

EU Freedoms and Taxation

EATLP Congress, Paris 3-5 June 2004

Editor:
Frans Vanistendael

General reporter: Prof. Frans Vanistendael, KULeuven, Belgium

Contributors: Axel Cordewener, Max Planck Institute, Munich
Prof. Daniel Deak, Corvinus and Etvos Lorant
University, Budapest, Hungary
Malcolm Gammie, Q.C. Linklaters, London, United
Kingdom
Prof. em. Luc Hinnekens, Antwerp University,
Belgium
Prof. Moris Lehner, Ludwig-Maximilians-
University, Munich, Germany
Prof. Xavier Oberson, University of Geneva,
Switzerland
Piotr Karwat, Warsaw University, Poland
Tonje Reitan, University of Geneva, Switzerland
Lucie Vorlickova, Vorlickova & Leitner, Prague,
Czech Republic
Prof. Frederik Zimmer, Oslo University, Norway



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface	xxi
About the authors	xxiii
Introduction <i>Frans Vanistendael</i>	xxv
Chapter I: The prohibitions of discrimination and restriction within the framework of the fully integrated internal market <i>Axel Cordewener</i>	1
1. Is there a difference in the way in which the principle of non-discrimination and the principle of non-restriction operate?	1
1.1. "Discrimination" and "restriction" - a matter of concepts and definition	1
1.1.1. The key role of the internal market aim	4
1.1.2. Some basic patterns common to all fundamental freedoms	7
1.1.2.1. Presence of a cross-border element	7
1.1.2.2. Distinction between different kinds of "obstacles" to free movement	8
1.1.2.3. Protection of inbound and outbound movements	9
1.2. Structural differences between non-discrimination and non-restriction	11
1.2.1. Non-discrimination: a relative concept	12
1.2.1.1. The gradual enlargement of the non-discrimination component	13
1.2.1.1.1. Extension of "national treatment" for inbound situations	13
1.2.1.1.2. Identification of "discriminatory restrictions" in outbound situations	15
1.2.1.1.3. Protection of the entire cross-border transaction	18
1.2.1.1.4. Subjective extension to cross-border transactions	18

Table of contents

1.2.1.1.5.	Objective extension to cross-border transactions	21
1.2.1.2.	Some remaining obscurities concerning the non-discrimination component	23
1.2.2.	Non-restriction: an absolute concept	26
2.	Is there a difference in the way in which the basic economic freedoms should be tested: on the basis of non-discrimination or on the basis of non-restriction? Is there any order of priority?	29
2.1.	The (Revolution in the ECJ' s jurisprudence: from non-discrimination to non-restriction (and partly back again)	29
2.1.1.	Non-discriminatory measures: "market access" v. "rule of remoteness"	31
2.1.2.	Classification of non-discriminatory restrictions	35
2.2.	Why the basic structure of all fundamental freedoms should be identical	39
2.3.	Why non-discrimination should have priority over non-restriction	41
3.	Should there be a distinction in the application of the non-discrimination and the non-restriction principles between inbound and outbound transactions? Is this distinction compatible with the concept of a fully integrated market?	43
Chapter II:	Tax consequences resulting from the application of the non-restriction principle in areas other than taxation: distinction between discriminatory and non-discriminatory restrictions	47
<i>Moris Lehner</i>		
1.	Is there a distinction in the application of the non-restriction principle between rules regulating purely domestic tax situations, rules regulating domestic and cross-border situations and rules regulating exclusively cross-border situations?	47
1.1.	Preliminary remarks	47

