Learning from Failures Decision Analysis of Major Disasters

Ashraf Labib



AMSTERDAM • BOSTON • HEIDELBERG • LONDON NEW YORK • OXFORD • PARIS • SAN DIEGO SAN FRANCISCO • SINGAPORE • SYDNEY • TOKYO Butterworth-Heinemann is an imprint of Elsevier



Contents

| Acknowledg | menis | x i |
|------------|--|------------|
| PART 1 | BACKGROUND OF ANALYTICAL METHODS USED IN INVESTIGATION OF DISASTERS | |
| CHAPTER 1 | Introduction to the Concept of Learning from Failures | |
| | 1.1 Introduction | |
| | 1.2 Why Learning from Failures? | |
| | 1.3 The Background of This Book | |
| | 1.4 Who Should Use This Book | |
| | 1.5 Introduction to the Concept of Learning from Failures | |
| | 1.6 Taxonomy of Theories | 10 |
| | 1.6.1 Learning from Case Studies Versus the Narrative | |
| | Fallacy Concept | |
| | 1.6.2 Learning from Hindsight Rewards Versus Risk Aversion. | |
| | 1.6.3 Specific Versus Generic Lessons | |
| | 1.6.4 High Reliability Theory Versus Normal Accidents Theory | |
| | 1.6.5 Reliability Versus Safety | 13 |
| | 1.6.6 Concluding Remarks on Theories Related | |
| | to Learning from Failures | |
| | 1.7 Case Studies | |
| | 1.7.1 Choice of Case Studies and Research Methodology | |
| | 1.7.2 Types of Recommendations | |
| | 1.8 Critical Commentary Section | 17 |
| CHAPTER 2 | Introduction to Failure Analysis Techniques in Reliability Modeling | |
| | 2.1 Introduction | |
| | 2.2 FTA and RBD | 20 |
| | 2.3 Example: A Storage Tank | |
| | 2.4 A Simple Illustrative Application Case (A Car Accident) | 30 |
| CHAPTER 3 | Introduction to the Analytic Hierarchy Process | 33 |
| | 3.1 Introduction | 33 |
| | 3.2 An Overview of the Analytical Hierarchy Process | |
| | 3.2.1 Problem Modeling | 36 |
| | 3.2.2 Pair-wise Comparisons | 37 |
| | 3.2.3 Judgment Scales | 38 |
| | 3.2.4 Priorities Derivation | 38 |

ŧ

٠

| 3.2.5 | 5 Consistency | 42 |
|----------|---------------------------------|----|
| 3.2.6 | Sensitivity Analysis | |
| 3.3 Conc | clusion and Future Developments | 43 |

PART 2 A-Z OF DISASTROUS CASE STUDIES

| CHAPTER 4 | Bhopal Disaster—Learning from Failures and Evaluating Risk | 47 |
|-----------|--|----|
| | 4.1 Introduction | 47 |
| | 4.2 Bhopal Narrative and the Incorporation of FTA and RBD | |
| | 4.2.1 Introduction | 48 |
| | 4.2.2 Direct Causes of the Accident | 50 |
| | 4.3 Theory/Calculation | 52 |
| | 4.4 Discussion | 60 |
| | 4.5 Concluding Remarks | 60 |
| | 4.6 Critical Commentary Section | 61 |
| CHAPTER 5 | BP Deepwater Horizon | 63 |
| | 5.1 Case Study Deepwater Horizon | 63 |
| | 5.1.1 Background to the Disaster | 63 |
| | 5.1.2 Technical Cause of the Failure | 65 |
| | 5.1.3 Consequences and Severity | 66 |
| | 5.2 Analysis of First Group of Students | 67 |
| | 5.2.1 The Technical Reasons | 67 |
| | 5.2.2 The Design and Safety Reasons | 68 |
| | 5.2.3 Financial and Organizational Factors | 68 |
| | 5.2.4 Fault Tree Analysis | 69 |
| | 5.2.5 Reliability Block Diagram | 69 |
| | 5.2.6 Recommendations and Reliability Improvement | 69 |
| | 5.3 Analysis of Second Group of Students | 71 |
| | 5.3.1 Summary of the Technical Cause of the Disaster | |
| | 5.3.2 Lessons Learned | 72 |
| | 5.4 Analysis of Third Group of Students | 75 |
| | 5.4.1 Technical Cause of the Disaster | |
| | 5.4.2 Lessons Learned | 77 |
| ť | 5.5 Feedback and Generic Lessons | |
| | 5.6 Critical Commentary Section | 80 |
| CHAPTER 6 | BP Texas City Disaster | 83 |
| | 6.1 What Happened | 83 |
| | 6.2 The Process | 83 |
| | 6.3 Sequence of Events and Incident | 84 |

