## **Hans Kung**

## Global Responsibility In Search of a New World Ethic



## Contents

	Preface by HRH The Duke of Edinburgh, KG	xiii
	Introduction	XV
Α.	No Survival without a World Ethic Why we need a global ethic	1
I	From Modernity to Postmodemity	2
1	The Beginning of a Paradigm Shift a The turning point:1918 b Catastrophic mistakes	<b>2</b> 3 4
2	Slogans Without a Future a State socialism b Neocapitalism c 'Japanism*	6 6 7 10
3	The End of the Great Modern Ideologies a Criticism of the achievements of the West b The demystification of modern ideologies of progress c Beyond communism and capitalism	12 12 12 14
4	Limit Experiences and Breakthroughs in Innovation a The need for a prophylactic for crises b Experiences of the limits to what can be done c The post-industrialsociety d The postmodern break-through	IS IS 16 18
5	The Rising World Constellation of Postmodemity a Dimensions of the overall constellation of postmodemity b Not a destruction of values but a change of values c A holistic view d Not a contra-modernity, not ultra-modernity, but taking modernity up into what transcends it and replaces it	19 19 20 21
II	Why Ethics?	25
1	Beyond Good and Evil? a Why not doevil? b Why dogood?	25 25 26
2	No Democracy without Basic Consensus a The dilemma of democracy b A minimum of common values, norms and attitudes a Exactly chosen ties	27 27 28

3	The Slogan of the Future: Planetary Responsibility	29
	a An ethic of responsibility in place of an ethic of success	
	or disposition	29
	b Responsibility for our neighbours, the environment	20
	and the world after us	30
	c Human beings: the goal and criterion	31 32
	d Ethics as publicconcern e No orderingof the world without a world ethic	34
III	A Coalition of Believers and Non-Believers	36
1		36
	a Religions: Ambivalentphenomena	36
	b Cannot people also live moral lives without religion? c Freedom to decide for or against religion	37 37
2	Shared Responsibility with Mutual Respect	39
	a The need for a coalition	39
	b The possibility of achieving a coalition	39
IV	Ethics in Tension between Autonomy and Religion	41
	Rational Difficulties with Ethics	41
•	a The dialectic of the Enlightenment	41
	b Whence the binding ties?	42
2	The Recalcitrance of Religion	44
	a A post-metaphysical age?	44
	b The end of religion?	45
	c Religion - a mere projection?	47
3	Religious Difficulties with Ethics	48
	a Fixed moral solutions from heaven?	48
	b Differentiated solutions on earth	48
	c Scientific methods	49
	d Rules for priority and certainty	50
4	The Religions - A Possible Foundation for Ethics	51
	a Can what is humanly conditioned be an unconditional obligation?	51
	b Only the unconditioned can be an unconditional obligation	52
	c The basic functions of religion	53
V	World Religions and a World Ethic	55
1	Ethical perspectives of the woild religions	56
	a Human wellbeing	56
	b Maxims of basic humanity	56
	c A reasonable middle way	57
	d The golden rule	58
	e Moral motivations  f. A horizon of meaning and identification of a goal	59 60

