

**KLUWER LAW INTERNATIONAL**

EUCOTAX Series on European Taxation

**Tax Planning with Holding  
Companies – Repatriation of US Profits  
from Europe**

Concepts, Strategies, Structures

by  
**Dr Rolf Eicke**



**Wolters Kluwer**

Law & Business

AUSTIN

BOSTON

CHICAGO

NEW YORK

THE NETHERLANDS

# Table of Contents

<b>About the Author</b>	<b>xix</b>
<b>Preface</b>	<b>xxi</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>xxiii</b>
<b>Chapter 1</b>	
<b>Goals of Research</b>	<b>1</b>
I. Goals and Interests of Research	1
II. State of the Discussion	6
III. Updating	7
<b>Chapter 2</b>	
<b>Tax Planning with Holding Companies</b>	<b>9</b>
I. Tax Planning	11
A. Concepts	14
1. Income Shifting	14
2. Tax Deferral	16
3. Avoidance of Double Taxation	18
4. Tax Arbitrage	19
5. Conversion of Income	20
6. Double Non-taxation	21
7. Corporate Inversion	23
8. Base Companies	24
9. Double Dipping	24
10. Case Study: Microsoft	25
B. Risks of Tax Planning	28

C.	Limits of Tax Planning	28
D.	Introducing a BASIC Model for International Tax Planning	30
1.	Using the BASIC Concept	31
a.	Benchmarking	31
b.	Analysis	32
c.	Strategy	32
i.	Type	32
ii.	Scope	33
iii.	Concept	33
d.	Implementation	34
e.	Compliance	34
2.	Conclusion	34
II.	Holding Companies: Characteristics and Concepts	35
A.	Characteristics	37
B.	Legal Forms	38
1.	Corporation	39
2.	Partnerships	39
3.	Societas Europaea (SE or European Company)	42
4.	Foundation	43
5.	Permanent Establishment as Holding	43
C.	Strategies for Tax Planning with Holding Companies	47
D.	Planning Tools	47
1.	Participation Exemption Shopping	48
2.	Capital Gains Exemption Shopping	48
3.	Treaty Shopping/Directive-Shopping	48
4.	Treaty Exemption Shopping/Deferral Shopping	50
5.	Credit Mix Shopping	50
6.	Rule Shopping	51
7.	Deduction Shopping	51
8.	Cross-Border Group Relief Shopping	51
9.	Tax Rate Shopping	51
E.	Historical Background	52
III.	Uses of Holding Companies	52
A.	Tax Advantages	54
B.	Business Advantages	55
C.	Limits and Risks of Holding Companies	56
IV.	Types	57
A.	Functional Classification	58
1.	Management Holding Company	58
2.	Finance Holding Company	58
3.	Euro-Holding Company	60
4.	Country Holding Company	60
5.	Mixed Holding Company	61

B.	Hierarchical Classification	61
1.	Ultimate Holding Company	61
2.	Intermediate Holding Company	61
V.	Conclusion	61

**Chapter 3**

	<b>Repatriation Strategies</b>	<b>63</b>
I.	Repatriation	63
A.	Means of Repatriation	64
B.	Reasons to Repatriate	66
C.	Empirical Data/Repatriation Potential	66
D.	Repatriation Costs, Repatriation Taxes and Repatriation Decision-Making	67
E.	Repatriation Strategies	70
F.	Alternatives to Repatriation	71
G.	Statutory Incentives for Repatriation	71
1.	Prerequisites	73
2.	Consequences for the Taxpayers	75
3.	Anticipation and Analysis	76
II.	Conclusion	79

**Chapter 4**

	<b>US Direct Investment Abroad and US Profits in Europe</b>	<b>81</b>
--	---	-----------

**Chapter 5**

	<b>Tax Havens</b>	<b>85</b>
I.	Characteristics of Tax Havens	89
II.	Uses of Tax Havens	93
A.	Uses for US Corporations	94
B.	Uses for Tax Havens	97
C.	Uses for Non-tax Havens	98
D.	Uses for Tax Planners	99
III.	Proliferation of Tax Havens	99
IV.	Conclusion	101