| | 6.4 Investigation |
|-----------|--|
| | 6.5 Fault Tree Analysis and Reliability Block Diagram |
| | for the Texas City Disaster |
| | 6.6 Generic Lessons |
| | 6.7 Critical Commentary Section |
| CHAPTER 7 | Chernobyl Disaster |
| | 7.1 Introduction |
| | 7.2 What Happened |
| | 7.3 The Technical and Logic of the Failure |
| | 7.4 Causes of the Incident 100 |
| | 7.5 Fault Tree Analysis and Reliability Block Diagram for |
| | the Disaster102 |
| | 7.6 Generic Lessons and Proposed Improvements |
| | 7.7 Critical Commentary Section |
| CHAPTER 8 | The Concorde Crash |
| | 8.1 Introduction |
| | 8.2 The Accident |
| | 8.2.1 A History of Problems109 |
| | 8.2.2 Options for Improvement109 |
| | 8.3 Theory and Use of γ Analysis (Modified FMEA) |
| | 8.4 Application of the AHP to the Concorde Accident |
| | 8.4.1 Step 1: γ Analysis |
| | 8.4.2 Step 2: Construction of the Hierarchy115 |
| | 8.4.3 Step 3: Making Judgments116 |
| | 8.4.4 Step 4: Synthesis of Results with Respect to the Goal116 |
| | 8.5 Analysis of Results |
| | 8.6 Conclusion |
| | 8.7 Critical Commentary Section |
| CHAPTER 9 | Fukushima Nuclear Disaster |
| | 9.1 Brief Introduction |
| | 9.1.1 The Evolutionary of the Disaster |
| | 9.1.2 The Consequences of the Failure |
| | 9.1.3 The Japanese Nuclear Industry |
| | 9.1.4 Some Basic Information About Risk Assessment |
| | in Nuclear Industry125 |
| | 9.2 Analysis of First Group of Delegates |
| | 9.2.1 Technical Background |
| | 9.2.2 The Cooling Water System128 |
| | |

.1

.

e

÷ .

| | 9.2.3 Overview of the Disaster | 129 |
|------------|---|--------|
| | 9.2.4 Analysis of Contributory Factors | 130 |
| | 9.2.5 Fault Tree | |
| | 9.2.6 Discussion of First Group of Delegates | 132 |
| | 9.2.7 Conclusion of First Group of Delegates | |
| | 9.3 Analysis of Second Group of Delegates | |
| | 9.3.1 The Analytic Hierarchy Process | 134 |
| | 9.3.2 Design Evaluation of the Fukushima Nuclear Plant | |
| | 9.3.3 BWR Design for Mitigation of Abnormal Conditio | ns 135 |
| | 9.3.4 The Cooling System Design | 135 |
| | 9.3.5 Design Against Earthquakes and Tsunamis | 137 |
| | 9.3.6 Exploring the Incident | |
| | 9.3.7 The Nuclear Safety Debate | 139 |
| | 9.3.8 The Nuclear Power Decision for Japan | 140 |
| | 9.3.9 Application of MCDM | 141 |
| | 9.3.10 AHP Results | 141 |
| | 9.3.11 Conclusion of the Second Group of Delegates | 142 |
| | 9.4 Feedback and Generic Lessons | 144 |
| | 9.4.1 Overall Criticism of the Two Groups | 144 |
| | 9.4.2 Wider Generic Lessons for the Nuclear Power Indus | |
| | 9.5 Critical Commentary Section | 151 |
| CHADTED 10 | Numine Matrice Disease | 153 |
| CHAPTER IV | Hurricane Katrina Disaster | |
| | 10.1 Introduction | |
| | 10.2 Background to the Disaster | |
| | 10.2.1 A Sinking Region | |
| | 10.2.2 Hurricane Protection System | |
| | 10.2.3 Hurricane Katrina | |
| | 10.2.4 Consequences of Failure 10.3 Technical Causes of Failure | |
| | 10.3.1 Direct Causes | |
| | 10.3.1 Direct Causes | |
| | | |
| | 10.4 Hybrid Modeling Approach | |
| | 10.5 Fault Tree Analysis10.6 Reliability Block Diagram | |
| : | 10.7 Failure Modes, Effects, and Criticality Analysis | |
| | 10.8 An AHP Model for the Hurricane Katrina Disaster | |
| | 10.9 Results of Sensitivity Analysis | |
| | IV.2 ACOULD OF DEMONITY AMALYSIS | |
| | | . 170 |
| | 10.10 Discussion and Lessons Learned10.11 Concluding Remarks | |