VI Specific Christian Contribution  1 A Model Christian Contribution  a Self-criticism by the church b A new basic consensus on integrative human convictions  2 Postmodern Requirements a Not just freedom, but also justice b Not just equality, but also plurality c Not just brotherhood, but also sisterhood d Not just coexistence, but peace e Not just productivity, but solidarity with the environment f Not just toleration, but ecumenism  B No World Peace without Religious Peace An ecumenical way between fanaticism for truth and forgetfulness of truth  The Two Faces of Religion  1 Religions at War a The case of Lebanon b Negative consequences  2 Religions at Peace a Germany, France, Poland as opposite examples b No world peace without religious peace  II The Question of Truth  1 The Task a Awareness is at different stages b Between fanaticism for truth and forgetfulness of truth  2 Three Strategies - No Solution a The fortressstrategy b The strategy of playing down differences c The strategy of embrace  3 Self-criticism: The Presupposition for an Ecumenical Strate a Not everything is equally good and true	60 61 62
a Self-criticism by the church b A new basic consensuson integrative human convictions  2 Postmodern Requirements a Not just freedom, but also justice b Not just equality, but also plurality c Not just brotherhood, but also sisterhood d Not just coexistence, but peace e Not just productivity, but solidarity with the environment f Not just toleration, but ecumenism  B No World Peace without Religious Peace An ecumenical way between fanaticism for truth and forgetfulness of truth  The Two Faces of Religion  Religions at War a The case of Lebanon b Negative consequences  Religions at Peace a Germany, France, Poland as opposite examples b No world peace without religious peace  The Question of Truth  The Task a Awareness is at different stages b Between fanaticism for truth and forgetfulnessof truth  Three Strategies - No Solution a The fortress strategy b The strategy of playing down differences c The strategy of embrace  Self-criticism: The Presupposition for an Ecumenical Strate a Not everything is equally good and true	65
a Not just freedom, but also justice b Not just equality, but also plurality c Not just brotherhood, but also sisterhood d Not just coexistence, but peace e Not just productivity, but solidarity with the environment f Not just toleration, but ecumenism  B No World Peace without Religious Peace An ecumenical way between fanaticism for truth and forgetfulness of truth  The Two Faces of Religion  Religions at War a The case of Lebanon b Negative consequences  Religions at Peace a Germany, France, Poland as opposite examples b No world peace without religious peace  The Question of Truth  The Task a Awareness is at different stages b Between fanaticism for truth and forgetfulnessof truth  Three Strategies - No Solution a The fortress strategy b The strategy of playing down differences c The strategy of embrace  Self-criticism: The Presupposition for an Ecumenical Strate a Not everything is equally good and true	<b>65</b> 65 66
An ecumenical way between fanaticism fortruth and forgetfulness of truth  The Two Faces of Religion  Religions at War a The case of Lebanon b Negative consequences  Religions at Peace a Germany, France, Poland as opposite examples b No world peace without religious peace  The Question of Truth  The Task a Awareness is at different stages b Between fanaticism for truth and forgetfulnessof truth  Three Strategies - No Solution a The fortress strategy b The strategy of playing down differences c The strategy of embrace  Self-criticism: The Presupposition for an Ecumenical Strate a Not everything is equally good and true	67 67 68 68 68
<ul> <li>The Two Faces of Religion</li> <li>Religions at War     <ul> <li>a The case of Lebanon</li> <li>b Negative consequences</li> </ul> </li> <li>Religions at Peace     <ul> <li>a Germany, France, Poland as opposite examples</li> <li>b No world peace without religious peace</li> </ul> </li> <li>The Question of Truth</li> <li>The Task     <ul> <li>a Awareness is at different stages</li> <li>b Between fanaticism for truth and forgetfulnessof truth</li> </ul> </li> <li>Three Strategies - No Solution     <ul> <li>a The fortressstrategy</li> <li>b The strategy of playing down differences</li> <li>c The strategy of embrace</li> </ul> </li> <li>Self-criticism: The Presupposition for an Ecumenical Strate     <ul> <li>a Not everything is equally good and true</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	71
<ul> <li>a The case of Lebanon b Negative consequences</li> <li>2 Religions at Peace a Germany, France, Poland as opposite examples b No world peace without religious peace</li> <li>II The Question of Truth</li> <li>1 The Task a Awareness is at different stages b Between fanaticism for truth and forgetfulnessof truth</li> <li>2 Three Strategies - No Solution a The fortress strategy b The strategy of playing down differences c The strategy of embrace</li> <li>3 Self-criticism: The Presupposition for an Ecumenical Strate a Not everything is equally good and true</li> </ul>	72
<ul> <li>2 Religions at Peace <ul> <li>a Germany, France, Poland as opposite examples</li> <li>b No world peace without religious peace</li> </ul> </li> <li>1 The Question of Truth</li> <li>1 The Task <ul> <li>a Awareness is at different stages</li> <li>b Between fanaticism for truth and forgetfulnessof truth</li> </ul> </li> <li>2 Three Strategies - No Solution <ul> <li>a The fortress strategy</li> <li>b The strategy of playing down differences</li> <li>c The strategy of embrace</li> </ul> </li> <li>3 Self-criticism: The Presupposition for an Ecumenical Strate <ul> <li>a Not everything is equally good and true</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<b>72</b> 72 73
<ol> <li>The Task         <ul> <li>a Awareness is at different stages</li> <li>b Between fanaticism for truth and forgetfulnessof truth</li> </ul> </li> <li>Three Strategies - No Solution         <ul> <li>a The fortress strategy</li> <li>b The strategy of playing down differences</li> <li>c The strategy of embrace</li> </ul> </li> <li>Self-criticism: The Presupposition for an Ecumenical Strate         <ul> <li>a Not everything is equally good and true</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	<b>74</b> 74 75
<ul> <li>a Awareness is at different stages</li> <li>b Between fanaticism for truth and forgetfulnessof truth</li> <li>2 Three Strategies - No Solution</li> <li>a The fortress strategy</li> <li>b The strategy of playing down differences</li> <li>c The strategy of embrace</li> <li>3 Self-criticism: The Presupposition for an Ecumenical Strate</li> <li>a Not everything is equally good and true</li> </ul>	77
<ul> <li>a The fortress strategy</li> <li>b The strategy of playing down differences</li> <li>c The strategy of embrace</li> </ul> 3 Self-criticism: The Presupposition for an Ecumenical Strate <ul> <li>a Not everything is equally good and true</li> </ul>	<b>77</b> 77 77
a Not everything is equally good and true	<b>78</b> 78 79 80
b The critical mirror of the world religions c Is everything allowed in the name of religion?	<b>gy</b> 81 81 82 83