Table of contents

1.2.	Systematization with reference to the scope of application	48
1.2.1.	Rules that merely intend to regulate purely internal domestic tax situations (1)	48
1.2.1.1.	Unlimited tax liability (no restriction to purely domestic tax situations)	49
1.2.1.2.	Exceptions (rules applicable to purely domestic tax situations)	49
1.2.1.3.	Interim result	50
1.2.2.	Rules intended to regulate both internal domestic and cross-border tax situations (2)	51
1.2.2.1.	Rules that regulate both internal domestic and cross-border tax situations without differentiating between inbound and outbound activities (a)	51
1.2.2.2.	Rules that regulate both internal domestic and inbound tax situations (b)	52
1.2.2.2.1.	Advantages	52
1.2.2.2.2.	Hindrances	52
1.2.2.3.	Rules that regulate both internal domestic and outbound tax situations	52
1.2.2.3.1.	Advantages	53
1.2.2.3.2.	Hindrances	53
1.2.3.	Rules intended exclusively to regulate cross-border tax situations (3)	53
1.3.	Distinctions in the application of the non-restriction principle	54
1.3.1.	Application of the non-restriction principle to rules that merely intend to regulate purely internal domestic tax situations (1)	54
1.3.2.	Application of the non-restriction principle to rules intended exclusively to regulate cross-border tax situations (3)	55
1.3.3.	Application of the non-restriction principle to rules intended to regulate both internal domestic and cross-border situations in general	56
1.3.3.1.	Qualification of non-discriminatory restrictions	58
1.3.3.2.	Classification of non-discriminatory restrictions	59

Table of contents

1.3.3.2.1. Substantive law	61
1.3.3.2.2. Procedural law	62
1.3.3.2.3. The problem of disparities	62
2. For the application of the basic freedoms, should a distinction be made between tax and non-tax issues?	63
2.1. Peculiarities of direct taxes	64
2.2. Consequences	66
2.2.1. Basic systematization	66
2.2.2. Application of non-discriminatory restrictions in the realm of direct taxes	67
2.2.2.1. Substantive law	67
2.2.2.1.1. Double taxation	67
2.2.2.1.2. Rules relating to the determination of profits	68
2.2.2.1.3. Thin capitalization according to Sec. 8 a CTA	68
2.2.2.1.4. Rules establishing liability for taxes due	68
2.2.2.2. Procedural law	69
2.2.3. "Selling arrangements" and "disparities"	69
3. Final remark	70
Chapter in : Basis and scope of public interest justification of national tax measures infringing fundamental Treaty freedoms	73
<i>Luc Hinnekens</i>	
1. Introduction: justification the core issue	73
2. Few justifiable public interest causes explicitly allowed in the Treaty	74
2.1. Limitative public interest causes	74
2.2. Loss of tax revenue as a public interest justification	75
2.3. Exception for capital movements	78
2.4. Treaty listed grounds of little use	78
3. The wide range of public interest grounds of justification under the Rule of Reason	79

Table of contents

3.1.	History and logic of the Rule of Reason justification and its legal basis	79
3.1.1.	The historical basis: Dassonville and Cassis de Dijon	79
3.1.2.	Extension of Dassonville to taxation	81
3.1.3.	Confusion between discrimination and restriction approach	83
3.2.	Rule of Reason conditions of application	85
3.2.1.	No Community legislation in the field of the measures	85
3.2.2.	No discrimination on ground of nationality or domicile	86
3.2.3.	Imperative reasons of public interest	87
3.2.3.1.	Loss of revenue	88
3.2.3.2.	Effectiveness of fiscal supervision	89
3.2.3.3.	Territoriality	89
3.2.3.4.	Coherence of the tax system	90
3.2.4.	Conditions of necessity and proportionality	91
4.	Distinguishing the operative level of the Rule of Reason and of other derogations to the fundamental freedoms	93
4.1.	^ The eight steps in the EC compatibility tree	93
4.1.1.	Distinction between discriminatory and non-discriminatory restrictions	95
4.1.2.	No de minimis rule	95
4.1.3.	Exceptions under the Treaty of the Rule of Reason	96
4.1.4.	Exceptions under Keck & Mithouard	97
4.1.5.	Exceptions under generally accepted international tax rules	98
5.	"Le rationnel n'est pas toujours le raisonnable"	99

Chapter IV: The compatibility of national tax principles with the single market 105

