. Development of Ethical Standards in International Arbitration | 193 |
| A. State of Ethics in International Arbitration – The Early Days or "Never Mind" | 193 |
| B. The Rise of the Arbitration Guerrilla Phenomenon or "Bad News" | 195 |
| 1. Understanding Arbitration Guerrilla Behavior | 196 |
| a) Common guerrilla tactics, which amount to obvious misconduct and violation of law or written procedural rules | 196 |
| b) Extreme guerrilla tactics, which are criminal in nature and violate applicable law | 197 |
| c) Rough riding that does not cross the border of ethical misconduct | 197 |
| 2. Rise of the Arbitration Guerrilla Phenomenon – Mounting Evidence | 198 |
| C. The Struggle Against Arbitration Guerrilla Behavior and Concomitant Development of Ethical Rules and Norms or "Some Hope" | 199 |
| 1. The Commencement of the Anti-Guerrilla Crusade | 200 |
| 2. The Development of IBA Guidelines on Party Representation | 201 |
| 3. Institutional Initiatives | 204 |
| 4. The Industry Response | 206 |
| III. Possible Future Role of Arbitral Institutions in Safeguarding Ethics | 208 |
| A. Adjusting Arbitral Rules in Order to Install Some Ethical Minimum Standards | 208 |
| B. Reputational Sanctions | 209 |
| C. Monetary Sanctions | 209 |
| D. Temporary Suspension or Blacklisting of Counsel | 210 |
| IV. Conclusion and Outlook or "At Last" | 210 |

Contents

Florian Neumayr

| | |
|--|-----|
| Document Production against the Background of Private Enforcement | 215 |
| I. Introduction | 215 |
| II. Document Production | 217 |
| III. "Traditional" Ways of Getting Document Production in Arbitration | 218 |
| IV. (Potential) New Ways | 220 |

Nadja Jaisli Kull/Andrea Roth

| | |
|---|-----|
| Challenging Arbitrators for Lack of Independence or Impartiality | |
| Procedural Pitfalls from a Swiss Perspective | 223 |
| I. Introduction | 223 |
| II. Overview of Grounds for Challenge | 224 |
| A. Legal Bases | 224 |
| B. Overview of the Practice of the Swiss Supreme Court | 225 |
| III. The Duties of Parties and Arbitrators Related to Challenges | 229 |
| A. The Parties' Duty to Act in Good Faith and to Object Without Delay | 229 |
| B. The Arbitrators' Duty of Disclosure | 230 |
| C. The Parties' Duty to Investigate | 232 |
| IV. Challenge Procedure | 234 |
| A. Challenge of an Arbitrator during the Arbitration Proceedings .. | 234 |
| 1. Applicable Procedure | 234 |
| 2. Procedure before the Swiss Public Authority | 235 |
| 3. Procedure before the Arbitral Tribunal | 237 |
| 4. Procedure before the Arbitral Institution | 240 |
| 5. Procedure before any other Private Authority | 242 |
| B. Challenge of an Arbitrator after the Rendering of an Award | 243 |
| 1. General Remarks | 243 |
| 2. Setting Aside Proceedings | 243 |
| 3. Revision Proceedings | 246 |
| 4. Recognition and Enforcement Proceedings | 247 |
| V. Conclusion | 248 |

Ioana Knoll-Tudor

| | |
|--|-----|
| Emergency Arbitration: Evidence and Practice from Seven Arbitral Institutions | 249 |
| I. Analysis of the Available Data on EA Practice | 251 |
| II. The EA Procedure | 253 |
| A. Conditions for Application | 253 |
| 1. Opt-out Mechanism | 253 |
| 2. Screening of the EA Application | 254 |
| 3. Timing of the Application | 255 |