60

2 The special commitment of world religions

III	The Quest for Ecumenical Criteria for Truth	84
1	Measuring by Origins a Normative writings or figures b The need for one's own specific criteria for truth and their limitation	<b>84</b> 84 85
2		
2	A Fourth, Ecumenical Strategy a Universal ethical criteria	<b>85</b> 85
	b The late realization of human rights in Christianity	86
	c The religious foundation for human values	87
	d Progress in the direction of humanity	87
IV	The <i>Humanum</i> as a Basic Ecumenical Criterion	89
1	Human Dignity as a Basis	89
	a A first colloquium on religion at UNESCO	89
	b What is truly human as a universal criterion	90
2	The Relationship between Religion and Humanity	90
	a Religions between humanity and inhumanity	90
	b Religion and humanity: a dialectical relationship	91
	c A possible consensus	91
٧	Capacity for Dialogue and Steadfastness are	
	notOpposftes	94
1	What is 'Steadfastness'?	94
-	a A neglected virtue	94
	b Constancy and resistance	95
2	Invitation to Dialogue	96
	a Is a standpoint of faith a block todialogue?	%
	b A critically ecumenical standpoint	96
	c Truth in freedom	97
3	What are the Criteria between Religions?	97
	a Three different criteria	97
	b The specifically Christian criterion	98
	c Outside and inside perspectives	99
4	What Does Readiness for Dialogue without	
	Steadfastness Led To?	100
	a The consequences of a free-floating dialogue	100
_	a The consequences of a free-floating dialogue b And in practice?	100 101
5	a The consequences of a free-floating dialogue b And in practice?  What Does Dialogue on*the Basis of Steadfastness Lead To?	100 101 <b>102</b>
5	a The consequences of a free-floating dialogue b And in practice? What Does Dialogue on*the Basis of Steadfastness Lead To? a The consequences of a dialogue footed in faith	100 101 <b>102</b> 102
	a The consequences of a free-floating dialogue b And in practice?  What Does Dialogue on*the Basis of Steadfastness Lead To?  a The consequences of a dialogue footed in faith b And in practice?	100 101 <b>102</b> 102 102
	a The consequences of a free-floating dialogue b And in practice?  What Does Dialogue on*the Basis of Steadfastness Lead To?  a The consequences of a dialogue footed in faith b And in practice?  Capacity for Dialogue is Capacity for Peace	100 101 <b>102</b> 102 102 <b>103</b>
	a The consequences of a free-floating dialogue b And in practice?  What Does Dialogue on*the Basis of Steadfastness Lead To?  a The consequences of a dialogue footed in faith b And in practice?	100 101 <b>102</b> 102 102

C	No Religious Peace without Religious Dialogue	
	Prolegomena to an analysis of the religious	
	situation of our time	107
I	No Religious Dialogue without Research into Basics	108
1	A Christian Theologian on Other Religions?	108
	a Appropriateness and sympathy	108
	b An ecumenical research project on the religious situation of the time	109
2	The Risk of Synthesis cannot be Avoided	110
	a Seeing things as a whole	110
	b Historical attempts	111
II	How History Can No Longer Be Written	112
1	Hegel's Philosophy of History	112
	a A philosophy of the history of the world and of religion	112
	b A logical and necessary development?	113
2	Spengler's Morphology of Culture	114
	a Outlines of a morphology of world history b The decline of the West?	114
•		115
3	Toynbee's Theory of Cycles of Civilization	<b>117</b> 117
	a Spiritual evolution of cycles b Towards a unitary religion?	117
Ш	Application of the Davidson Theory to the	
III	Tr and S and S	120
	Currents of Religious Systems	120
1	The Significance of the Paradigm Theory	120
	a The multidisciplinary study of religion b The historical-systematic method	120 122
	c A threefold goal	125
2	The Same Religion in Different Paradigms	125
	a Epoch-making changes	125
	b The persistence of rival paradigms	126
3	The Three Great Currents of Religious Systems Today	127
	a Concentration on the present world religions	127
	b Prophetic, mystical and wisdom religions	127 128
	c Similar basic questions and ways to salvation	120
IV	An Ecumenical Theology for Peace	130
1	Understanding and Collaboration	130
	a No unitary religion b A creative and concrete theology of peace	130 131
	a The ecumenical horizon	131

2	Prospect	132
	a The programme	132
	b A differentiated global survey	^ 133
V	Imperatives for Inter-Religious Dialogue in the	
	Postmodern Period	135
1	Inter-Religious Dialogue with All Groups	135
	a Politicians, businessmen, scientists	136
	b Churches, theology, religious education	136
	c The different religions	137
2	Inter-Religious Dialogue at All Levels	137
	a Unofficial and official dialogue	137
	b Scientific and spiritual dialogue	138
	c Everyday dialogue	138
	Notes	130