OFFSHORE FINANCIAL LAW

Trusts and Related Tax Issues

SECOND EDITION

ROSE-MARIE BELLE ANTOINE

LLB (UWI); LLM (Cambridge); D Phil (Oxon) Professor of Labour Law & Offihore Financial Law Attorney-at-Law



CONTENTS

| | bles of Cases | xxiii |
|----|--|----------|
| Ta | ables of National and International Legislation | xliii |
| | I FUNDAMENTALS OF THE OFFSHORE TRUST | , |
| 1. | Introduction—The Place of the Offshore Trust in | |
| | the Offshore Financial Centre | |
| | A. Introduction | 1.01 |
| | B. Features of Offshore Centres | 1.09 |
| | C. The Offshore Trust as a Hybrid Trust | 1.13 |
| | D. Offshore Trusts Force a Jurisprudential Review of Trusts $_{t}$ | 1.18 |
| | E. Wider Issues Relating to Offshore Financial Centres and Trusts | 1.22 |
| | F. Suitability of the Trust in International Commerce | 1.25 |
| | G. Direction of the Work—Comparative Issue Analysis | 1.32 |
| | H. Relative Uniformity in Offshore Trusts Law | 1.33 |
| | I. Persuasive Precedents in Similar Offshore Jurisdictions | 1.38 |
| 2. | Anatomy and Functions of the Offshore Trust | |
| | A. Nature of the Offshore Trust as Hybrid Trust | 2.01 |
| | B. General Features Characteristic of the Offshore Trust | 2.12 |
| | C. Characteristics of Persons and Offices Associated with the | |
| | Offshore Trust | 2.23 |
| | D. Extended Perpetuity and Accumulation Periods | 2.36 |
| | E. Increased Protection against Creditors and Others Threatening the Assets | 2.39 |
| | F. Statutory Provisions Aimed at Reconciling Potential | |
| | Conflicts of Law | 2.41 |
| | G. Defeating the Saunders v Vautier Rule | 2.42 |
| | H. Flight Clauses and Duress Clauses which Shield the Offshore Trust | 2.50 |

