

# **Mutual Assistance for the Recovery of Tax Claims**

*Including a summary of the relevant contents of the  
2002 Update to the OECD Model Tax Convention*

*by*  
**Maria Amparo Grau Ruiz**

*Prologue by*  
**Ramon Falcon y Telia**

*Winner of the Prix Pierre Coppens 2002  
Winner of the Mitchell B. Carroll Prize 2002*

**KLUWER LAW INTERNATIONAL**

LONDON / THE HAGUE / NEW YORK

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	xvii
Author's Note	xviii
Foreword	xix
Abbreviations	*" xxiii
<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	
<b>Concept, Foundation, Nature and Categories of Mutual Assistance for the Recovery of Tax Claims</b>	<b>7</b>
1. An Approach to the Concept of Mutual Assistance	7
1.1. Terminology	7
1.2. The Concept of Mutual Assistance for the Recovery of Tax Claims	9
1.2.1. Assistance for the Recovery of Tax Claims as a Means of International Collaboration	9
1.2.2. The Mutual Nature of Assistance	10
1.3. The Purpose of Mutual Assistance for the Recovery of Tax Claims	11
1.3.1. Efficacy in Performance of the Recovery Function	12
1.3.1.1. Overcoming the inherent spatial limitations on enforcement of the regulations	12
1.3.1.2. Effective performance of the collection function	12
1.3.2. Justice in the Framework of International Taxation	13
1.3.2.1. Prevention and suppression of international tax avoidance and evasion	13
1.3.2.2. Approaching fair taxation	15
2. Foundations of Mutual Assistance for the Recovery of Tax Claims	16
2.1. Legal Foundations	16
2.1.1. Considerations Regarding Circumstances to which International Fiscal Law Applies	16
2.1.2. The Validity of the Classical Concept of Limited Financial Power	17
2.1.2.1. Manifestations of the principle of territorial sovereignty	17
2.1.2.2. Special features of the financial power of the European Communities	18
	<b>vn</b>

*Table of Contents*

2.1.2.3.	The principle of territoriality as a factor affecting the efficacy of State regulations	20
2.1.2.4.	Extraterritoriality and its consequences	21
2.1.3.	Territory as a Limitation on Exercise of the Power to Collect Taxes	24
2.1.4.	The Lack of an Obligation to Provide Mutual Assistance in Tax Matters Rooted in International Principles or Customs	24
2.1.5.	The Reactions of States to Unilateral Attempts at Extraterritorial Tax Collection	27
2.1.5.1.	The Mansfield rule and its subsequent development in jurisprudence	27
2.1.5.2.	Critical considerations regarding the significance and justification of the Mansfield rule	29
2.1.5.3.	Superseding the Mansfield rule; the decisive influence of the 'Full Faith and Credit clause'	30
2.1.6.	Tax Havens as a Paradigm of Non-cooperation	33
2.2.	Extra-judicial Foundations	34
2.2.1.	Drawbacks of Mutual Assistance	34
2.2.1.1.	Drawbacks from the standpoint of State Administrations	34
2.2.1.2.	Drawbacks from the standpoint of individuals	35
2.2.2.	Advantages of Mutual Assistance	35
2.3.	The Present Pragmatic Approach: The Limitations on Mutual Assistance	38
3.	The Legal Nature of Mutual Assistance	40
3.1.	Delimitation with Regard to Other Similar Institutions	40
3.1.1.	Inclusion as a Figure of Administrative Law	40
3.1.2.	Useful Notes Derived from Standard Categories of Civil Law	42
3.2.	Mutual Assistance in Terms of Power and Obligation	43
3.2.1.	Mutual Assistance in Legal Terms	43
3.2.2.	A Flexible Approach to the Concept of Mutual Assistance	44
3.2.2.1.	The power of the competent authority in the applicant State to apply for mutual assistance	45
3.2.2.2.	The obligation of the competent authority of the requested State to provide mutual assistance	45
3.2.2.3.	Special aspects of enforced collection procedures in the requested State	46
4.	Categories of Mutual Assistance in Taxation	46
4.1.	The Acting Authorities: Legislative, Judicial or Administrative Assistance	46
4.2.	The Nature of the Obligation: Conventional or Community	47
4.3.	The Object	47
4.3.1.	Type of Action Requested: Request for Information; Request for Notification; Request for Recovery and Request for Precautionary Measures	47
4.3.2.	The Nature of the Object of the Action: Taxes and Other Public Liabilities	49

