Finar Rasmussen

Facilitating university spin-off ventures

An entrepreneurship process perspective

MHOCHSCHULE

ILECHTENSTEIN

Bibliothek

VDM Verlag Dr. Muller

Table of contents

Table of	contents				ii
List	of	tables	and	figures	vii
Executiv	e Summar	y			viii
/. Intro	duction				1
	_			ortant?	
1.2.1.	A technolo	ov-based new firm	n-on mms mpo	'.	5
1.2.1. A technology-based new firm.1.2.2. A channel for technology transfer.					
1.2.3.					
1.2.4.	•	•			
1.2.5.			-		
1.3. D	-	-		ii	
1.3.1.		_			
1.3.1.					
1.3.3.					
1.3.4.				formation.	
1.3.5.	-	-	-		
1.4. O	Outline of the t	hesis			20
2. Theor	retical fran	nework			21
	· ·				
2.2. U	University spir	n-off firm formation	n - an entrepren	eurship perspective	25
2.2.1.					
2.2.2.	The opport	unity			28
2.2.3.	. The individ	luals		5	30
2.2.4.	The univer	sity context		•	33
2.2.5.					
2.2.6.	Barriers to	entrepreneurship w	ithin universities.		37
2.3. U	University spir	n-off firm formation	n - a process vie	W	39
2.3.1.	Process res	search on spin-off fi	rm formation		39
2.3.2.	Process the	eories			42
2.4. U	University cap	abilities facilitating	g spin-off firm fo	ormation	43
2.4.1.		,			

2.5.	Theoretical approach summarized	49
3. Res	earch design and methods	50
3.1.	Introduction	50
3.2.	Scientific perspective and the process approach	53
3.3.	Research design.	56
3.3.1	_	
3.3.2	•	
3.3.3	•	
3.3.4	Data collection	62
3.3.5	. Narrative interviewing	64
3.3.6	Data exploration	66
3.4.	Research quality issuesr	69
3.4.1	. Research credibility and dependability	69
3.4.2	Ethical considerations.	71
3.5.	Introduction to the individual papers	73
3.5.1		
3.5.2	2. Paper 2	76
3.5.3		77
3.5.4	l. Paper 4'	79
4. Par	per 1 • Initiatives to promote commercialization of unive	rsity
_	whedge	
	S	
4.1.	Abstract	82
4.2.	Introduction	
4.2.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
4.2.2	S	
4.2.3		
4.2.4		
4.3.	Methodology	91
4.4.	Empirical setting - the four universities and commercialization	92
4.4.	1. Chalmers University of Technology•	92
4.4.2		
4.4.		
4.4.4	4. Trinity College Dublin (TCD)	95
4.4.	5. Data comparison	96
4.5.	Case presentation and discussion	97
4.5.		
4.5.	2. Initiatives and policies	98

4.5.	The university commercialization system	106
4.5.4	4. Outputs and visibility	109
4.6.	Conclusions and implications	112
4.7.	Acknowledgements	116
5. <i>Pa</i>	per 2 - Action-based entrepreneurship education	117
5.1.	Abstract	118
5.2.	Introduction	118
5.3.	Frame of reference	121
5.3.	Entrepreneurship education	122
5.4.	Method	124
5.5.	Case presentations	125
5.5.	1. Chalmers School of Entrepreneurship	126
5.5.	2. Jonkbping International Business School (JIBS)	127
5.5.	3. CIE at LinkSping University,':	129
5.5.	4. Malardalen University	130
5.5.	5. School of Economics and Commercial Law at Gothenburg University.	131
5.5.	6. Empirical findings summarized	132
5.6.	Analysis and discussion.	133
5.7.	Conclusion and implications;	136
5.8.	Acknowledgements	138
6. Pa	per 3 - Spin-off venture creation in the university conta	ext - a
	ocess view	
6.1.	Abstract	
6.2.	Introduction ;	140
6.3.	The process of university spin-off firm formation -the different pers	pectives
	142 ^	
6.3.	1. Process theories	143
6.3.	2. Life-cycle process :	146
6.3.	8 11	
6.3.	r	149
6.3.	5. Evolutionary process	151
6.4.	Methodology	152
6.4		
6.4		
6.5.	Findings and discussion	154