| | I. | Constituting | a Valid | Trust | | | | 2.58 |
|----|--|---|--|--|---|----------------|---|--|
| | J. | Letter | or | Memorandum | of | Wishes | * | 2.66 |
| 3. | Sp | ecial Trust Ve | hicles | | | | | |
| | A. | Asset Protecti | ion Trus | sts | | | | 3.01 |
| | B. | The Emergen | ce of th | e Purpose Trust | | | | 3.09 |
| | C. | Description of | of Key I | Provisions on Offs | hore Purp | ose Trusts | | 3.33 |
| | D. | Creation of the | he Insti | tution of the Enfo | orcer | | | 3.51 |
| | E. | Interpreting | the Pres | scribed Statutory (| Condition | s for | | |
| | | Establishing 1 | Purpose | e Trusts | | | | 3.73 |
| | F. | Extension of | Charita | ble Trusts | | | | 3.82 |
| | G. | Emergence of | f Private | e Trust Companies | 8 | | | 3.87 |
| | H. | Anti-Bartlett | or VIST | TA Trusts | | | | 3.93 |
| | I. | The Shari'a T | rust | | | | | 3.126 |
| 4. | Or | nshore Offshor | re Trus | ts—The United S | tates Exa | mple | | |
| | A. | | | gence of the Offsl | | t | | |
| | | Phenomenon | in Ons | shore Jurisdictions | 5 | | | 4.01 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 5. | Th | ne Role and Po | owers o | f the Offshore Pro | otector | | | |
| 5. | | | | f the Offshore Pro | | otector | | 5.01 |
| 5. | A. | | -Rise o | of the Institution | | otector | | 5.01 5.02 |
| 5. | A. B. | Introduction- Description of | —Rise of the P | of the Institution | | otector | | |
| 5. | А. В. С. | Introduction– Description of The Nature of | —Rise of of the P of the Pr | of the Institution of the Institution | of the Pro | | | 5.02 |
| 5. | А. В. С. | Introduction– Description of The Nature of | -Rise of of the P of the Proproach | of the Institution Protector rotector's Powers | of the Pro | | | 5.02 |
| 5. | A. B. C. D. | Introduction– Description of The Nature of Case Law Ap | -Rise of of the P of the Pr oproach | of the Institution Protector rotector's Powers to the Protector— | of the Pro | | | 5.02 5.21 |
| 5. | A. B. C. D. | Introduction- Description of The Nature of Case Law Ap Non-Fiducian Accountability | -Rise of of the P of the Pr oproach cy ty of the | of the Institution Protector rotector's Powers to the Protector— | of the Pro | y or | | 5.02 5.21 5.28 |
| 5. | A. B. C. D. E. F. | Introduction- Description of The Nature of Case Law Ap Non-Fiducian Accountability | -Rise of of the P of the Pr oproach Ty ty of the Trust I | of the Institution Protector rotector's Powers to the Protector— e Protector nstrument to Inclu | of the Pro | y or | | 5.02 5.21 5.28 5.49 |
| | A. B. C. D. E. F. G. | Introduction- Description of The Nature of Case Law Ap Non-Fiducian Accountability Drafting the The Enforcer | -Rise of of the P of the Pr oproach ry ty of the Trust In versus | of the Institution Protector rotector's Powers to the Protector— e Protector nstrument to Inclu | of the Pro -Fiduciary nde a Prot | y or | | 5.02 5.21 5.28 5.49 5.68 |
| | A. B. C. D. E. F. G. Qu | Introduction- Description of The Nature of Case Law Ap Non-Fiducian Accountability Drafting the The Enforcer | -Rise of of the P of the Pr oproach ry ty of the Trust In versus | of the Institution Protector rotector's Powers to the Protector— e Protector nstrument to Inclu the Protector | of the Pro -Fiduciary nde a Prot | y or | | 5.02 5.21 5.28 5.49 5.68 |
| | A. B. C. D. E. F. G. Qu A. | Introduction- Description of The Nature of Case Law Ap Non-Fiducian Accountability Drafting the The Enforcer nestions of Leg Introduction | -Rise of of the P of the Proproach ry ty of the Trust In versus gitimacy | of the Institution Protector rotector's Powers to the Protector— e Protector nstrument to Inclu the Protector | of the Pro -Fiduciary ude a Prot e Trust | y or | | 5.02 5.21 5.28 5.49 5.68 5.73 |
| | A. B. C. D. E. F. G. Que A. B. | Introduction- Description of The Nature of Case Law Ap Non-Fiducian Accountability Drafting the The Enforcer testions of Leg Introduction Self-settled P | -Rise of of the P of the Pr oproach ry ty of the Trust In versus gitimacy | of the Institution of Protector rotector's Powers to the Protector— e Protector nstrument to Inclu the Protector y and the Offshor | of the Pro -Fiduciary ude a Prot e Trust | y or | | 5.02 5.21 5.28 5.49 5.68 5.73 6.01 |
| | A. B. C. D. E. F. G. Qu A. B. C. | Introduction- Description of The Nature of Case Law Ap Non-Fiducian Accountability Drafting the The Enforcer estions of Leg Introduction Self-settled P Justifying the | -Rise of of the P of the Pr oproach ty of the Trust In versus gitimacy rotectiv | of the Institution Protector rotector's Powers to the Protector— e Protector nstrument to Inclu the Protector y and the Offshor e Trusts and Publi | of the Pro -Fiduciar <u>;</u> ude a Prot e Trust ic Policy | y or tector | | 5.02 5.21 5.28 5.49 5.68 5.73 6.01 6.07 |
| | A. B. C. D. E. F. G. Qu A. B. C. D. | Introduction- Description of The Nature of Case Law Ap Non-Fiducian Accountability Drafting the The Enforcer testions of Leg Introduction Self-settled P Justifying the Defending Pr | -Rise of of the P of the Proproach ry ty of the Trust In versus gitimacy rotective Use of ublic Po | of the Institution of Protector rotector's Powers to the Protector— e Protector nstrument to Inclu the Protector y and the Offshor e Trusts and Publi f Offshore Trusts | of the Pro -Fiduciary ude a Prot e Trust c Policy | y or tector | | 5.02 5.21 5.28 5.49 5.68 5.73 6.01 6.07 6.13 |