4.3.3. The Scope of Assistance: Comprehensive, or Limited to Unduly Obtained Tax Benefits	49
4.3.4. Persons or Entities to Whom the Action Refers: Nationals, Residents, etc.	49

## **CHAPTER 2**

### **The Power to Request Assistance for the Recovery of Tax Claims and the Obligation to Lend such Assistance**

Part I: The Power to Request Assistance for the Recovery of Tax Claims	51
1. The Power to Request Assistance	51
1.1. Nature and Justification as an Administrative Power	51
1.2. A Regulated Power	53
2. Scope of the Power to Request Assistance	54
2.1. Objective Scope	54
2.1.1. Forms of Mutual Assistance that may be Requested: The Importance of a Request for Collection	54
2.1.2. Generic Delimitation of Resources in Respect of which Requests for Collection can be Made	55
2.1.2.1. The qualitative standpoint	56
2.1.2.1.1. Taxes qualifying for mutual assistance	56
2.1.2.1.2. 'Elements of tax debt to which mutual assistance is applicable	57
2.1.2.2. The quantitative standpoint	58
2.1.2.3. The temporal standpoint	60
2.2. The Most Favoured Nation Clause as a Means of Overcoming the Limitations on the Power to Request Assistance	60
3. What Entities or Bodies are Competent to Request Assistance?	61
4. The Public Purpose of the Power to Request Mutual Assistance	63
5. Requirements for Exercise of the Power to Request Assistance for the Recovery of Tax Claims	65
5.1. Advisability of an Assessment Prior to Exercise of the Power	65
5.2. Considerations Regarding the Status of Tax Debts	66
5.2.1. Factors Influencing the Choice Between Assessments and Court Decisions	67
5.2.1.1. The cost of enforcement	68
5.2.1.2. Complexity	68
5.2.1.3. International relations	68
5.2.1.4. Efficacy	68
5.2.1.5. Fair treatment of the taxpayer	68
5.2.2. A Brief Reflection on the International Fiscal Situation	68
5.2.3. The Search for a Minimum Basis of Compatibility Between Continental and Anglo-Saxon Systems	70
5.3. When to Exercise the Power	70

## *Table of Contents*

5.4. How the Power is Exercised	72
Part II: The Obligation to Lend Assistance for the Recovery of Tax Claims	75
6. Origin of the Obligation to Lend Assistance	75
7. Subjective Elements	76
7.1. The Right of the Administration of the Applicant State to Require Mutual Assistance: Peculiarities of the Community Administration	76
7.2. The Obligation on the Administration of the Requested State	78
8. The Extent of the Obligation to Lend Assistance	79
8.1. Frame of Reference	79
8.1.1. Precautionary Measures	79
8.1.2. Collection of Foreign Tax Debts	80
8.1.3. Information on the Procedure	81
8.2. Legal Limitations on the Obligation to Lend Mutual Assistance for the Recovery of Tax Claims •	82
8.2.1. Reciprocity as a Basic Condition in all Regulations for the Effective Provision of Assistance	83
8.2.2. The Legislation Clause as It Applies to the Procedures to be Followed by the Requested State	85
8.2.3. Progressive Disappearance of the Nationality Clause and Its Immediate Consequence: The Obligation of the Requested State to Collect Tax Claims of Another State from its Own Citizens	86
8.2.4. The Public Policy Exception is Necessary as a Last Resort in View of the Diversity of Systems	88
8.2.5. Secrecy: A Latent Token of Mistrust among States	90
8.3. The Obligation to Defray All or Part of the Costs of Mutual Assistance	92
9. Extinguishment of the Obligation to Lend Mutual Assistance	94
9.1. Rejection a limine of a Request for Assistance on the Grounds that There is No Obligation to Lend It -	94
9.2. Causes of Extinguishment of the Obligation to Lend Assistance for the Recovery of Tax Claims	96
9.2.1. Compliance: The Adoption of Precautionary Measures and/or the Transference of Collected Taxes	96
9.2.2. Failure to Comply: Explanation to the Applicant Authority	97
9.2.3. Withdrawal by the Applicant State and Satisfaction of the Creditor's Interest by Other Means	98
9.3. Liability of the Requested State to the Applicant State in Respect of Failure to Honour the Obligation to Lend Assistance	98
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	
<b>Production and Application of Rules on Mutual Assistance</b>	102
Part I: Sources of Mutual Assistance in Tax Matters	102
1. Origin and Production of Rules on Mutual Assistance	102