Malcolm Gammie

1.	Introduction	105
1.1.	The questions to be answered	105
1.2.	The terminology used in this paper	106

Table of contents

1.3.	The application of the terminology to direct taxes	108
2.	The single market principles of the EC Treaty	110
2.1.	The relationship of Community and national law in direct taxation	110
2.2.	The basis of the single market	112
2.3.	The relationship between the Treaty freedoms	113
2.4.	The essential difference between market access and nationality non-discrimination	115
2.5.	The field of application of the Treaty freedoms	116
2.6.	The field of application in tax matters	117
2.7.	Cohesion and comparative advantage in a single market	121
2.8.	Determining what the Treaty freedoms permit	123
3.	Nationality discrimination	124
3.1.	The nationality non-discrimination principle	124
3.2.	The scope of nationality discrimination	126
3.3.	The scope of nationality discrimination in Origin State taxation	128
3.4.	What nationality discrimination demands for tax purposes	129
3.5.	Remedying nationality discrimination in direct taxation	132
3.6.	Equal treatment and market access in direct taxation	133
3.7.	Taxation and the administrative rules of the tax system	135
3.8.	Different Origin State taxation of foreign branches and subsidiaries	135
4.	The scope of the market access principle	142
4.1.	Discrimination and market access	142
4.2.	Market access contrasted with nationality non-discrimination	143

4.3.	Market access in the context of the single European market	146
4.4.	Negative integration versus positive harmonisation	148
4.5.	Market access in the presence of different national markets	149
4.6.	The exercise of extra-territorial jurisdiction and market access	151
4.7.	The extension of market access to non-discriminatory rules	151
4.8.	Dual regulation within the single market	153
5.	The scope of Dassonville in direct taxation	156
5.1.	The treaty articles dealing with goods	156
5.2.	The Dassonville formulation	157
5.3.	"Certain selling arrangements"	158
5.4.	The scooe of Dassonville in direct taxation matters	159
6.	The answers to the questions	161
6.1.	The requirements of a fully integrated market	162
6.2.	Territoriality	162
6.3.	Comparability of resident and non-resident taxpayers	162
6.4.	The use of qualified majority voting (QMV) in tax matters	163
6.5.	The need for agreement or co-ordination in direct taxation	164
Chapter V: General report on the fundamental freedoms and national sovereignty in the European Union		167
<i>Frans Vanistendael</i>		
1.	Introduction	167
1.1.	The subject of the conference	167
1.2.	The major parts of the report	167
1.3.	The purpose of the general report	168
1.4.	The major questions	168

Table of contents

2.	Summary of the reports on the basic freedoms	170
2.1.	The role of prohibition of discriminations and restrictions in establishing the fully integrated internal market	170
2.1.1.	Questions	170
2.1.2.	Summary of the report	170
2.1.2.1.	The difference in the way in which the principles of non-discrimination and non-restriction operate	170
2.1.2.1.1.	Non-discrimination and non-restriction are the two sides of the same fundamental freedom coin and the over-arching concept of the internal market	170
2.1.2.1.2.	The differences between non-discrimination and non-restriction	172
2.1.2.1.3.	Some unresolved issues in the application of the non-discrimination principle	174
2.1.2.2.	Should all basic freedoms be tested in the same way or in a different way?	175
2.1.2.2.1.	The development of ECJ case law from non-discrimination to non-restriction and partly from non-restriction to non-discrimination	175
2.1.2.2.2.	Classification of non-discriminatory restrictions	177
2.1.2.2.3.	The basic structure of all fundamental freedoms should be identical	178
2.1.2.2.4.	The non-discrimination principle should have priority over the non-restriction principle	178
2.1.2.3.	Should there be a distinction between inbound and outbound transactions?	179
2.2.	The relevance for taxation of the distinction between restrictions within the scope of the freedoms and the "internal selling arrangements" and the distinction in the application of the freedoms between tax law and non-tax law	179
2.2.1.	Questions	179
2.2.2.	Summary of the report	180
2.2.2.1.	Preliminary remarks	180