Contents

| | |
|--|-----|
| B. Procedural Aspects | 256 |
| 1. Conduct of the Proceedings | 256 |
| 2. Evidence and Hearing | 257 |
| 3. Access to National Courts | 257 |
| III. The Emergency Arbitrator | 258 |
| A. Appointment | 258 |
| B. Challenge | 260 |
| C. Powers | 261 |
| IV. The Measure granted by EA | 263 |
| A. Test to be Applied | 263 |
| B. Type of Measures | 266 |
| 1. Form of the EA Decision | 266 |
| 2. Timeframe for the EA to Render the Decision | 266 |
| 3. Reasoned Decision | 267 |
| 4. Modification and Termination of the EA's Decision | 267 |
| 5. Costs | 269 |
| 6. Available Remedies for non-compliance with the EA's Decision | 270 |
| V. Challenges | 271 |
| A. Limitations on the Parties'Procedural Rights | 271 |
| B. Enforcement | 273 |
| VI. Conclusion | 276 |
| | |
| Chapter III | |
| The Award and the Courts | 277 |
| <i>Felix Dasser/Emmanuel O. Igbokwe</i> | |
| Efficient Drafting of the Arbitral Award | |
| Traditional Ways Revisited – Lesson Learned from the Past? | 279 |
| I. Introduction | 279 |
| II. Legal Framework | 280 |
| A. Arbitration Laws | 280 |
| 1. National Laws | 280 |
| 2. UNCITRAL Model Law | 280 |
| B. Arbitration Rules | 280 |
| 1. UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules | 281 |
| 2. ICC Rules | 281 |
| 3. Other Arbitration Rules | 281 |
| III. Sources of Inspiration and Guidance | 281 |
| A. Case Law | 281 |
| B. Arbitration Doctrine | 282 |
| C. Guides and Toolkits | 282 |
| IV. Important Considerations when Drafting the Award | 283 |
| A. Addressees of the Award | 283 |

Contents

| | |
|---|-----|
| 1. The Parties | 284 |
| a) The Winning Party | 284 |
| b) The Losing Party | 284 |
| 2. The Arbitration Institution, If Any | 286 |
| 3. State Courts? | 287 |
| 4. The Wider Public? | 287 |
| B. Timing and Structure | 288 |
| 1. The Issue of Time Limits for the Delivering of the Award | 288 |
| a) Arbitration Rules | 288 |
| b) Start Early and Finish Early? | 289 |
| 2. Outline Concise Procedural History | 291 |
| 3. Define Appropriate Structure Issues before Commencing with the Drafting | 291 |
| 4. Use Definitions Abbreviations | 292 |
| C. The Length of the Award | 292 |
| 1. The Situation Twenty Years Ago | 293 |
| 2. The Situation Today | 294 |
| 3. Whither Hence? | 294 |
| V. The Drafters – Who Writes the Award? | 296 |
| A. The Arbitrators | 296 |
| 1. The Chairperson | 296 |
| 2. The Co-arbitrators | 297 |
| B. The Arbitral Secretary? | 297 |
| 1. The Arbitral Secretary Twenty Years Ago – Common Law / Civil Law Divide? | 300 |
| 2. The Arbitral Secretary Today – The Principle | 302 |
| 3. The Prevalence of a Pragmatic Approach in Contemporary Arbitration Practice? | 303 |
| a) Arbitral Secretaries May Draft Part(s) of the Award | 303 |
| b) Determining the Limits of the Arbitral Secretaries in the Drafting of Arbitral Awards | 306 |
| c) Support of a Pragmatic Approach by Case Law? | 308 |
| 4. Bottom Line: Transparency Helps | 311 |
| C. Increasing Calls for Soft Law Instruments on the Role of Arbitral Secretaries | 312 |
| VI. Conclusions | 314 |

Florian Etmayer/Katharina Riedl

| | |
|---|------------|
| Efficiency-based Cost Decisions | 317 |
| I. Introduction | 317 |
| II. Discretion as an Answer to Diverging Approaches | 319 |
| A. Outcome-based Cost Allocation | 321 |
| B. The American Rule | 322 |

Contents

| | |
|--|-----|
| III. Procedural efficiency | 322 |
| A. Recent discussions | 322 |
| B. Defining Procedural Efficiency | 323 |
| IV. Guidelines for Efficiency-based Cost Decisions | 327 |
| V. Conclusion | 329 |