7. Acceptance of the Offshore Trust

| A. Introduction | * 7.01 |
|---|---------------|
| B. Category of Trust-Hybrid Functions and Their | Validity 7.02 |
| C. A True Trust—Recharacterization | "7.04 |
| D. Public Policy and Validity | 7.07 |
| E. Evolution of the Trust | 7.16 |

II CHALLENGES TO THE OFFSHORE TRUST AND COMMON PITFALLS TO AVOID

8. Disclosure and Confidentiality Obligations

| | A. | Introduction | 8.01 |
|-----|-----|--|-------|
| | B. | Trusts and Confidentiality Norms in Offshore Jurisdictions | 8.06 |
| | C. | Avenues for Disclosure | 8.19 |
| | D. | Rights to Information on the Offshore Trust | 8.28 |
| | E. | Exceptions to Disclosure of Information $.> \bullet$ | 8.71 |
| | F. | Inherent Discretion for Disclosure— | |
| | | Schmidt v Rosewood Trust Ltd | 8.85 |
| | G. | Secrecy Clauses | 8.125 |
| | H. | Partial Disclosure | 8.126 |
| | I. | Letters of Request and the Trust | 8.128 |
| | J. | Duty to Keep Accounts | 8.135 |
| 9. | The | e Offshore Trust as a Sham | |
| | A. | The Essence of a Sham Trust—From Control to | |
| | | Common Intention | 9.01 |
| | B. | Identifying Offshore Trusts as Shams | 9.57 |
| | C. | Neutral Features which May Lead to Sham Findings | 9.90 |
| | D. | Protecting against Sham Attacks—Legislation and Other Initiatives | 9.100 |
| 10. | | e Law on Fraudulent Conveyances, Creditors and the fshore Trust | |
| | A. | The Relevance of the Law on Fraudulent Conveyances to Offshore Trusts | 10.01 |
| | В. | US Law on Fraudulent Conveyances and Foreign Trusts—Particular Concerns | 10.15 |
| | | | 10.15 |

| | C. | Duress, Termination, Flight Clauses, and the OffshoreTrust | 10.21 |
|-----|-----|---|--------|
| | D. | The Problem of Identifying Appropriate Creditors | 10.26 |
| | E. | Tests to Determine to which Future Creditors the Law Applies | 10.36 |
| | F. | Offshore Legislation on Fraudulent Conveyancing and Related Jurisprudence | 10.81 |
| | G. | Judicial Pronouncements on the Validity of Offshore | |
| | | Provisions on Fraudulent Conveyances | 10.102 |
| | H. | Liability of Professionals | 10.128 |
| | I. | Conclusion | 10.133 |
| 11. | Dı | ities of Trustees in Managing, Offshore Trusts | |
| | A. | General Duties under the Common Law | 11.01 |
| | B. | Exploring the Prudent Investor Rule | 11.22 |
| | C. | Special Considerations for Trustees | 11.42 |
| | D. | Finding the Equitable Balance in Offshore Trusts * | 11.69 |
| | E. | Abdication and Delegation of Discretion | 11.88 |
| | F. | Responsible Transactions, Anti-Money Laundering, and | |
| | | beyond | 11.97 |
| | G. | Avoiding Liability | 11.107 |
| | H. | Duties of Offshore Trustees where there are Underlying Companies | 11.132 |
| 12. | Lia | bility of Third Parties to the Trust | |
| | A. | Development of Third Party Liability in Modern Commerce | 12.01 |
| | B. | Knowing Assistance or Dishonest Assistance | 12.19 |
| | C. | Knowing Receipt | 12.37 |
| | D. | Special Parties and Strangers Who Assist or Receive | 12.52 |
| | E. | Other Avenues for Liability | 12.64 |
| 13. | | usts and Companies—Directors' Liabilities and ustee Possibilities | |
| | | | 12.01 |
| | | TheTrust as a Corporate Entity and the Question of Liability | 13-01 |
| | | Direct Liability for Breach of Trust | 13-08 |
| | U. | Methods to Sidestep Limited Liability—Piercing the Corporate Veil | 13-20 |
| | D. | Negligence of Directors | 13-36 |

