

**Emotions in economic exchange
processes revisited: Systematization and
further empirical evidence**

Kumulative Dissertationsschrift

zur Erlangung des Grades

DOCTOR RERUM POLITICARUM (DR. RER. POL.)

vorgelegt

am Department Corporate Management and Economics
der Zeppelin Universität, Friedrichshafen

von

M.A. Inga Kristina Wobker

geboren am 21.05.1984
in Friedrichshafen

Table of contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| FIGURE DIRECTORY..... | VII |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS..... | VIII |
| ABSTRACT..... | 1 |
| ZUSAMMENFASSUNG..... | 2 |
| 1 DEVELOPMENT OF THE SYSTEMATIZATION..... | 1 |
| 1.1 AN INTRODUCTION TO EMOTIONS AND THE RESEARCH OBJECTIVE..... | 1 |
| 1.2 EMOTIONS IN ECONOMIC RESEARCH: DEFINITIONS AND SYSTEMATIZATIONS..... | 4 |
| 1.3 DEVELOPMENT OF A TAXONOMY OF EMOTIONS IN ECONOMIC RESEARCH..... | 9 |
| 1.3.1 Subjects of exchange..... | 10 |
| 1.3.2 Objects of exchange..... | 11 |
| 1.3.3 Valence of the emotion associated with the exchange..... | 13 |
| 1.4 A SYSTEMATIC CLASSIFICATION OF THE ESSAYS..... | 16 |
| 1.4.1 Essay 1: Envy & frustration..... | 16 |
| 1.4.2 Essay 2: Envy & Schadenfreude..... | 19 |
| 1.4.3 Essay 3: Joy & shame..... | 21 |
| 1.4.4 Essay 4: Envy, Schadenfreude & anger..... | 23 |
| 1.4.5 Essay 5: Confusion & trust..... | 25 |
| 1.4.6 Essays 6 & 7: Confusion & trust..... | 27 |
| 1.4.7 Essay 8: Knowledge & confidence..... | 28 |
| 2 APPLICATION OF THE SYSTEMATIZATION: SELECTED ESSAYS ON EMOTIONS AND ASSOCIATED CONSTRUCTS..... | 32 |
| 2.1 DRIVERS AND OUTCOME OF DESTRUCTIVE ENVY BEHAVIOR IN AN ECONOMIC GAME SETTING..... | 32 |
| 2.1.1 Introduction..... | 32 |
| 2.1.2 Theoretical background and hypothesis development..... | 33 |
| 2.1.2.1 Destructive envy..... | 33 |
| 2.1.2.2 Evolutionary theory..... | 35 |
| 2.1.2.3 Hypothesis development..... | 37 |
| 2.1.3 Method..... | 40 |
| 2.1.4 Results..... | 43 |
| 2.1.5 General discussion and conclusion..... | 50 |
| 2.2 HOW HARMFUL IS ENVY FOR COOPERATION? AN EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION..... | 56 |
| 2.2.1 Introduction..... | 56 |
| 2.2.2 Theoretical background and hypothesis development..... | 57 |
| 2.2.2.1 Theoretical background..... | 57 |
| 2.2.2.2 Hypothesis development..... | 58 |
| 2.2.3 Empirical study..... | 60 |
| 2.2.3.1 Experiment..... | 60 |