Cedric de Pouzilhac/Marion Carrega

| | |
|---|-----|
| Innovative Procedural Rules Adopted for International Disputes before the Paris Commercial Court and the Paris Court of Appeal | 331 |
| I. Fighting for a Place in the Increasingly Competitive Market for International Commercial Courts, in the Context of Brexit | 331 |
| A. Already existing Specialized Courts for International Commercial Disputes in Asia and the Middle East | 331 |
| B. Challenging London's Position in Europe as a Leading Center for International Dispute Resolution | 332 |
| C. Several Initiatives underway in European Countries | 333 |
| II. Adapted Rules to Increase the Attractiveness of Paris Courts to Litigants engaged in International Disputes | 334 |
| A. Goals and Background for the Adoption of the New Procedural Rules | 334 |
| B. Implementation of Procedural Features Specific to International Arbitration and Common Law Judicial Systems ... | 336 |
| 1. The Use of English or of Another Foreign Language in the Proceedings | 336 |
| 2. An Organization of the Proceedings Aimed at Ensuring Predictability and Flexibility | 337 |
| a) Before the Paris Commercial Court | 337 |
| b) Before the Paris Court of Appeal | 338 |
| 3. An emphasis on Testimonies and Oral Examinations as Means of Taking of Evidence Procedure | 339 |
| C. Jurisdiction and Composition of the International Chambers.... | 341 |
| 1. A Jurisdiction Covering all kind of Transnational Commercial Disputes, either Governed by French Law or by a Foreign Law | 342 |
| 2. Composition of the International Chambers and the Judges' Profiles | 342 |

Jeffrey C.F. Li/Nigel N.T. Li

| | |
|--|-----|
| Paradigm of Reciprocity and Comity – Taiwanese Court's Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards | 345 |
| I. Reciprocity and Comity Principles Applicable in Recognizing Foreign Judgments in Taiwan | 345 |
| II. From Recognizing Foreign Judgments to Foreign Arbitral Awards .. | 347 |

Contents

| | |
|--|-----|
| III. Two Recent Court Cases Adopting Principles of Reciprocity and Comity in Recognizing Foreign Arbitral Awards | 349 |
| A. A 2017 Decision Recognizing a Czech Republic Arbitral Award | 349 |
| B. A 2017 Decision Recognizing a U.S. Arbitral Award | 350 |
| IV. Conclusion: Necessary Comity? | 352 |

Veit Ohlberger/Alexander Karl

| | |
|--|-----|
| Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards in Austria and the Form Requirements under Article IV of the New York Convention | 355 |
| I. Introduction | 355 |
| A. History of the New York Convention and Purpose of this Article | 355 |
| B. Scope of the New York Convention | 356 |
| C. Basics on the Austrian Legal Framework for Enforcement of Foreign Awards | 356 |
| D. Article IV of the New York Convention | 357 |
| II. Article IV (1) (a) of the New York Convention – Arbitral Award | 359 |
| A. Authentication of Arbitrators' Signatures | 359 |
| 1. Authentication by an Authority of the Country of Origin or Under Whose Law the Decision Was Made | 360 |
| 2. Authentication by Arbitral Institution | 362 |
| B. Certified Copy of the Arbitral Award | 362 |
| 1. Certified Copy by Arbitral Institution – Additional Authentication Necessary? | 363 |
| 2. Certified Copy by Arbitral Institution – Further Details | 365 |
| C. Other Methods of Authentication or Certification? | 366 |
| III. Article IV (2) of the New York Convention – Translation of Award | 367 |
| IV. Article IV (1) (b) of the New York Convention – Arbitration Agreement | 369 |
| V. Concluding Remarks | 370 |

Markus Schifferl/Venus Valentina Wong

| | |
|--|-----|
| Decisions of the Austrian Supreme Court on Arbitration in 2017 and 2018 | 371 |
| I. Enforceability of an Arbitral Award | 371 |
| A. Facts of the Case | 371 |
| B. The Supreme Court's Decision | 372 |
| C. Additional Remarks | 373 |
| II. Challenge of Arbitrators | 373 |

Contents

| | |
|--|-----|
| A. Facts of the Case | 373 |
| B. Decision of the Supreme Court | 374 |
| C. Additional Remarks | 375 |
| III. Interpretation of an Arbitral Award | 377 |
| A. Facts of the Case | 377 |
| B. Decision of the Supreme Court | 378 |
| C. Additional Remarks | 379 |
| IV. Arbitration Clauses in Corporate Statutes | 380 |
| A. Facts of the Case | 380 |
| B. Decision of the Supreme Court | 380 |
| C. Additional Remarks | 381 |
| V. Form Requirement of the Power of Attorney to Conclude an Arbitration Agreement | 382 |
| A. Facts of the Case | 382 |
| B. Decision of the Supreme Court | 383 |
| C. Additional Remarks | 384 |
| VI. Appointment of Arbitrator | 386 |
| A. Facts of the Case | 386 |
| B. Decision of the Supreme Court | 387 |
| C. Additional Remarks | 388 |
| VII. <i>Ordrepublic</i> | 388 |
| A. Facts of the Case | 388 |
| B. Decision of the Court | 389 |
| C. Additional Remarks | 390 |