Table of contents

2.222.	Systematisation of tax rules and their effect as a discrimination or restriction	180
2.2.2.2.1.	Unlimited tax liability resulting in rules regulating both domestic and cross-border situations	180
2.2.2.2.2.	Exceptions: rules indirectly applicable to exclusively domestic situations	180
2.2.2.2.3.	Rules regulating both domestic and cross-border situations without differentiating between inbound and outbound activities	181
2.2.2.2.4.	Rules regulating both domestic and cross-border (inbound) situations	181
2.2.2.2.5.	Rules regulating both domestic and cross-border (outbound) situations	182
2.2.2.2.6.	Rules regulating exclusively cross-border situations, e.g. outbound and inbound situations	182
2.2.2.3.	Application of the discrimination and restriction principles to the various categories of tax rules	182
2.2.2.3.1.	Rules regulating exclusively domestic tax situations	182
2.2.2.3.2.	Rules regulating exclusively cross-border situations	182
2.2.2.3.3.	Rules regulating domestic and cross-border situations	183
2.2.2.4.	The distinction between tax law and non-tax law: setting the tax law apart?	184
2.2.2.4.1.	Arguments for this differentiation	185
2.2.2.4.2.	Consequences of setting the tax law apart	185
2.2.2.4.3.	The core question	186
3.	Preliminary conclusion from the subreports: new questions to be answered	187
3.1.	The answers of the sub reports	187
3.1.1.	On the distinction between discrimination and restriction	187
3.1.2.	On the equivalence between internal tax rules and internal selling arrangements	187

Table of contents

3.1.3.	Separate status of taxation for the application of the basic freedoms	188
3.1.4.	Widening the scope of justifications	188
3.2.	A critical analysis of the questions and answers	188
3.2.1.	Impact of E .C.J. case law on national tax systems	188
3.2.2.	Enough room to operate a functioning national tax system?	189
3.2.3.	Cordewener discrimination and restriction: two sides of the same coin	189
3.2.4.	Lehner: Keck doctrine is of no use	190
3.2.5.	Lehner: The EC J must not decide political questions but apply Community law	191
3.2.6.	Hinneken: more room for rule of reason	191
3.2.7.	Playing snooker on one table or on different tables in the same room	191
3.3.	Conclusion: new questions to be answered	192
4.	A critical analysis of the distinction between restrictions compatible and restrictions not compatible with the internal market	193
4.1.	The distinction between restrictions and discrimination in indirect taxation	193
4.1.1.	Art. 28: restrictions	193
4.1.2.	Art. 90: non-discrimination	193
4.1.3.	Both principles apply to free movements of goods	193
4.1.4.	Both principles are mutually exclusive	194
4.2.	Transplanting the dichotomy between restriction and discrimination from indirect taxation to direct taxation	194
; 4.2.1.	Dassonville is also applicable in taxation	195
4.2.2.	Application of the concept of measures having an equivalent effect in direct taxation?	196
5.	Should tax rules be treated differently under the fundamental freedoms?	197
5.1.	Non-discrimination in taxation is stricter than in other areas of law	197

5.2.	Taxation is essential to the authority of national government	199
5.3.	The primary right of taxation	199
6.	What are the minimal conditions for a functioning national tax system?	200
6.1.	Tax sovereignty as the power to spend	200
6.2.	Tax sovereignty as a composite of various powers to lay and collect taxes	201
6.3.	Tax sovereignty as the power to legislate	202
6.4.	The impact of EU case law on the power to legislate in income tax matters	203
6.5.	Conclusion: impact of the ECJ case law on the capability to operate a functioning national tax system is limited	205
7.	Proposals to improve the functioning of national tax systems in the light of the ECJ case law	207
7.1.	The remaining unresolved questions	207
7.2.	The necessity to continue to make the distinctions in the application of the non-restriction principle	208
7.3.	The criteria of substance to make the distinction in the field of non-discriminatory tax restrictions	208
7.4.	widening the justifications of infringements	209
7.5.	Does the ECJ take political decisions which should be left to the legislator?	213
7.6.	The necessity to supplement court action by legislative action	216
7.7.	Which market is the relevant market for the application of the basic freedoms?	218
8.	General conclusion	219
Chapter VI: The impact of the prohibition of discrimination and restriction granted under the EC treaty in non-EU States (Switzerland and Norway)		221
<i>Xavier Oberson, Tonje Reitan and Frederik Zimmer</i>		
1.	Introduction	221