| CHAPTER 11 | NASA's Space Shuttle Columbia Accident | 183 |
|------------|--|--------------|
| | 11.1 What Happened? | |
| | 11.2 Logic of the Technical Causes of the Failure | |
| | 11.3 Consequences and Severity | 184 |
| | 11.4 Proposed Improvements and Generic Lessons | |
| | 11.5 FTA and RBD | 186 |
| | 11.6 Generic Lessons | 187 |
| | 11.6.1 Generic Lessons That Are Mainly Related | |
| | to Setting Priorities | 193 |
| | 11.6.2 Generic Lessons That Are Mainly Related | |
| | to Responsibility and Skills | 194 |
| | 11.6.3 Generic Lessons That Are Mainly Related | |
| | to Communication | 195 |
| | 11.7 Concluding Remarks | 195 |
| | 11.8 Critical Commentary Section | 196 |
| CHAPTER 12 | Titanic, the Unsinkable Ship That Sank | 197 |
| | 12.1 Cruising | 1 9 7 |
| | 12.2 What Happened | |
| | 12.3 Logic of the Technical Cause of the Disaster | 198 |
| | 12.4 Consequences and Severity | |
| | 12.5 FTA and RBD for the Disaster | 198 |
| | 12.6 Proposed Improvements and Generic Lessons | 200 |
| PART 3 | GENERIC LESSONS, OTHER MODELS OF LEARNING FROM FAILURES AND RESEARCH DIRECTIONS | |
| CHAPTER 13 | Introduction to the Concept of the Generic Lesson as an | |
| | Outcome of Learning from Failures | 207 |
| | 13.1 Why Failure Can Be the Best Thing to Happen | 207 |
| | 13.1.1 Lesson 1: Too Much Belief in Previous Successes | 208 |
| | 13.1.2 Lesson 2: Coping with Growth | 208 |
| | 13.1.3 Lesson 3: Misunderstanding Fashionable | |
| | Paradigms | 209 |
| | 13.1.4 Lesson 4: Legislation | |
| | 13.1.5 Lesson 5: The "1 Operate, You Fix" Attitude | |
| | 13.1.6 Lesson 6: No News Is Good News | 2 1 I |
| | 13.1.7 Lesson 7: Bad News, Bad Person | 21 |
| | 13.1.8 Lesson 8: Everyone's Highest Priority Is Their | - |
| | Own Machine | 212 |

.

x Contents

•

| | | 13.1.9 Lesson 9: Solving a Crisis Is a Forgotten | |
|---------------|--------|--|-----|
| | | Experience | |
| | | 13.1.10 Lesson 10: Skill Levels Dilemma | |
| | 13.2 | Attributes of the Generic Lessons | 213 |
| | 13.3 | Best Practice of Learning from Failures | |
| | | from Different Industries | 215 |
| | 13.4 | Best Practice Can Be Learned from Worst Practice | 216 |
| CHAPTER 14 | A Mo | del of Learning and Unlearning from Failures | |
| | 14.1 | Introduction | 217 |
| | 14.2 | Research Methodology | 219 |
| | | Routine Dynamics in Learning and Unlearning | |
| | | from Failures | 220 |
| | | 14.3.1 Literature on Organizational Dynamic Routines | 220 |
| | | 14.3.2 Literature Related to Learning from Disasters | 223 |
| | | 14.3.3 Learning and Unlearning from Disasters-The | |
| | | Case of NASA | 224 |
| | | 14.3.4 The Case of BP | 226 |
| | 14.4 | A New Theory of Routines for Adaptive Organizational | |
| | | Learning from Failures | |
| | | 14.4.1 Lessons Learnt from the Maintenance | |
| | | and Reliability Field | 227 |
| | | 14.4.2 The DMG | 230 |
| | 14.5 | Case Study of Applying the Proposed Model | |
| | | to a Disaster Analysis | 235 |
| | 14.6 | Theoretical Framework and Discussion | |
| | | 14.6.1 Near-Misses and Failures | 237 |
| | | 14.6.2 High Severity with Low Frequency Versus | |
| | | High Severity with High Frequency | 237 |
| | | 14.6.3 Holonic Theory | |
| | | 14.6.4 Adaptive Ostensive and Performative Routines | |
| | 14.7 | Conclusion | |
| | | | |
| Not Just Rear | rrangi | ng the Deckchairs on the Titanic: Learning | |
| | | hrough Risk and Reliability Analysis | 243 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| • | | | |
| 11000 11.0000 | | | |

2

ج ک