THE NEW CORPORATE GOVERNANCE IN THFORY AND PRACTICE

STEPHEN M. BAINBRIDGE

HOCHSCHULE



CONTENTS

D C	
Preface	12
FICIALE	lx

xi'ti

Introduction J

On the Nrfrstity of Models 2

The Bawr Dichotomy: Canxmus Versus Authority }

Vte Separation of Ownership and Coturol 4

'lite Central Problem of Corporate Governance 6

The Survival Vatut: tif ihc Separation uf Ownership
and Otitfroi 6

Theories of Corporate Governance S

The Domain of Doncaer Pritnucy a

Is a Unified Field Theory of Onporalc Governance Puuiblei
the Work 16

1, TheMeansand Ends of Corporate 1*Qvernan«

The Corporation as Person 25

The Corporation as Entity J*

The CorpO'iMtori as Nexus nf Contracts 2&

fuitkuxl ALttpttirue 30

Th£ Hypothetical Bur^am Meihndnlngy 30

Impliuilivtu i'f the Cjmtraitarian Model Ji

LtiLating IFJF Ntxus ft

The Shareholders'Deal JJ

'flt& Bargaining Parties 37	
The Bargain over rhr Mains of Lorporotf Governance	J7
On the Necessity of Rut jfi	
Fiat by fonftocrf ^	

The Jrxejjkiency of Multiple Constituencies 4%
Allocating Control W)iy Dir Only Shareholder* Vole? 50
Why Not Shareholder Primacy* 53
The Bargain ova thehndiofCiifporaleirCvemancs 3?
Directar Primacy Vcnut Team Production C<0
Incorporating Shareholder Wraith Maximization into
Director Primacy 65

She "Problem" of Agency Costs 73

- Wh^ 4i Board? 77
 Groups and fndivitlitub 78
 The Hoard as Production. Twm 79
 Groups v. Individuals; Experimented Evidence *J
 Group! and Bounded Rationuiiiy 89
 individual v. Gwup Decision Making Biasa \$4
 Agency Ccsb IOQ
- 3. DireOurPrLmacymthcCaurtt 105

 The Rt&iness Judjpnrnt Rule 106

 judicial Review of Opfraiitmul Decisions ntff

 First Principles in

 Defending Defai-nce to Board Auikonry 114

 Encouraging Risk-Taking 114

 Judges Are Not Business Experts i£o

 Impact ort the Board't Internal Dynamia 124

 Corporate Uecnom Ajjecl NontharehnUi-r

 Constituencits, But ito Wlwt? 12a

 The Limits ofAhstention U7

 The Ruie of Undivided Loyalty ta≤j

TTu: Piwumptuut in Favor of Authority tya The Paradigm Conflict oflniertsr The

Takeover Bid 1 \+

The Academics* Balanced) AM Delaware's Balance 1\$6 Why Not Passivity? 141 Suimruititm Jj.j 4- The Shift from Monageriftlism 10 Director Primacy 13s The Evolving Rait- of tht Bourd uj Directtm The EtrtergcntE vj ihv Mi/nitming BVUTLI ifio Bifitrth Tudiiy tfit Btji Practice* t&i Ctimpumulitm Practices ifij Refiutntinntil Cimrrms $\langle ii \rangle$ Judicial Insistence on Informed Decision Making 173 ludkuil Pressure for Director tndependmcz 175 Sarbtwes-Qxley and the Board of Directors iy\$ 'lite Majority Independent Board and Its Qwsmittees Isnn independent Board Eaerssiaffof Director Primacy? The Bottom Line: Are Birtirih Bcu>min£ More Effective? 5[^] l"he Future of Corporate CioverJian[^]e: Uireclor or Shareholder Primacy 301 Shareholders Are Rationally Apathetic TnsliluiitiTidl Passivity zoj Why Aic Institutiom Paisive* Vehick\$ for Shareholder Activism Exit 209 Withholding One's Votes in Director Elections 212 Sttaichoider Proposals 214 Litigation 220 Pniptisals for Expanding the Shareholder FrunrhisE 223 Reforming the Director Nbminafitm /Vottii

Proposals to Reform the Mechanics of the Voting Process 224

Expanding the Stihtunei: of Shareholder Vntmg Rights 225	
Should the Sfmrrholder Frarichii? Bf F.xptwd&t? 2J5	
Pathologies ufVvtiflg 3*6	
Tlte Revealed Pn-fcrencei of Sliarfhttltltn, 217	
\Vhy Not Shareholder Demonacy? jjfi	
ThE RiiK of Private Rent Seeking 228	
The due for Preserving the Rnanl of Directors' Authority 23	33
Index	