Chapter IV

Investment Arbitration 393

Bernhard Wychera/Winslow Mimmagh

The Nature of State Consent Expressed in BITs 395

| | |
|--|-----|
| I. Introduction | 395 |
| A. New Developments in BIT Making | 396 |
| B. The Achmea "Boost" | 396 |
| C. The Topic of this Article | 396 |
| II. Consent to Arbitration | 397 |
| A. Commercial Arbitration | 397 |
| B. Investor-State Arbitration | 399 |
| C. State Consent in a BIT | 401 |
| 1. Umbrella Clauses | 401 |
| 2. "Consent import" via MFN | 403 |
| III. A trend toward BIT Revision and Termination | 406 |
| IV. The International Legal Regime for Terminating BITs | 408 |
| V. State Consent to the Provisions of a BIT Following its Termination | 412 |
| VI. Conclusion | 418 |

Contents

Sanja Djajic/Maja Stanivukovic

| | |
|--|-----|
| The Local Remedies Rule in Non-ICSID Investment Arbitration | 421 |
| I. Introduction | 421 |
| II. Non-ICSID Tribunals and the Local Remedies Rule | 423 |
| A. CME Czech Republic B.V. v. The Czech Republic (UNCITRAL) | 425 |
| B. Yaung Chi OO Trading PTE LTD. v. Myanmar | 427 |
| C. Nykomb Synergetics v. Latvia | 428 |
| D. Mytilineos Holdings SA v. | |
| 1. The State Union of Serbia & Montenegro, | |
| 2. Republic of Serbia | 430 |
| E. Guarachi America and Rurelec v. Bolivia | 432 |
| F. Short recap | 433 |
| III. Constructing the Perspective for Local Remedies in International Investment Arbitration | 434 |
| A. Local Remedies, Diplomatic Protection and Customary International Law | 435 |
| B. The Silence of IIAs on the Local Remedies Rule: a Waiver or Confirmation of the Rule? | 440 |
| C. Relevance of Practice of Diplomatic Protection for Interpretation of IIAs | 447 |
| D. Arbitration Agreement: Does it Exclude Application of Local Remedies? | 450 |
| IV. Application of the Local Remedies Rule in International Investment Arbitration | 454 |
| A. The Local Remedies Rule as a Procedural Requirement | 455 |
| B. The Local Remedies Rule as a Substantive Requirement | 458 |
| V. Legal and Policy Reasons for Upholding the Local Remedies Rule in Investment Arbitration | 461 |
| VI. Conclusion | 466 |

Graham Coop/Gunjan Sharma

Procedural Innovations to ISDS in Recent Trade and Investment Treaties

| | |
|---|-----|
| A Comparison of the USMCA and CETA | 467 |
| I. The ISDS Controversy during the Negotiation of CETA and the USMCA | 469 |
| A. The Change from ISDS to an Investment Court during the Negotiation of CETA | 469 |
| 1. CETA Negotiations and a new European Union Approach to ISDS | 469 |
| 2. Current Status of CETA | 471 |
| B. ISDS during the Renegotiation of NAFTA and Creation of the USMCA | 472 |

Contents

| | |
|---|-----|
| II. Major Changes to the form of ISDS in CETA and the USMCA | 475 |
| A. The Investment Court System in CETA | 476 |
| B. The Limited Scope of ISDS in Chapter 14 of the USMCA | 478 |
| III. Procedural Mechanisms in ISDS under CETA and the USMCA | 483 |
| A. The Role of Domestic courts | 483 |
| B. Addressing Parallel Proceedings through Consolidation and Other Means | 485 |
| C. Ethics and Challenges to Arbitrators | 486 |
| D. Preserving the Regulatory Discretion of the Host State | 487 |
| E. Bifurcation of Proceedings and Avoiding Expensive Arbitrations over Unmeritorious Claims | 488 |
| F. Other Procedural Mechanisms of Note | 491 |
| IV. Concluding Remarks | 492 |

Christoph Schreuer/Andrea de la Brena Melendez

| | |
|--|-----|
| Does ISDS Need an Appeals Mechanism? | 493 |
| I. Development of the Idea of an Appeals Mechanism | 493 |
| II. Compatibility of an Appeals Facility with the Icsid Convention | 496 |
| III. Objectives of an Appeals Mechanism | 499 |