2. The Traditional Hegemony of Bilateral Instruments	104
2.1. The Possibility of Regulating Mutual Assistance in Double Taxation Conventions	104
2.2. A Brief Analysis of Comparative Law	107
2.2.1. Belgian Conventional Practice	107
2.2.2. German Bilateral Conventions	108
2.2.3. Evolution of Measures to Counteract Tax Evasion and Avoidance in the United States	109
2.2.4. The Strength of the French Conventional Network	112
2.2.5. Regulation in Italy	113
3. The New Stress on Multilateral Instruments	113
3.1. The Benelux Convention: A First Step in the Direction of Multilateralized Assistance	< 114
3.2. The Nordic Convention: A Benchmark for Future Norms of Assistance	115
3.3. The Work of the United Nations Organization	117
3.4. OECD: A Sustained Effort to Regulate	118
3.4.1. The Council Recommendation of 21 September 1977 on Tax Avoidance and Evasion	118
3.4.2. Mutual Assistance Ignored in Model Conventions on International Double Taxation	118
3.4.3. The OECD Council's Recommendation of 19 January 1981 on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters	119
3.4.4. The 1981 Model Convention on Mutual Assistance in Tax Matters	119
3.4.5. The Convention on Mutual Assistance in Fiscal Matters of 25 January 1988, a Joint Effort with the Council of Europe	120
3.4.6. The Latest Tendencies in the Model Convention	124
3.5. Slow Progress of Supranational Norms on Mutual Assistance	125
3.5.1. The Council Resolution of 10 February and the Commission's Programme of Action on Fiscal Matters of 23 July 1975	126
3.5.2. 'Basic' Directive 76/308/EEC on Collection, and Directive 77/794/EEC Modulating Practical Application	127
3.5.3. Directive 77/799/EEC on Exchange of Information	128
3.5.4. Evolution of Mutual Assistance in Collection of Own Resources	128
• 3.5.4.1. The inclusion of VAT in Directive 79/1071/EEC	128
3.5.4.2. Collection of charges accruing on intra-Community traffic under Council Regulation No. 3/84	129
3.5.4.3. The impact of Council Regulation No. 218/92: speedier exchange of information on VAT and creation of a Standing Committee for Administrative Cooperation	130

*Table of Contents*

3.5.4.4. Collection of Special Taxes under Council Directive 92/12/EEC as amended by Council Directive 92/108/EEC	131
3.5.5. Other Recent Norms: The Treaty of European Union and Council Regulation No. 2988/95	131
3.5.6. An Unresolved Issue for the Community: Collection of Direct Taxes	132
3.5.7. Differences in Recovery of the ECSC Levy	134
3.5.8. Balance of the Fight Against Tax Evasion and Avoidance Within the Framework of the Community	134
3.6. The Existence of Other Norms That May Affect the Power to Request Assistance	138
3.6.1. The European Convention on Human Rights of 4 November 1950	138
3.6.2. The 1970 Hague Convention on the Gathering of Evidence' Abroad in Civil or Commercial Matters	138
3.6.3. The 1977 European Convention on Service of Administrative Documents	138
3.6.4. The 1978 European Convention on the Obtaining Abroad of Evidence in Administrative Matters	139
-3.6.5. The 1957 European Convention on Extradition	140
3.6.6. The 1959 European Convention on Judicial Assistance in Criminal Matters	140
Part II: Application of the Norms on Mutual Assistance	143
4. Interaction, Interpretation and Application of the Norms on Mutual Assistance	143
4.1. Conflict of Conventions, Community Law and Domestic Law	144
4.1.1. Inapplicability of Domestic Laws Contravening Conventional or Community Norms	144
4.1.2. Interaction of Conventional and Community Norms in Community States	145
4.1.3. Interaction of Conventional and Community Norms in Respect of Third States	147
4.2. Interpretation of the Rules on Mutual Assistance: Special Reference to the Principles that Ought to Guide It	149
4.2.1. Interpretation of Conventional Provisions	149
4.2.2. Interpretation of Community Norms	151
4.2.3. Principles Applying to Mutual Assistance	151
4.3. Application of the Regulations on Mutual Assistance	154
4.3.1. Practical Factors	155
4.3.2. Legal Factors: Quantitative and Qualitative Inadequacy of the Regulations	155
4.3.2.1. The utility of bilateral and multilateral conventions	156
4.3.2.2. The utility of Community Directives	156