Table of contents

1.1.	EU law in the field of taxation	221
1.2.	Influence of EU law on the domestic tax law of third countries	222
2.	Switzerland and the bilateral Agreement on the free movement of persons	223
2.1.	General characteristics of the bilateral Agreements	223
2.1.1.	The administration of the Agreement	223
2.1.2.	The application of the Agreements and the surveillance of the application	224
2.1.3.	Development of law under the Agreement	224
2.2.	The Agreement on the free movement of persons ("the Agreement")	225
2.3.	Non-discrimination clause	227
2.4.	Taxation aspects of the agreement	227
2.5.	Influence of the bilateral Agreement on Swiss tax legislation and practice	229
2.5.1.	Influence of the bilateral Agreement on Swiss tax law	229
2.5.2.	Possible breaches of the bilateral Agreement currently contained in the Swiss tax legislation	230
2.5.2.1.	Source taxation of EU nationals resident in Switzerland	230
2.5.2.2.	Other issues	232
3.	Norway and the European Economic Area Agreement ("the Agreement")	233
3.1.	Parties to the agreement	233
3.2.	Aim of the Agreement	233
3.3.	Dynamics of the Agreement	234
• 3.3.1.	Extension of new EC legislation to theEEA	234
3.3.2.	Mechanisms to ensure the fulfillment of obligations under the Agreement	235
3.3.2.1.	Surveillance	235
3.3.2.2.	Judicial control	237
3.4.	Position of the EC Agreement in the national legal system of Norway	238
3.5.	Non-discrimination and the four freedoms	239

Table of contents

3.6.	Taxation aspects of the Agreement	239
3.6.1.	Indirect taxation	239
3.6.2.	Direct taxation	240
3.6.2.1.	EEA Agreement	240
3.6.2.2.	Secondary legislation	240
3.6.2.3.	ECJ rulings	240
3.7.	Influence of the EEA Agreement on Norwegian tax legislation and practice	241
3.7.1.	Obligation of notification from the EFTA A to EEA States	241
3.7.2.	Infringement proceedings and complaints	242
3.7.2.1.	During 2003	242
3.7.2.1.1.	Tax measures	242
3.7.2.1.2.	State aid based on tax measures	243
3.7.2.2.	During 2002	244
3.7.2.2.1.	Tax measures	244
3.7.2.2.2.	State aid based on tax measures	245
3.7.3.	Judgments from the EFTA Court	246
3.7.4.	Modifications to Norwegian domestic tax law following the obligations under the EEA Agreement	247
3.7.4.1.	Change to rules as of 1 January 2004	247
3.7.4.1.1.	Tax deductions for young people with special savings account	248
3.7.4.1.2.	Taxation of domestic and foreign life insurance	249
3.7.4.1.3.	Tax exemption of foreign lottery prizes	250
3.7.4.2.	Changes to rules in previous years	252
3.7.5.	Possible breaches of the EEA Agreement currently contained in Norwegian tax legislation	254
3.7.5.1.	Tax deductions of donations to organisations seated in Norway	254
3.7.5.2.	Other matters	254
3.7.5.2.1.	§8-81; special deduction for age	254
3.7.5.2.2.	§6-82; special deduction for disability	254
3.7.5.2.3.	§6-32; general minimum deduction	254
3.7.5.2.4.	§§10-2 - 10-4; group relief for companies	255
3.7.5.2.5.	§§10-20 - 10-25; deduction of contributions from the shareholder to the company	255