**Chapter 6**

	<b>Tax Competition and Tax Harmonization</b>	<b>103</b>
I.	Tax Competition	103
II.	Tax Harmonization	108
A.	OECD Approach	109
B.	EU Approach	115
1.	Essential Legislation	121
a.	Parent-Subsidiary Directive	125
i.	Interest and Royalty Directive	127
ii.	Merger Directive	127

2.	The Impact of the European Court of Justice	128
a.	Marks & Spencer (Cross-Border Group Relief)	130
b.	Cadbury Schweppes (CFC-Rules)	131
c.	'D' (Most Favored Nation Treatment)	132
3.	Impact of EC Law on US Investors	135
4.	Impact of EC Law on Tax Havens	137
5.	Future Developments in European Tax Harmonization	137
III.	Conclusion	140
<b>Chapter 7</b>		
<b>Holding Locations – Side-by-Side Comparison</b>		<b>143</b>
I.	Features of Holding Locations/'Balanced Scorecard Model for Holding Location Decision-Making'	144
II.	Reasons for Countries to Become a Holding Location	145
III.	Executive Summary	146
A.	The Netherlands	146
B.	Luxembourg	150
C.	Austria	154
D.	Ireland	155
E.	Belgium	158
F.	Germany	160
G.	United Kingdom	164
H.	Spain	165
I.	Denmark	166
J.	Switzerland	167
K.	Malta	171
L.	Cyprus	172
M.	Hong Kong	173
N.	Singapore	175
O.	Bermuda	178
P.	The Cayman Islands	179
Q.	The Bahamas	180
R.	Panama	181
S.	The Netherlands Antilles	182
IV.	Alternatives	183
A.	France	184
B.	Italy	185
C.	Liechtenstein	186
D.	Jersey	187
E.	Guernsey	188
F.	Isle of Man	188
G.	Gibraltar	189
H.	Dubai	189

V. Criteria: Country by Country Comparison	191
A. Taxation of Dividends Received (Participation Exemption)	191
1. The Netherlands	192
2. Luxembourg	194
3. Austria	196
4. Ireland	197
5. Belgium	199
6. Germany	200
a. Corporate Income Tax Participation Exemption	200
b. Trade Tax Participation Exemption	201
7. United Kingdom	203
8. Spain	204
9. Denmark	204
10. Switzerland	205
11. Malta	207
12. Cyprus	209
13. Hong Kong	210
14. Singapore	210
15. Bermuda	211
16. The Cayman Islands	211
17. The Bahamas	211
18. Panama	211
19. The Netherlands Antilles	211
B. Taxation of Capital Gains	212
1. The Netherlands	213
2. Luxembourg	213
3. Austria	214
4. Ireland	214
5. Belgium	215
6. Germany	215
7. United Kingdom	215
8. Spain	216
9. Denmark	217
10. Switzerland	217
11. Malta	218
12. Cyprus	218
13. Hong Kong	218
14. Singapore	219
15. Bermuda	219
16. The Cayman Islands	219
17. The Bahamas	219
18. Panama	219
19. The Netherlands Antilles	220
C. Withholding Tax on Dividends, Interest, and Royalties Paid	220
1. The Netherlands	221