Chapter V **The Vienna Innovation Propositions** 501

Maxi Scherer

| | |
|---|-----|
| International Arbitration 3.0 – How Artificial Intelligence Will Change Dispute Resolution | 503 |
| I. Introduction | 503 |
| II. Definition of AI | 504 |
| III. Effects of AI | 507 |
| A. Perspective of the Lawyer | 507 |
| B. Perspective of the Arbitrator | 509 |
| C. Perspective of the Legislator | 513 |

Nikolaus Pitkowitz/Alice Fremuth-Wolf/Anna Katharina Radschek and the 28 contributors

| | |
|---|-----|
| The Vienna Innovation Propositions | |
| Venturing into New Fields and New Ways of Arbitration – Revisiting Traditional Ways of Arbitration | 515 |
| I. Introduction | 515 |
| II. Nine Innovation Propositions | 517 |

Contents

| | |
|--|-----|
| III. World Cafe Reports | 524 |
| <i>Anna Grishchenkova/Jovana Lakic</i> | |
| A. New Technologies in Arbitration Will Online Arbitration Replace Traditional Procedures | 524 |
| <i>Jil Ahdab/Miranda Mako</i> | |
| B. IA v. AI? (International Arbitration versus Artificial Intelligence) | 527 |
| 1. Introduction: Defining the Scope of the Subject | 527 |
| 2. Artificial Intelligence in the Decision-making: AI Arbitrator | 527 |
| 3. Artificial Intelligence and Counsel's Role in International Arbitration | 529 |
| 4. Artificial Intelligence within Arbitral Institutions | 530 |
| 5. Conclusion | 530 |
| <i>Pascal Hollander/Katherine Khan</i> | |
| C. The New Role of Arbitrators in International Arbitration | 531 |
| 1. New Technologies | 531 |
| 2. Arbitrator as E-Case Manager or Case E-manager? | 531 |
| 3. Equality of Arms | 532 |
| 4. Does the Use of Technology Enhance Efficiency in Arbitration Proceedings? | 533 |
| a. Current Use of Technology | 534 |
| b. Potential Use of Technology in the Future | 534 |
| 5. Expedited Procedures | 535 |
| 6. Is the Introduction of Expedited Mechanisms a Positive Development? | 535 |
| a. Sceptics of the Expedited Procedure | 535 |
| b. Believers in the Expedited Procedure | 536 |
| c. Is there a Trade-off between Expedited Mechanisms and Justice? | 537 |
| 7. Arbitrators as Settlement Facilitators | 537 |
| a. The perpetual Role of the Arbitrator | 537 |
| b. The Arbitrator as Mediator | 537 |
| 8. Conclusion | 538 |
| <i>Cristina Ioana Florescu/Anna Forstel</i> | |
| D. Arbitrator Selection: Diversity & Gender Balance | 538 |
| 1. Propositions – Why Diversity Matters | 538 |
| 2. Initiatives taken | 539 |
| 3. Our Approach | 541 |
| 4. Observations of the Participants | 542 |
| a. The "Safe-Choice" is not Necessarily the Best Choice | 542 |
| b. The Importance of the Composition of the Tribunal | 543 |
| c. The Importance of Skill Diversity | 543 |

Contents

| | |
|---|-----|
| d. Unblocking the Pipeline | 544 |
| e. Challenges Faced by Practitioners from Outside Western Europe and the USA | 544 |
| f. The Role of the Institutions | 545 |
| g. Improving Databases | 546 |
| h. The Importance of the First Appointment | 546 |
| i. How can Practitioners Increase Their Chances of Getting Appointed? | 547 |
| 5. Conclusion | 547 |
| <i>Johanna Wirth/Philip Exenberger</i> | |
| E. Financial Institutions | 548 |
| 1. Introduction | 548 |
| 2. The Status Quo – Perceived Disadvantages and Misperceptions of Arbitration | 549 |
| 3. Acknowledging the Differences in Financial Disputes | 550 |
| 4. Experience in Arbitration and Expertise in the Financial Section are Key | 551 |
| 5. Going Forward | 552 |
| <i>Giulia Pavesi/Irmgard Marboe</i> | |
| F. New Field of Arbitration: "Space Law" | |
| The Opportunity of Arbitration for a Growing Sector | 554 |
| 1. Introduction | 554 |
| 2. New markets, New Challenges | 554 |
| 3. The PCA Optional Rules for Arbitration of Disputes Relating to Outer Space Activities 2011 | 556 |
| 4. The Role of VIAC | 557 |
| 5. Conclusion | 558 |
| <i>Elisabeth Vanas-Metzler/Constanza Trofaier</i> | |
| G. New Fields for Arbitration – Luxury Goods & Art | 559 |
| 1. Introduction | 559 |
| 2. Luxury Goods & Art Involve Certain Special and Some General Categories of Dispute | 559 |
| 3. Luxury Goods & Art Shall Be Dealt with Separately | 560 |
| 4. Arbitration Is an Appealing Dispute Resolution Mechanism for Luxury Goods & Art | 560 |
| a. Art Restitution/Cultural Heritage Disputes | 561 |
| b. Intellectual Property Disputes | 562 |
| 5. Arbitral Institutions Play an Important Role in Luxury Goods & Art Arbitrations | 563 |
| a. Art Restitution/Cultural Heritage Disputes | 563 |
| b. Intellectual Property Disputes | 564 |
| 6. Conclusion | 565 |