| E. Third Party Liability of Corporate Trustees | 13.41 |
|--|--------|
| F. The Demise of Dog Leg Claims » | 13.46 |
| G. Duties of Nominee and Non-Executive Directors | 13.56 |
| H. Offshore Statutory Position | 13.62 |
| | |
| III THE OFFSHORE TAX FUNCTION | |
| 14. Assessing the Tax Function of Offshore Trusts | |
| A. Introduction . | 14.01 |
| B. Harmful Tax Competition versus a Level Playing | |
| Field for Tax | 14.12 |
| C. Impact of Anti-Money Laundering Laws on Tax Offences | 14.22 |
| D. Legitimacy of the Tax Function and Jurisdiction to Tax | 14.26 |
| E. Continued Opportunities in Offshore Tax Planning | 14.34 |
| F. Tax and Human Rights—Protecting Offshore Trusts? | 14.41 |
| G. Attempts to Avoid Liability " | 14.59 |
| 15. Overview of Statutory Tax Countermeasures against Offshore Trusts | |
| A. Introduction | 15.01 |
| B. Civil Law Assumptions about the Trust in Tax Matters | 15.14 |
| C. Tax Countermeasures in the United Kingdom | 15-27 |
| D. Tax Countermeasures in the US | 15-69 |
| E. Tax Countermeasures in Canada | 15.94 |
| F. Statutory Disclosure Initiatives Relating to Tax and Trusts | 15.107 |
| 16. Judicial Engineering of the Tax Function of Offshore Trusts | |
| A. Introduction . | 16.01 |
| B. Interpreting Tax Avoidance | 16.03 |
| C. Tax and Sham Trusts | 16.39 |
| D. Changed Interpretations of Residence Concept | 16.61 |
| E. A Note on Human Rights and Changing Attitudes | 16.63 |
| 17. The Non-Enforcement of Foreign Fiscal Law—Sustaining the | |
| Tax Function of Offshore Trusts | |
| A. Introduction—Offshore States Follow Rule on Non-Enforcement of Revenue Laws | 17.01 |

| B. Differentiating the Rule from Other Provisions on | 17.00 |
|---|--------|
| No n-Enforcement , | 17-06 |
| C. Application of the Rule against the Non-Enforcement of | 17 17 |
| Fiscal Law | 17.17 |
| D. Indirect Enforcement in Substance | 17.20 |
| E. Erosion of the Rule on Non-Enforcement of Foreign | 17.00 |
| Tax Law and Comity F. ReafErmation of the Rule on the Non-Enforcement of | 17.29 |
| Foreign Fiscal Law | 17.43 |
| G. Upholding Tax Planning Functions | 17.47 |
| H. Money Laundering Legislation and the Rule on | 17.47 |
| No n-Enforcement * | 17.51 |
| I. Impact of the Rule post OECD Convention | 17.52 |
| 18. The Emergence of Tax Exchange of Information Agreements and | |
| Other Treaty Arrangements | |
| A. Introduction—Increased Routes to International Legal fc | |
| Assistance and Conflicts in Legal Approaches | 18.01 |
| B. Tax Information Exchange Agreements | 18.03 |
| C. Double Taxation Treaties | 18.109 |
| D. Mutual Legal Assistance Treaties and Tax Matters | 18.128 |
| E. Impact of Treaty Requirements on Confidentiality | 18.149 |
| 19. Duties of Offshore Trustees in Relation to Tax | |
| A. Introduction | 19.01 |
| B. Duty not to Incur Further Tax Liabilities | 19.02 |
| C. Effect of the Rule in Hastings-Bass on the Taxation of Trusts | 19.10 |
| D. Whether Trustee to Pay Taxes and Honour Settlor and | |
| Beneficiary Obligations | 19.76 |
| | |
| IV THE CONFLICT OF LAWS AND THE OFFSHORE TRUST | |
| 20. Transplanting the Common Law Trust—Conflict of Laws and | |
| the Acceptance of the Offshore Trust | |

| A. Introduction | 20.01 |
|-----------------------------------|-------|
| B. The Hague Convention on Trusts | 20.15 |