2.	Luxembourg	222
3.	Austria	222
4.	Ireland	224
5.	Belgium	224
6.	Germany	225
7.	United Kingdom	226
8.	Spain	227
9.	Denmark	228
10.	Switzerland	228
11.	Malta	230
12.	Cyprus	230
13.	Hong Kong	231
14.	Singapore	231
15.	Bermuda	231
16.	The Cayman Islands	231
17.	The Bahamas	231
18.	Panama	232
19.	The Netherlands Antilles	232
D.	Deductibility of Expenditures, Capital Losses, and Current-Value Depreciation	232
1.	The Netherlands	234
2.	Luxembourg	236
3.	Austria	237
4.	Ireland	238
5.	Belgium	238
6.	Germany	240
7.	United Kingdom	241
8.	Spain	241
9.	Denmark	242
10.	Switzerland	242
11.	Malta	243
12.	Cyprus	243
13.	Hong Kong	244
14.	Singapore	244
15.	Bermuda	244
16.	The Cayman Islands	244
17.	The Bahamas	244
18.	Panama	245
19.	The Netherlands Antilles	245
E.	Thin Cap Rules	245
1.	The Netherlands	246
2.	Luxembourg	249
3.	Austria	249
4.	Ireland	250
5.	Belgium	251

6.	Germany	251
7.	United Kingdom	260
8.	Spain	260
9.	Denmark	260
10.	Switzerland	261
11.	Malta	262
12.	Cyprus	262
13.	Hong Kong	263
14.	Singapore	263
15.	Bermuda	263
16.	The Cayman Islands	263
17.	The Bahamas	263
18.	Panama	263
19.	The Netherlands Antilles	263
F.	Group Relief	264
1.	The Netherlands	265
2.	Luxembourg	266
3.	Austria	267
4.	Ireland	271
5.	Belgium	271
6.	Germany	271
7.	United Kingdom	272
8.	Spain	273
9.	Denmark	273
10.	Switzerland	274
11.	Malta	274
12.	Cyprus	275
13.	Hong Kong	275
14.	Singapore	275
15.	Bermuda	275
16.	The Cayman Islands	275
17.	The Bahamas	275
18.	Panama	275
19.	The Netherlands Antilles	275
G.	Treaty Network/Double Taxation Relief	276
1.	The Netherlands	277
2.	Luxembourg	279
3.	Austria	279
4.	Ireland	280
5.	Belgium	280
6.	Germany	281
	a. Double Taxation due to New Preferential Partnership Regime?	282
	b. Repatriation via Function Shifting	284



c.	Crediting of Foreign Corporate Taxes against the German Trade Tax	286
7.	United Kingdom	287
8.	Spain	288
9.	Denmark	288
10.	Switzerland	288
11.	Malta	289
12.	Cyprus	290
13.	Hong Kong	290
14.	Singapore	291
15.	Bermuda	292
16.	The Cayman Islands	292
17.	The Bahamas	292
18.	Panama	292
19.	The Netherlands Antilles	292
H.	CFC-Legislation	293
1.	The Netherlands	294
2.	Luxembourg	294
3.	Austria	294
4.	Ireland	295
5.	Belgium	295
6.	Germany	295
7.	United Kingdom	298
8.	Spain	300
9.	Denmark	300
10.	Switzerland	301
11.	Malta	301
12.	Cyprus	301
13.	Hong Kong	301
14.	Singapore	301
15.	Bermuda	301
16.	The Cayman Islands	302
17.	The Bahamas	302
18.	Panama	302
19.	The Netherlands Antilles	302
I.	Anti-Avoidance Legislation	302
1.	The Netherlands	305
2.	Luxembourg	305
3.	Austria	305
4.	Ireland	306
5.	Belgium	306
6.	Germany	307
a.	Anti-Abuse Rule	308
i.	Anti-Treaty-Shopping Rule	311
b.	Disclosure Regime	315
c.	Change-of-Ownership Rule	316

