

Competing Through Innovation

Technology Strategy and Antitrust Policies

David J. Teece

*Thomas W. Tusher Professor in Global Business and
Faculty Director at the Institute for Business Innovation,
Haas School of Business, University of California, Berkeley
and Chairman of the Berkeley Research Group, LLC*

Edward Elgar

Cheltenham, UK • Northampton, MA, USA

Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	vii
<i>Preface</i>	ix
<i>Introduction: Next-Generation Competition: New Concepts for Understanding How Innovation Shapes Competition and Policy in the Digital Economy</i> David J. Teece	xvi

PART I INNOVATION, MARKET DEFINITION AND MONOPOLY

David J. Teece (2003), 'The Strategic Management of Technology and Intellectual Property', in David O. Faulkner and Andrew Campbell (eds), <i>Oxford Handbook of Strategy: A Strategy Overview and Competitive Strategy, Volume I</i> , Chapter 6, Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, 138-72, reset	3
Thomas M. Jorde, J. Gregory Sidak and David J. Teece (2000), 'Innovation, Investment, and Unbundling', <i>Yale Journal on Regulation</i> , 17 (1), Winter, 1-37,	31
David J. Teece and Mary Coleman (1998), 'The Meaning of Monopoly: Antitrust Analysis in High-Technology Industries', <i>Antitrust Bulletin</i> , Fall/Winter, 801-57	68
J. Gregory Sidak and David J. Teece (2009), 'Dynamic Competition in Antitrust Law', <i>Journal of Competition Law and Economics</i> , 5 (4), 581-631	125

PART II INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND ANTITRUST ISSUES

5 Deepak Somaya, David Teece and Simon Wakeman (2011), 'Innovation in Multi-Invention Contexts: Mapping Solutions to Technological and Intellectual Property Complexity', <i>California Management Review</i> , 53 (4), Summer, 47-79	179
6 David J. Teece and Edward F. Sherry (2003), 'Standards Setting and Antitrust', <i>Minnesota Law Review</i> , 87 (6), June, 1913-94	212
7 Edward F. Sherry and David J. Teece (2000), 'The Misuse Doctrine: An Economic Reassessment', in James B. Kobak (ed.), <i>Intellectual Property Misuse: Licensing and Litigation</i> , New York, NY: American Bar Association, 131-55	294

PART III APPLYING ANTITRUST PRINCIPLES

8 Thomas M. Jorde and David J. Teece (1993), 'Rule of Reason Analysis of Horizontal Arrangements: Agreements Designed to Advance Innovation and Commercialize Technology', <i>Antitrust Law Journal</i> , 61 (2), Fall, 579-619	319
9 Christopher Pleatsikas and David Teece (2001), 'Economic Fallacies Encountered in the Law of Antitrust: Illustrations from Australia and New Zealand', <i>Trade Practices Law Journal</i> , 9 , June, 73-94	360

- 10 Christopher Pleatsikas and David Teece (2001), 'The Analysis of Market Definition and Market Power in the Context of Rapid Innovation', *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 19 (5), April, 665—93 382
- 11 J. Gregory Sidak and David J. Teece (2010), 'Innovation Spillovers and the "Dirt Road" Fallacy: The Intellectual Bankruptcy of Banning Optional Transactions for Enhanced Delivery over the Internet', *Journal of Competition Law and Economics*, 6 (3), 521-94 411