	6.5.1.	The opportunity development as a stage process	.156
	6.5.2.	Teleological action moving the process	.158
	6.5.3.	University dialectics influencing the spin-off process.	
	6.5.4.	The role of external context and evolution in the spin-off process	163
	6.5.5.	Timing of theories	.164
6	5.6. Con	clusions and implications	165
	6.6.1.	Implications for future research	
	6.6.2.	Implications for policy.	168
6	5.7. App	oendix: Spin-off case descriptions	.169
	6.7.1.	Spin-off Case Alpha within University A	169
	6.7.2.	Spin-off Case Beta within University B	171
	6.7.3.	Spin-off Case Gamma within University A	172
	6.7.4.	Spin-off Case Delta within University A and B	174
7.	Paper	4 - University capabilities facilitating spin-off vent	ure
	formati	ion	176
7	7.1. Abs	tract	177
7	7.2. Intr	oduction	177
7		oretical platform	
′	7.3.1.	The university context.	
	7.3.1.	Entrepreneurship within the university context.	
	7.3.2.	Dynamic capabilities within the university	
	7.3.4.	Dynamic capabilities that create new paths	
	7.3.5.	Dynamic capabilities that create new knowledge resources	
	7.3.6.	Dynamic capabilities that,balance past, present, and future positions	
_	7.3.7.	Dynamic capabilities that reconfigure and integrate resources	
-		thodology	
,	7.4.1.	Case selection and data collection.	
	7.4.2.	Data analysis	
,		dings^_	
,	7.5.1.	The spin-offs	
	7.5.1. 7.5.2.	The interplay between the university and the spin off project'	
	7.5.2. 7.5.3.	Dynamic^capabilities that create new paths	
	7.5.3. 7.5.4.	Dynamic capabilities that create new knowledge resources	
	7.5.4. 7.5.5.	Dynamic capabilities that balance past, present, and future positions	
	7.5.5. 7.5.6.	Dynamic capabilities that reconfigure and integrate resources	
	7.5.0. 7.5.7.	The role of dynamic capabilities throughout the university spin-off process	
7	7.6. Coi	nclusions and implications; ; ;;	205

7.6.2.	Implications for policy	207
8. Fine	dings and implications.	209
8.1. 1	Introduction	209
8.2.	Findings related to university initiatives	209
8.2.1	General university initiatives to facilitate spin-off firms	210
8.2.2.		
8.3.	Findings related to the spin-off firm formation process	214
8.3.1	. The use of several process theories	214
8.3.2.	Development of the business idea as a life-cycle process	215
8.3.3	Development of the individuals as a teleological process	217
8.3.4	Relations to the university context as a dialectical process	218
8.3.5	External influence as an evolutionary process	220
8.3.6	Different process theories are salient at different times	222
8.4.	Findings related to university capabilities	223
8.4.1	. University capabilities facilitating spin-off firm formation	224
8.4.2	Capabilities that create new paths	225
8.4.3	. Capabilities that create new knowledge resources	226
8.4.4	. Capabilities that balance past, present, and future positions	227
8.4.5	. Capabilities that reconfigure and integrate resources	228
8.4.6. Capabilities throughout the university spin-off process		229
8.5.	Limitations and implications for further research	229
8.5.1	. Implications and limitations regarding the process perspective	230
8.5.2	. Implications and limitations regarding university capabilities	233
8.5.3	. Implications and limitations regarding research design and methodology	235
8.5.4	. Suggested topics for further research	239
8.6.	Practical implications	241
8.61	1	
8.6.2	. Implications for universities?	243
8.6.3	. Implications for spin-off entrepreneurs	248
Referen	ices	251