7.	United Kingdom	320
	a. Anti-Abuse Rules	320
	b. Disclosure Rules	321
8.	Spain	321
9.	Denmark	322
10.	Switzerland	322
11.	Malta	323
12.	Cyprus	324
13.	Hong Kong	324
14.	Singapore	324
15.	Bermuda	324
16.	The Cayman Islands	324
17.	The Bahamas	325
18.	Panama	325
19.	The Netherlands Antilles	325
J.	Tax Rate, Trade Tax, Capital or Stamp Duty	326
	1. The Netherlands	326
	a. 'Patent-Box'	327
	b. 'Group Interest Box'	328
	2. Luxembourg	328
	3. Austria	329
	4. Ireland	330
	5. Belgium	331
	6. Germany	331
	a. Corporate Income Tax	331
	b. Trade Tax	332
	7. United Kingdom	336
	8. Spain	336
	9. Denmark	336
	10. Switzerland	337
	11. Malta	340
	12. Cyprus	341
	13. Hong Kong	341
	14. Singapore	341
	15. Bermuda	341
	16. Cayman Islands	342
	17. Bahamas	342
	18. Panama	342
	19. The Netherlands Antilles	343
K.	Employee Taxation and Tax Climate	343
	1. The Netherlands	343
	2. Luxembourg	344
	3. Austria	344
	4. Ireland	345
	5. Belgium	345
	6. Germany	346

7.	United Kingdom	346
8.	Spain	346
9.	Denmark	346
10.	Switzerland	347
	a. OECD 'Harmful Tax Competition'	348
	b. EC-Swiss Conflict on Holding Regime	349
11.	Malta	350
12.	Cyprus	350
13.	Hong Kong	350
14.	Singapore	350
15.	Bermuda	351
16.	The Cayman Islands	352
17.	The Bahamas	352
18.	Panama	352
19.	The Netherlands Antilles	353
L.	Exit Taxation and VAT	353
VI.	Conclusion	355

## Chapter 8

	<b>Implications of the US Law</b>	<b>361</b>
I.	US CFC Rules (Subpart F)	361
II.	US Foreign Tax Credit	364
	A. Overall Limitation	366
	B. Basket Limitation	366
III.	Check-the-Box Tax Planning	368
IV.	US Thin Cap Rules	370
V.	US Tax Treaty Policy	370
VI.	Reform of US International Taxation	371

## Chapter 9

	<b>Structures</b>	<b>373</b>
I.	Repatriation without Withholding Taxes	373
	A. Situation	373
	B. Solution	373
II.	'Holding-PE': Zero Withholding Tax	374
	A. Situation	374
	B. Solution	374
III.	Avoiding Excess Tax Credits	376
	A. Situation	376
	B. Solution: Hi-Low Structure	376
IV.	Holding Plus Finance Company	377
	A. Situation	377
	B. Solution	377
V.	Double Dip with German Partnership	377
	A. Situation	378

B. Solution	379
VI. Check-the-Box: Cash Repatriations via 'Debt Push Down'	380
A. Situation	380
B. Solution	380
VII. Avoiding the German Zinsschranke via 'Debt Push Down'	381
A. Situation	381
B. Solution	381
VIII. The Swiss Finance Branch	382
A. Situation	382
B. Solution	382
IX. Check-the-Box: Avoiding Subpart F	383
A. Situation	383
B. Solution	383
X. Avoiding Germany's Anti-Treaty-Shopping Rule	384
A. Situation	384
B. Solution 1: Repatriating with a Two-Tier Structure	385
C. Solution 2: Repatriating with a German Partnership or PE	386
D. Solution 3: Interposing Operative Holding Companies	387
E. Solution 4: Zinsschranke May Avoid Anti-Treaty Shopping Rule	388
XI. Using the German Zinsschranke and CFC Rules for Repatriation	389
A. Situation	389
B. Solution	389
XII. 'Two Markets Holding Strategy' with a 'World Holding'	389
A. Situation	389
B. Solution	390
<b>Chapter 10</b>	
<b>Executive Summary</b>	<b>393</b>
I. Tax Planning	393
II. Repatriation	394
III. Tax Competition	395
IV. Holding Companies	396
V. Holding Regimes	396
VI. Treaty Shopping	398
VII. More Obstacles	399
VIII. BASIC Model	400
IX. Structures: Two Markets Holding Strategy	400
<b>Table of European Court of Justice Cases</b>	<b>401</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>403</b>
<b>Index</b>	<b>493</b>