Table of contents

3.7.5.2.6.	§11-21; relating to the transfer of assets within a group of companies	255
3.7.5.2.7.	§ 11 -20; transformations and restructuring of companies	255
3.7.5.2.8.	§13-1; capital requirements imposed on subsidiaries of foreign banks	256
3.7.5.2.9.	§10-12(3); imputation of taxes on dividends for the shareholder	256
3.7.5.2.10.	§§16-20 following; tax credit rules on dividends	257
3.7.5.2.11	. Winnings from stocks in foreign companies	258
3.7.5.2.12.	§10-13; dividends from a Norwegian company to foreign shareholders	258
4.	Conclusion	259
Chapter \ H : Adjustment of the tax systems of the new accession states to the *'Acquis Communautaire'' in direct taxation		261
<i>Daniel Deak, Lucie Vorlickova, Piotr Karwat</i>		
1.	The case of Hungary	261
1.1.	Introduction	261
1.2.	Streamlining the Hungarian direct tax system	261
1.3.	Comparability with the Merger Directive and the Parent & Subsidiary Directive	265
1.4.	Tax treatment of losses	267
1.5.	Non-restriction and non-discrimination issues	269
1.6.	Concluding remarks	275
2.	The case of the Czech Republic	275
2.1.	Overview	275
2.2.	Income Tax Act	276
2.2.1.	Corporate income tax	276
2.2.1.1.	Implementation of the EU Directive 90/435/EEC (Parent-Subsidiary Directive)	276
2.2.1.2.	Implementation of the EU Directive 90/434/EEC (Merger Directive)	277

2.2.1.3.	Implementation of the EU Directive 2003/49/EC (Interest and Royalty Directive), which is effective from 1 January 2004	278
2.2.1.4.	Withholding tax (§ 38e of ITA)	279
2.2.2.	Personal income tax	279
2.2.2.1.	Elimination of discrimination of non-residents with respect to family allowances (Section 15 ITA)	279
2.2.2.2.	Implementation of the EU Directive on taxation of interest income from savings (This Directive is not yet applicable)	279
2.3.	Value Added Tax Act	280
2.3.1.	Implementation of the 6th EU Directive (RL 77/388/EEC) into the national Value Added Tax Act	280
2.3.2.	Exceptions negotiated by the Czech Republic in the Treaty of Accession to the EU	281
2.4.	Act on Excise Duties	281
2.4.1.	Implementation of the EU Directive on the system of excise duties (92/12/EEC) and EU Directive on taxation of energy	281
2.4.2.	Exceptions negotiated by the Czech Republic in the Treaty of Accession to the EU	281
2.4.3.	Similar goods subject to excise duties	281
2.5.	Inheritance Tax, Gift Tax and Real Estate Transfer Tax Act	282
2.6.	Accounting Act	282
2.7.	Foreign Exchange Act	283
2.8.	Discrepancies of the Czech Income Tax Act with the "Acquis Communautaire"	283
2.8.1.	Discrepancy of the Czech Income Tax Act with directly applicable provisions of the "Acquis Communautaire"	283
2.8.1.1.	Position of the Czech Chamber of Registered Tax Advisors	283
2.8.1.2.	Position of the Czech Ministry of Finance (MFCR)	284

Table of contents

2.8.2.	Implementation of new amendments to EU Directives	285
2.8.3.	Examples of discrimination of non-residents under Czech tax law	286
2.8.3.1.	Tax deductibility of gifts paid abroad	286
2.8.3.2.	Tax deductibility of interest on Mortgage loans paid abroad and on mortgage loans for the financing of real estate outside the territory of the CR	288
2.8.3.3.	Permanent establishments and separate tax base (§20b ITA) and permanent establishments and foreign tax credit (§38f of ITA)	289
2.8.3.4.	Impossibility to apply "net" taxation to foreign performing artists, sportsmen, artistes (§22 (1) f) of ITA and §36 (1) a) of ITA)	290
2.8.3.5.	Further examples of discrimination of tax non-residents in the Czech tax law	291
3.	The case of Poland	291
3.1.	Introduction	291
3.2.	Personal income tax	292
3.2.1.	Inventory of amendments	292
3.2.2.	Tax provisions which differentiate between residents and non-residents	292
3.2.2.	Draft laws prepared by the government	293
3.3.	Corporate income tax	294
3.3.1.	Inventory of amendments	294
3.3.2.	Tax provisions which may violate the basic freedoms	295
3.3.3.	Implementation of the parent-subsidiary directive	295
3.3.4.	Implementation of the merger directive	297
3.3.5.	Implementation of the Interest-Royalty directive	299
3.4.	Tax on civil law transactions including contributions to company capital	299
3.4.1.	Current legislation	299
3.4.2.	Proposed amendments	300
3.4.3.	Contribution to partnerships	301