Table of contents

| | | |
|---------|---|-----|
| 2.2.3.2 | Characteristics of the measures | 62 |
| 2.2.3.3 | Data collection and sample | 63 |
| 2.2.3.4 | Results | 64 |
| 2.2.4 | Discussion, implications, limitations, and further research | 67 |
| 2.2.4.1 | Discussion | 67 |
| 2.2.4.2 | Implications | 68 |
| 2.2.4.3 | Limitations and further research | 71 |
| 2.2.5 | Conclusion | 72 |
| 2.2.6 | Appendix | 73 |
| 2.3 | INEQUALITY AFFINITY: EVIDENCE FOR AN UNDERESTIMATED PREFERENCE | 74 |
| 2.3.1 | Objective | 74 |
| 2.3.2 | Method | 74 |
| 2.3.3 | Results | 75 |
| 2.3.4 | Conclusions | 75 |
| 2.4 | DON'T TREAT OTHERS BETTER THAN ME: DRIVERS AND OUTCOMES OF CUSTOMER ENVY76 | |
| 2.4.1 | Introduction | 76 |
| 2.4.2 | Hypothesis development | 77 |
| 2.4.3 | Method | 78 |
| 2.4.4 | Preliminary results | 79 |
| 2.4.5 | Discussion and conclusion | 80 |
| 2.5 | CUSTOMER CONFUSION IN FOOD RETAILING: THE MODERATING ROLE OF TRUST | 82 |
| 2.5.1 | Introduction | 82 |
| 2.5.2 | Literature review and hypothesis development | 84 |
| 2.5.2.1 | Literature review | 84 |
| 2.5.2.2 | Conceptual framework and hypothesis development | 86 |
| 2.5.3 | Method | 91 |
| 2.5.3.1 | Data collection and sample | 91 |
| 2.5.3.2 | Measures and measurement analysis | 92 |
| 2.5.4 | Results | 96 |
| 2.5.5 | Discussion and implications | 99 |
| 2.5.6 | Limitation, further research and conclusion | 103 |
| 2.6 | OH DEAR, I'M SO CONFUSED: COGNITIVE AND AFFECTIVE COPING STRATEGIES TO DEAL WITH CONSUMER CONFUSION IN THE FOOD MARKET | 105 |
| 2.6.1 | Introduction | 105 |
| 2.6.2 | Hypothesis development | 106 |
| 2.6.3 | Method | 106 |
| 2.6.4 | Preliminary results | 107 |
| 2.6.5 | Discussion and conclusion | 107 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 2.7 AFFECTIVE AND COGNITIVE STRATEGIES FOR OVERCOMING INFORMATION ASYMMETRIES IN FMCG RETAILING - AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS WITH ANTI-TRUST IMPLICATIONS | 109 |
| 2.7.1 Einleitung | 110 |
| 2.7.2 Literaturübersicht und Herleitung der Untersuchungs-hypothesen | 115 |
| 2.7.3 Empirische Untersuchung | 120 |
| 2.7.3.1 Datenerhebung | 120 |
| 2.7.3.2 Konstruktoperationalisierung und Messkonzepte | 120 |
| 2.7.4 Ergebnisse, Diskussion und Implikationen | 124 |
| 2.7.5 Zusammenfassung | 127 |
| 2.8 WHAT DO PEOPLE KNOW ABOUT THE ECONOMY? A TEST OF MINIMAL ECONOMIC KNOWLEDGE IN GERMANY | 129 |
| 2.8.1 Introduction | 129 |
| 2.8.2 Literature review and hypotheses | 131 |
| 2.8.2.1 Confirmatory hypotheses of Study II | 134 |
| 2.8.2.2 Exploratory hypotheses of Study III | 135 |
| 2.8.3 Study I: Scale development | 137 |
| 2.8.3.1 Development of the MEK questionnaire | 137 |
| 2.8.3.2 Final MEK questionnaire | 139 |
| 2.8.4 Studies II and III: Empirical surveys | 141 |
| 2.8.4.1 Study II: Determination of MEK status quo | 141 |
| 2.8.4.1.1 Data collection and characteristics of the sample | 141 |
| 2.8.4.1.2 Results of Study II | 141 |
| 2.8.4.2 Study III: Exploring drivers of MEK | 145 |
| 2.8.5 General discussion and conclusions | 146 |
| Appendix | 152 |
| 3 GENERAL DISCUSSION | 161 |
| 3.1 DISCUSSION OF THE TAXONOMY AND THE ESSAYS | 161 |
| 3.2 LIMITATIONS OF THE TAXONOMY AND FURTHER RESEARCH ON EMOTIONS | 164 |
| 3.3 CONCLUSION | 166 |
| REFERENCES | 167 |
| EIDESSTÄTLICHE VERSICHERUNG | 183 |
| COMPLETE LIST OF PUBLICATIONS | 184 |