| 21 . | Jurisdiction over the Offshore Trust | |
|-------------|--|-------|
| | A. The Importance of the Jurisdiction Question | 21.01 |
| | B. Traditional Bases for Jurisdiction | 21.06 |
| | C. Exclusive Jurisdiction | 21.40 |
| | D. Rules for Declining Jurisdiction | 21.69 |
| | E. Offshore Factors Important to Jurisdiction | 21.90 |
| 22. | The Proper Law of the Offshore Trust | |
| | A. Introduction—Uncertainty of Rules on Proper Law | 22.01 |
| | B. Offshore Provisions—Offshore Law Chosen as Proper Law | 22.07 |
| | C. Impact of the Hague Convention on the | |
| | Recognition of Trusts | 22.15 |
| | D. Peculiarities of Offshore Trusts | 22.18 |
| | E. Interpretation of Law Most Closely Connected under the | |
| | Hague Convention on the Recognition of Trusts | 22.24 |
| | F. Scope of Proper Law | 22.41 |
| | G. Distinctions between Preliminary Questions and Proper | |
| | Law on the Interpretation of the Trust and its Validity | 22.48 |
| | H. Challenges to the Parties' Choice of Law | 22.56 |
| | I. The Hague Convention's Influence on Express Choice Tested | 22.72 |
| | J. Choice of Law and Judicial Power to Vary the Terms of | |
| | the Trust | 22.75 |
| ••• | K. Change of Proper Law | 22.80 |
| 23. | Recognition of the Offshore Trust in Civil Law Countries | 22.01 |
| | A. Introduction | 23.01 |
| | B. Civil Law Confrontations with the Trust | 23.03 |
| | C. Increasing Use of the Offshore Trust in Civil Law Countries | 23.14 |
| | D. The Hague Convention and Offshore Provisions on | |
| | Recognition of the Trust | 23.18 |
| | E. The Trust versus the Foundation | 23.21 |
| | F. The Trust as a Corporation—Rejecting Contractual | |
| | Concepts | 23.32 |
| | G. Explicit Recognition as a Trust | 23.40 |
| | H. Conclusion | 23.49 |

| 24. | Rig | thts to Succession—Forced Heirs and the Offshore Trust | |
|-----|-----|---|-------|
| | A. | Introduction—Addressing Mandatory Succession * | 24.01 |
| | B. | Anti-forced Heirship Provisions to Resolve Conflicts | 24.12 |
| | C. | US Decisions on Forced Heirship Policies | 24.19 |
| | D. | Other Common Law Decisions on Forced Heirship | 24.27 |
| | E. | The Hague Convention and Forced Heirship | 24.31 |
| | F. | Public Policy and Forced Heirship | 24.36 |
| | G. | Consideration of Trust Interests in Maintenance and Spousal Awards | 24.43 |
| | H. | Forced Heirship Provisions do not Override | |
| | | Trustees' Discretion _p | 24.46 |
| | I. | Validity of Hostile Beneficiaries Clauses | 24.52 |
| | J, | Forced Heirship and Disclosure | 24.57 |
| | K. | Conclusion | 24.58 |
| 25. | | pacity to Create the Offshore Trust and Initial | , |
| | A. | Introduction—The Importance of Preliminary Questions | 25.01 |
| | B. | Offshore Aims in Relation to Preliminary Issues | 25.05 |
| | C. | Validity of the Transfer—Alienation of the Property | 25.07 |
| | D. | Capacity to Create the Trust-The General Question | 25-13 |
| | E. | Capacity and Forced Heirship under the Hague Convention | 25.18 |
| | F. | Offshore Legislative Approach to Potential | |
| | | Defects of Capacity | 25.26 |
| | G. | Capacity of Persons from Civil Law Countries | 25.39 |
| | H. | Whether Offshore Trust Law Efficient in Precluding Foreign Onshore Law | 25.47 |
| | I. | Responding to Approaches which Confuse Capacity with Other Questions | 25.60 |
| 26. | | e Non-Enforcement of Foreign Judgments under Offshore ust Law and Restraint Orders | |
| | A. | Introduction—Last Defence—Special Laws | |
| | | Prohibiting Enforcement | 26.01 |
| | B. | Traditional Rules on Enforcement | 26.10 |

| C. Other Routes to Non-Enforcement—Public Policy, Comity, and beyond , | 26.27 |
|---|-------|
| D. The Rule on Non-Enforcement of Foreign Revenue, | |
| Penal, and Public Penal Law | 26.48 |
| E. Enforcement and Variation Powers and the Offshore Trust | 26.56 |
| F. Obtaining Restraint Orders against Offshore Trusts | 26.89 |
| Bibliography | 647 |
| Index | 651 |