Contents

Michael Nueber/Sofiya Svinkovskaya

| | |
|---|-----|
| H. Trusts and Foundations | 565 |
| 1. Introduction | 565 |
| 2. Trusts and Foundations – an Overview | 566 |
| 3. Trust- and Foundation-related Disputes | 566 |
| 4. Arbitration Clause and its Scope in Trust and Foundation Deeds | 567 |
| 5. Arbitrability of Trust and Foundation Disputes | 568 |
| 6. Current Situation in Austria | 569 |
| 7. Current Situation in Liechtenstein | 569 |
| 8. Arbitration – a Suitable Dispute Resolution Mechanism? | 569 |
| 9. Proposal | 570 |

Gerhard Wegen/Nicolas Zenz

| | |
|---|-----|
| I. Written Witness Statements – Unnecessary Exercise or Precondition for Efficient Witness Examination? | 571 |
| 1. Introduction | 571 |
| 2. Provisions on Written Witness Statements in Various Arbitration Rules | 572 |
| 3. Discussion Report | 575 |
| a. Written Witness Statements in National Court Proceedings | 575 |
| b. Written Witness Statements in Arbitration Proceedings .. | 576 |
| c. Participants | 577 |

August Reinisch and Sebastian Lukic

| | |
|---|-----|
| J. Lessons Learned from Investment Arbitration – Reforming the Investor-State Dispute Settlement System | 578 |
| 1. Introductory Remarks | 578 |
| 2. Transparency in ISDS | 579 |
| 3. Costs in ISDS | 581 |
| 4. Permanent Investment Court System – Arbitral Tribunal or International Court? | 583 |

Davor Babic/Peter Riznik

| | |
|--|-----|
| K. Awarding Costs in Arbitration: What works and what can be done better | 584 |
| 1. Introduction | 584 |
| 2. Allocation of Costs | 585 |
| 3. Defining the Rules Early on | 585 |
| 4. Limiting Recoverable Costs | 586 |
| 5. Costs Related to a Hearing | 586 |
| 6. Reasonableness of the Costs of Representation | 586 |
| 7. Separate Award on Costs | 587 |
| 8. Potential Concerns in Employing the Above Methods | 587 |
| 9. Conclusion | 587 |

Contents

Alison Ross/Florian Pechhacker

| | |
|--|-----|
| L. Confidentiality vs Transparency | |
| How to Best "Market" Arbitration and its USPs | 588 |
| 1. Propositions | 588 |
| 2. Discussion Report | 588 |
| a. The purposes of Confidentiality and Transparency | 588 |
| b. Confidentiality in Commercial Arbitration vs. Investment Arbitration | 589 |
| c. Progress of Change? | 591 |

Markus Burgstaller/Katharina Plavec

| | |
|--------------------|-----|
| M. The Ideal Award | 591 |
|--------------------|-----|

Stefan Gerlach/Bernhard Campara-Kopeinig

| | |
|--|-----|
| N. The Inhouse Counsel's Perspective on New Ways and Fields of Arbitration | |
| Choice of dispute resolution or prevention mechanism shall be adapted on-the-run for the best solution possible | 595 |
| 1. Introduction | 595 |
| 2. Inhouse Counsel: Inside Job | 596 |
| 3. Follow the Leader | 596 |
| 4. We are Going to Court, aren't We? | 597 |
| 5. Use the flexible tools! | 599 |

Chapter VI Annex

601

Alfred Siwy

| | |
|---------------------|-----|
| Recent Publications | 603 |
|---------------------|-----|