4.3.3. Assessment of the Present Situation of Mutual Assistance	158
4.3.3.1. Use of the current regulations on mutual assistance, with special reference to the Spanish case	158
4.3.3.2. Suggestions to promote application of the rules on assistance	161

**CHAPTER 4**

**Special Features of Enforced Collection Procedure Deriving  
from Mutual Assistance**

1. Subjective Requirements	165
1.1. The Competent Authorities in the Requested State	165
1.2. Who is Liable for a Debt Originating in the Applicant State	167-
2. Objective Requirements	168
2.1. Requirements for the Adoption of Precautionary Measures in Mutual Assistance Procedure	168
2.1.1. In the Conventional Sphere	168
2.1.2. In the Community Framework	170
2.1.3. Precautionary Supervision in the Spanish Fiscal System	172
2.2. Specific Requirements of a Claim Whose Recovery is Requested	175
2.2.1. Qualitative	175
2.2.1.1. Disparities in Conventions for the prevention of International Double Taxation	176
2.2.1.2. A landmark in the evolution of Community regulations: institution of priority status for the ECSC levy	177
2.2.1.3. Towards full equivalence of Community resources and domestic claims: proposed solutions	179
2.2.2. Quantitative Determination	181
3. Requirements for Action	182
3.1. Initiation of Enforced Collection Procedure	182
3.1.1. The Distinction Between Material and Formal Conditions	182
3.1.2. Validity of the Instrument Permitting Enforcement	183
3.2. Implementation	186
3.2.1. Notification	186
3.2.2. Enforced Collection Procedures	188
3.3. Termination	190
3.3.1. Payment and Special Forms of Payment	190
3.3.1.1. Deferment	191
3.3.1.2. Compensation	194
3.3.2. Other Modes of Extinguishment, with Special Reference to Time Limits -	196
4. Opposition by the Taxpayer	199
4.1: Opposition in the Applicant State	203



## Table of Contents

4.1.1. Opposition on the Grounds that Enforced Collection is Inappropriate	203
4.1.2. Contestation Based on the Unlawfulness of Enforcement Measures	205
4.2. Contestation in the Requested State	206
4.2.1. Contestation on Grounds of Inapplicability or Defects in the Drafting and Admission of the Request for Assistance	208
4.2.2. Contestation on the Grounds that Enforcement Measures Adopted in the Requested State are Unlawful	210
4.3. Suspension of Enforcement Procedure	212
4.4. The Possibility of Recourse to Other Authorities	221
4.4.1. Diplomatic Offices of the State of Which the Taxpayer is a National	221
4.4.2. Mutual Agreement Procedure	222
4.4.3. The Recovery Committee	222
4.4.4. The Court of Justice in Luxembourg	222
4.4.5. The International Court of Justice	223
4.4.6. International Arbitration	223
4.4.7. A Future International Fiscal Court	223
<b>Latest Developments</b>	224
United Nations	224
OECD	225
The European Union	229
Spain	234
The Multilateral Framework	236
<b>Annex I</b>	239
1. Mutual Assistance for the Recovery of Tax Claims in the OECD	239
2. Processing in Spain of Mutual Assistance for the Recovery of Tax Claims during 1995-2001	240
<b>Annex II</b>	244
<b>Rules on Mutual Assistance for the Recovery of Tax Claims</b>	244
1. Model Convention	244
1.1. OECD Model Convention	244
1.2. Model Convention of the League of Nations	251
1.2.1. Mexico, 1943	251
1.2.2. London, 1946	256
2. Multilateral Conventions	261
2.1. Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters, Council of Europe and OECD, 25 January 1988	261
2.2. Nordic Convention on Administrative Assistance 1989	274

*Table of Contents*

3. Bilateral Conventions	289
3.1. Reduced Clause	289
3.2. Basic Clause	291
3.3. Full Clause	293
4. Other Relevant Documents	308
4.1. Exchange of Notes	308
5. Community Legislation in Force (consolidated text)	311
Index	327